## **Changing landscape of computing at BNL**

#### Shared Pool and New Users and Tools

HTCondor Week May 2018

William Strecker-Kellogg <willsk@bnl.gov>



#### **A CENTURY OF SERVICE**



#### **Shared Pool**

#### Merging 6 HTCondor Pools into 1

### What?

# Current Situation

- Many pools, not all as well utilized as possible
- Wildly different policies
- Emulate
  "queues" with
  policy
  statements









3

#### **Current Situation**



4

### What?

- Sharing done between some pools with HTCondor Flocking
  - Policy requirements for "general queue" jobs much stricter than for native jobs
  - Collaborations negotiate with other over these parameters

#### Not possible for many stakeholders

 E.g. ATLAS, using group quotas and auto-balancing (see my previous talks)

## Flocking



### **Group Quota Model**

- Hierarchy of job classes with "quotas" assigned to each class (how many CPUs can they get)
  - Jobs "spill" between groups freely



#### **Group Surplus in ATLAS**



surplus-sharing automatically

### How?

- Will adopt the group quota model
  - Experiments→Top-Level Groups
  - Quotas→Set by contribution
  - Flocking→Surplus sharing
  - Queues→Sub-Groups in experiment
  - Fair-share→Fair-Share (between users within group)



- More standard setup—everyone gets same features
- Sub-groups give experiments flexibility to define own policies / "queues"
- Surplus sharing automatically ensures maximum occupancy
- One unified policy (helps manage user expectations during growth)
- Easy scaling of offloading work. E.g. Backfilling HPC (slurm) resources with routed overflow jobs

### **Implementation Details**

#### Preemption

#### - Needed for two reasons (assuming latency constraints)

- 1)Intra-group: most collaborations want to be able to evict a resource hog sooner than the maximum runtime allowed (Latency)
- 2)Inter-group: if surplus sharing is on, a group can monopolize the pool, not acceptable for all other colloborations to wait the maximum time to get their own resources back (preempt a group down to its quota)
- Currently has major issues with Partitionable-Slots
  - HTCondor team promises progress here...



## Limitations

#### Latency vs. Throughput:

- Most fundamental limit—all groups need to agree on allowable job run-time and acceptable latency
  - Currently able to be set per-experiment, but by its nature it is pool-wide
- Manifests in several places
  - Maximum job lengths, how to fairly allow differences
  - How much to defragment to allow "large" jobs

## **Implementation Details**



- How to make room for larger jobs?
- All slots that meet Preempt-Requirements
  - How to choose what to evict
    - Users with worst integrated priority?
    - Users belonging to groups most over their quota?
    - Least badput respecting preemption-reqs?
  - This is non-trivial and will require experimentation

![](_page_12_Figure_9.jpeg)

### Preemption

#### • Do we need it?

- Poll: What are the maximum-allowed and minimum-guaranteed run-times at your site?
- Poll: What are your user expectations for latency?

### **New Computing Paradigms**

#### HTC and Jupyter for Iteractive Scaling

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Jupyter

#### Came from IPython project

- Ipython→Jupyter (Hub/Lab/whatever)
- Interactive Python Interperter and Login Shell in Browser

#### • Why not just log in normally?

- This is (to most admins inexplicably) a major barrier to science getting done
- Very useful session management and portability via browser

## Jupyter

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### BatchSpawner

#### Using Condor BatchSpawner

- Jupyter sessions spawned in batch jobs that proxy back to the jupyter node
- Allows reduction of dedicated interactive nodes
- Greatly-enhanced scalability of interactive workload

#### This is timely, considering growth of userbase and the shared pool

- Raises issues of latency again!
- I vote for "condor\_NOW" tool name, Todd

## **HTCondor Integration**

#### Different Levels

- 1) Batch  $\rightarrow$  Interactive (already discussed)
- 2) Programmatic job / workflow management
- 3) Leveraging batch horsepower "invisibly"

## Job Management

#### Job Driver

<pre>[willsk@willsktop condor (master)]\$ python Python 2.7.15 (default, May 9 2018, 11:18:37) [GCC 7.3.1 20180303 (Red Hat 7.3.1-5)] on linux2 Type "help", "copyright", "credits" or "license" for more informati on. &gt;&gt;&gt; import job &gt;&gt;&gt; j = job.Job({"Executable": "/bin/sleep", "Arguments": '2000'}) &gt;&gt;&gt; print j.submit() 78 &gt;&gt;&gt; j.status 1 &gt;&gt;&gt; j.hold() [ TotalChangedAds = 1; TotalSuccess = 1; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalNotFound = 0; TotalJobAds = 1; TotalAlreadyDone = 0 ] &gt;&gt;&gt; j.status 5 &gt;&gt;&gt; j.remove() [ TotalChangedAds = 1; TotalSuccess = 1; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalBadStatus = 0; TotalP ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalNotFound = 0; TotalJobAds = 1; TotalAlreadyDone = 0 ]</pre>	<pre>[willsk@willsktop condor (master)]\$ cat tests/jdfs/sleep.job Executable = /bin/sleep Arguments = 10 Log = sleep.\$(cluster).log Queue 4 [willsk@willsktop condor (master)]\$ python Python 2.7.15 (default, May 9 2018, 11:18:37) [GCC 7.3.1 20180303 (Red Hat 7.3.1-5)] on linux2 Type "help", "copyright", "credits" or "license" for more information. &gt;&gt;&gt; import job &gt;&gt;&gt; c = job.JobCluster.from_jdf('tests/jdfs/sleep.job') &gt;&gt;&gt; c.status {0L: 1L, 1L: 1L, 2L: 1L, 3L: 1L} &gt;&gt;&gt; c.status {0L: 2L, 1L: 2L, 2L: 2L, 3L: 2L} &gt;&gt;&gt; c.wait()</pre>
ermissionDenied = 0; TotalError = 0; TotalNotFound = 0; TotalJobAds = 1; TotalAlreadyDone = 0 ] >>> jjobdata	
	20

## Job Management

- Python bindings somewhat un-Pythonic/lacking
  - UserLog watching broken

Done for 8.8!

- Batch submit not in same cluster
- Support advanced "Queue" iterables
  - Which "level", in language or in library?

## Job Management

#### DAGs would be great!

- Ipython Parallel project API?
- Which interfaces most useful to users?
  - Which can generalize to other batch systems or HPC?

### "Higher" Level Interface

#### Make "interactive" and "batch" indistinguishable

- Run code over one input locally, run over 10000 in batch, from within Jupyter
- Condor-leveraged map\_async(<fn>, <iter>)
  - Made test case, use cloudpickle to serialize code + data, distribute naively 1:1 to condor jobs

## Conclusions

#### Consolidating many pools

- Must enforce common policy
  - Need p-Slot preemption to sell to users
- Better scaling to many user groups

#### Users love Jupyter

 Trying to think how HTCondor can be useful in this use case—happy to talk to users and share code

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Questions? Comments?

## Thank you!

GPU Hackathon @ BNL This September See this site for info