


# Muon Veto Studies

Vedant Basu<sup>1</sup>

November 8, 2019

# This Week

- Valerian wanted to study muon veto rate from the cosmic ILL files. The idea is to know how compact the shielding must be, so that it fits into a reasonable veto.
- The final result is to be veto muon rate vs cube edge length.






Figure 2: Energy Deposits without removing Muon tagged events




Figure 3: Energy Deposits after removing muons

- Clarified issues with input data, such as energy scaling and input particle distributions
- Set up a test macro to find energy deposits of all events with and without muons




Figure 4: Energy Deposits without removing Muon tagged events





Figure 5: Energy Deposits after removing muons

- Installed Shielding modules for Ricochet Simulation
- Examined output of Cosmic ILL simulation



# Incident Energy




Figure 7




Figure 8





Figure 9

- Studying inputs to CosmicILL test macro using cosmic\_ILL1.root.
- Effects of muon veto given to be 80 % rate reduction with 20 cm lead.
- Attempting to replicate setup to verify these results, using the vetoShield branch of the repo

## TODO

- run all three cosmic input files to completion multiple times on cluster to obtain reasonable statistics
- During analysis of simulation output, remove events with a muon tag and study remaining events
- Work out a method to generate cosmogenic muon distribution, to study effects of veto

- Reading up on GEANT4, tinkering with existing macros. Setup detector visualisation with HepRep
- Working on visualising the detector geometry, from presentations and simulation output.



## CRYOCUBE BASELINE DESIGN

An array of 27 x 30 g cryogenic detectors (20 mK)

- 50 % of Ge semiconductors
- 50 % of Zn superconductors

**Total: 1 kg with a minimum level of complexity**





Figure 11: CryoCube setup<sup>2</sup>



- Finally setup Ricochet Simulations! Still haven't quite figured out how to make my own macros, will speak to Valerian regarding this
- Reading up on Ricochet

- Process under study: Coherent Neutrino Nucleus scattering, following confirmation of COHERENT
  - Why we study this: Test of standard model parameters, possible probe of exotics like sterile neutrinos, characterisation of neutrino floor for DDM. May also have practical applications in reactor monitoring.
- 
- Experimental signature: low energy nuclear recoil,  $\sim 0.1\text{-}10\text{ keV}$ ,<sup>1</sup> which is why low-threshold detector development was necessary.
  - Detection mechanism: Phonon readout using cryogenic Zn bolometers. Energy deposits break Cooper pairs, which thermalise into phonons or form quasi-particles.
  - Differential recombination times used as discriminant

- Obtain access to deapclean twiki for RAT manual
- run background simulations with different geometries

- <sup>1</sup> J Billard, Rachel Carr, J Dawson, Enectali Figueroa-Feliciano, Joseph A Formaggio, J Gascon, ST Heine, M De Jesus, J Johnston, T Lasserre, et al. Coherent neutrino scattering with low temperature bolometers at chooz reactor complex. *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics*, 44(10):105101, 2017.
- <sup>2</sup> Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon / CNRS / Université Lyon 1. *Probing new physics with Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering and the future Ricochet experiment*, Milan, 2019.