LZStats

Yitong Liu

What's new

- With the new update, test on the number of toys (100, 200, 300, 500, 800, 1000)
- Setting:
  - MIN_POI = 0.1
  - MAX_POI = 30
  - WIMP_mass = 40 GeV
  - Nest_sample_size = 10^7
  - mu_sig range = (0, 200)

NSCAN_POI = 9, non-log scan



Toy = 100



Toy = 200



Toy = 300



Toy = 500



Toy = 800

NSCAN_POI = 16, non-log scan



Toy = 100



Toy = 200



Toy = 300



Toy = 500



Toy = 800



Toy = 1000

NSCAN_POI = 16, log_scan



Toy = 100



Tov = 200



Toy = 300



Toy = 500



Toy = 800



Toy = 1000

#POI = 16
Non-Log_Scan
10 runs





#POI = 16
Log_Scan
5 runs



	Mu_sig		Cross section	
100	2.035	1.962	2.304E-47	2.221E-47
200	1.862	2.037	2.108E-47	2.306E-47
300	1.782	1.983	2.017E-47	2.244E-47
500	1.891	2.039	2.141E-47	2.309E-47
800	1.834	2.009	2.076E-47	2.274E-47
1000	1.896	1.979	2.146E-47	2.240E-47

Next Step

- The # toy test I did before was on 10 GeV, or do a test on lower WIMP mass, ~ 6GeV

New Update

- Create new workspace for WIMP = 40 GeV, mu_sig (-100, 100), (-5, 100) (0, 200)
  - n_sample = 1e6
  - Fiducial mass (kg): 5600
  - Livetime (days): 24
  - Min POI = 0.1
  - Max POI = 30
  - Toys/point: 100
- Compare the graphs for different sources at the lower boundary of mu_sig (-100, -5, 0)



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)
Mu_sig: 1.9457
Cross section:2.2e-47



Mu_sig: (-5, 100)
Mu_sig: 1.9449
Cross section: 2.2e-47



Mu_sig: (0, 200)
Mu_sig: 1.9457
Cross section: 2.2e-47

uncond.mu_pp



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)



Mu_sig: (-5, 100)



Mu_sig: (0, 200)

uncond.mu_vvBB



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)



Mu_sig: (-5, 100)



Mu_sig: (0, 200)

uncond.mu_beta







Mu_sig: (-100, 100)

Mu_sig: (-5, 100)

Mu_sig: (0, 200)

uncond.mu_B8



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)



Mu_sig: (-5, 100)



Mu_sig: (0, 200)

uncond.mu_highnr



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)



Mu_sig: (-5, 100)



Mu_sig: (0, 200)

uncond.mu_sig



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)



Mu_sig: (-5, 100)



Mu_sig: (0, 200)

Problem


uncond.mu_pp {-0.01<uncond.mu_sig<0.01}
htemp
Entries 3216
Mean 16.48
Std Dev 0.3344
140
120
100
80
60
40
20
0
15.5
16
16.5
17
17.5
18
uncond.mu_pp


uncond.mu_pp
htemp
Entries 3216
Mean 16.48
Std Dev 0.3344
140
120
100
80
60
40
20
0
15.5
16
16.5
17
17.5
18
uncond.mu_pp

plr_analyser

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{L(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}})}{L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}})}$$

- For each run, a FitResults.root is generated
  - **Conditional & unconditional fit** of mu_sig and backgrounds mu_(pp, vvBB, beta, lownr, highnr, acc)
  - **Global observables** a_(pp, vvBB, beta, lownr, highnr, acc)
  - Conditional fit & unconditional fit for **negative log likelihood**
  - **Test statistic**
  - **Toy type**
  - **prefit_mutot**: the “expected events” with the prefit values of nuisance parameters
  - **mutot**: : the “expected events” with the best fit values of nuisance parameters
  - **n0**: the true number of events inside the toy dataset
- Run PlotFitResults.C and compare different mu_sig range

mu_sig (-5, 100)





mu_sig (-100, 100)





mu_sig (-5, 100)





mu_sig (-100, 100)





uncond.mu_sig (40 GeV)



mu_sig (-5, 100)



mu_sig (-100, 100)



uncond.mu_pp



mu_sig (-5, 100)



mu_sig (-100, 100)

uncond.mu_vvBB



mu_sig (-5, 100)



mu_sig (-100, 100)

uncond.mu_beta



mu_sig (-5, 100)



mu_sig (-100, 100)

uncond.mu_lownr



mu_sig (-5, 100)



mu_sig (-100, 100)

uncond.mu_highnr



mu_sig (-5, 100)



mu_sig (-100, 100)

uncond.mu_acc



mu_sig (-5, 100)



mu_sig (-100, 100)

Error message

```
Error in <TTreeFormula::Compile>:  Bad numerical expression : "a_sig"
Info in <TSelectorDraw::AbortProcess>: Variable compilation failed: {a_sig,ToyTy
pe==0}
Error in <TTreeFormula::Compile>:  Bad numerical expression : "a_sig"
Info in <TSelectorDraw::AbortProcess>: Variable compilation failed: {a_sig,ToyTy
pe==1}
a_pp    a_pp
a_vvBB  a_vvBB
Error in <TTreeFormula::Compile>:  Bad numerical expression : "a_beta"
Info in <TSelectorDraw::AbortProcess>: Variable compilation failed: {a_beta,ToyT
ype==0}
Error in <TTreeFormula::Compile>:  Bad numerical expression : "a_beta"
Info in <TSelectorDraw::AbortProcess>: Variable compilation failed: {a_beta,ToyT
ype==1}
a_lownr a_lownr
a_highnr        a_highnr
a_acc   a_acc
Info in <TCanvas::Print>: pdf file fitResults_glob_lzstats-20200423_workspace_su
mbkg_freebetas_wimp_m40.0_SI_lowPOIbound_5-Freq-one_sided-NP_on-run1.pdf has bee
n created
Info in <TCanvas::Print>: pdf file fitResults_counts_lzstats-20200423_workspace_
sumbkg_freebetas_wimp_m40.0_SI_lowPOIbound_5-Freq-one_sided-NP_on-run1.pdf has b
een created
```

Global observable (Beta)



mu_sig (-5, 100)

mu_sig (-100, 100)

New update

- New workspace:
  - WIMP: 40GeV
  - Fiducial mass (kg): 5600
  - Livetime (days): 24
  - Min POI = 0.1
  - Max POI = 30
  - Toys/point: 100
- Generation errors with the workspace mu_sig (-100, 100), no errors with the workspace mu_sig (-5, 100)

Observed data

- Pass MDC3_unsalted_wimp_data as the observed data instead of “sensitivity”.
- Errors:

```
[#0] WARNING:Minization -- RooMinimizerFcn: Minimized function has error status.
```

```
p.d.f value is less than zero (-0.000170), forcing value to zero @ !
```

```
[#0] WARNING:Eval -- HypoTestInverterResult::CalculateEstimatedError - cannot es
timate  the lower limit error
```



Mu_sig: (-5, 100)
Mu_sig: 2.0947
Cross section: 2.15e-47



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)
Mu_sig: 2.9341
Cross section: 3e-47

Mu_sig: (-5, 100)
Mu_sig: 3.0639
Cross section: 3.14e-47
Unsalted_wimp_data



Error (limit setting)

```
EvalPLR: POI_test = 30, POI_hat = -4.71328, uncond_ML = -50.3983, cond_ML = -16.
9961, TS = 66.8045, time (create/fit1/2) 0, 2.54, 0.79, status (U/C) 0, 0
[#0] ERROR:Generation -- a_beta::RooRealVar:randomize: fails with unbounded fit
range
----> Trying with Migradimproved
----> Trying with Scan
```

Each toy run has this error, 3 GeV and 40 GeV

mu_sig = (-100, 100), negative bound setting

46 GeV w, mu_sig (0,100)  No more this error

Error (Discover)



40 GeV, mu_sig: (-100, 100)

Error: generation

H0: only background, mu_signal = 0

H1: both background and signal



New Error (Discovery)



46 GeV, mu_sig (0, 100)

Fraction: 1839 errors out of 3200 total toy run

```
 -> test statistic is negative (fit failed) - setting it to -1
EvalPLR: POI_test = 0, POI_hat = 8.32396e-06, uncond_ML = -2.8915, cond_ML = -2.
89173, TS = -1, time (create/fit1/2) 0, 0.3, 0.17, status (U/C) 0, 0
 -> test statistic is negative (fit failed) - setting it to -1
```

To do

- Dig into the cause of errors:
  - Start with 3 GeV, change the mu_sig to (0, 100), limiting mu_sig to positive value.

Update+To do

- Fitting errors at the low mass (3GeV) for the new workspace→ write a code to count the total errors →figure out what causes the error

- Also errors for the 40 GeV.
  - Screen, can only see the parts starting from POI=12.5, no errors after that
  - Run 40 GeV again, errors at lower POI

- Have problem with the master branch on LZStats

New update

- PdfMaker: add YAML configuration to WIMP & Background PDF
  - Do not need to change parameters in LZNESTUtils and source setup & build everytime changes a parameter
  - Tested n_sample parameter in YAML config, it works as expected!
- LZStats:
  - When source setup.sh, it changes ROOT to version 6.16
  - Works on Jonathan's git branch (jn_ROOT6.20.00) to test how ROOT 6.20 affect the run time of different interpolation order

ROOT 6.18 & 6.20

	0th	1st	2nd	3rd
run_time(min)	53.9 (10 runs)	286.1 (10 runs)	651.9 (10 runs)	641
STD	1.9	12.1	22.9	
run_time(min)	44	278.5 (2 runs)	245?	621



1st & 2nd orders

Submit 10 runs at the same time, some runs are not using 100% CPU at the beginning -> higher run time for these specific runs-> higher STD





Previous Slides

Interpolation

WIMP mass: 10GeV
N_sample: 10 ^6







mu_sig vs. order



What’s new?

- New update
- Try different interpolation order 0~3
- Organize the output

New update (Detector)

Parameter	Before	After
g1	0.118735	0.150
g1_gas	0.1018	0.1186
eLife_us	850	944.56
r_max_fiducial	688 cm	688 mm
z_max_fiducial	1330 cm	1330 mm

WIMP signal PDF



Before



After

Interpolation Method

- Construct new data points within the range of know data points (discrete set)→ bring up the bins surrounded by 0 events
- Change interpolation order 0~3(in the code to create workspace)



0^{th}



1^{st}



2^{nd}?



0th order
~1 h



1st order
~5 h



2nd order
~8 h



3rd order
~10.5h


mu_sig vs. int. order
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
0th
1st
2nd
3rd


cross_section vs. int. order
3.00E-47
2.50E-47
2.00E-47
1.50E-47
1.00E-47
5.00E-48
0.00E+00
0th
1st
2nd
3rd


+2 sig
+1 sig
mu_sig
-1 sig
N_Toys
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
-2
-4
0
0.5
1
1.5
2
2.5
3
3.5
4
4.5


-2 sig vs. order
10
0
-10
-20
-30
-40
-50
-60
-70
-80
-90
-100
0th
1st
2nd
3rd

What’s new?

- Try different # of Toys.
- Plot mu_sig, -1 sig, +1 sig, -2 sig, +2sig, cross section vs. NToys

g1 = 0.118, 10^7 sample size



Toy = 100



Toy = 200



Toy = 300



Toy = 500



Toy = 800



Toy = 1000


cross_section vs. N_Toys
2.50E-47
2.45E-47
2.40E-47
2.35E-47
2.30E-47
2.25E-47
2.20E-47
2.15E-47
2.10E-47
0
200
400
600
800
1000
1200
N_Toys


14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
0
200
400
600
N_Toys
800
1000
1200
+2 sig
+1 sig
mu_sig
-1 sig


-2 sig vs. N_Toys
2
0
-2
-4
-6
-8
-10
-12
-14
-16
-18
0
200
400
600
800
1000
1200
N_Toys

What's new?

- Run 10 GeV WIMP mass with changing g1 values (0.05, 0.118, 0.13) and changing n_sample values (10^6 & 10^7)

10 GeV
n_sample = 10^6



g1 = 0.05

mu_sig = 2.1429

cross_section = 6.8e-47



g1 = 0.118

mu_sig = 3.7500

cross_section = 1.84e-47



g1 = 0.13

mu_sig = 5.4167

cross_section = 2.3e^-47

10 GeV, g1 = 0.05



N_sample = 10^6

mu_sig = 2.1429

cross_section = 6.8e-47



N_sample = 10^7

mu_sig = 2.2449

cross_section = 7.2e-47

10 GeV, g1 = 0.118



N_sample = 10^6
mu_sig = 3.7500
cross_section = 1.84e-47



N_sample = 10^7
mu_sig = 5.54
cross_section = 2.74e-47

10 GeV, g1 = 0.13



N_sample = 10^6

mu_sig = 5.4167

cross_section = 2.3e-47



N_sample = 10^7

mu_sig = 4.3544

cross_section = 1.8e-47

10 Gev
n_sample = 10^7



g1 = 0.05
mu_sig = 2.2449
cross_section = 7.2e-47



g1 = 0.118
mu_sig = 5.54
cross_section = 2.74e-47



g1 = 0.13
mu_sig = 4.3544
cross_section = 1.8e-47

Comparison (10 GeV vs 40 GeV)

- Change g1 from 0.05 -> 0.13
  - Cross section for 10 GeV changes 75%
  - Cross section for 40 GeV changes 27%
- Why is there a more dramatic change in lower WIMP mass when changing g1 ?

Limit plot

10 GeV ~ 3e^-47



WIMP 10GeV

N_sample = 10^6







N_sample = 10^7







g1 = 0.05

g1 = 0.118

g1 = 0.13

What’s new?

- Analyze the graph
- Change g1 value and compare the limits

POI = 0 ~ 20





P-value



g1

- g1
  - Photon detection efficiency: the average fraction of S1 light that is detected by PMTs.
  - Detected photoelectrons/emitted scintillation photon
  - Property of the combination of PMTs and detector, grid reflectivity, Xe absorption of light…
- LZsim baseline in Liquid Xe

g1_min ~ 0.05
g1_default ~ 0.118
g1_max~0.13



Code

- LZNESTUtils
  - Change Detector parameters
  - Source setup.sh
  - Source .ci/build.sh
  - Get new NESTInterference
- PdfMaker
  - Recompile signal and background codes with the new NESTInterference













g1 = 0.05

mu_sig = 3.5027

cross_section = 1.44e^-48

g1 = 0.118

mu_sig = 4.0625

cross_section = 1.12e^-48

g1 = 0.13

mu_sig = 3.9835

cross_section = 1.05e^-48

To do

- Try lower WIMP mass (10GeV) and see how the sensitivity changes.

What's new:

- Figure out what's causing the error.
  - Constant pp value→ in the code(for HypoTestInversion), remove any constant parameters → number of parameters are not consistent.
- Update the Low_NR_Groups, re-generate the workspace.
  - No more problem running the LZStats.
- Compare the two versions of code and workspaces

Code (pp)

Before	After
a0_tmp = bckg_rate * exposure = bckg_rate*livedays*fiducial_mass = 542.16 a_pp = a0_tmp mu_pp = Range (507, 574) sigma_pp = systematic_uncertainties * a0_tmp = 2% * 542.16 ~10	a0_tmp = bckg_rate * exposure = bckg_rate*livedays*fiducial_mass = 542.16 a_pp = a0_tmp mu_pp = a0_tmp sigma_pp = systematic_uncertainties * a0_tmp = 2% * 542.16 ~10

```
w->factory("Gaussian::constraint_pp(mu_pp,a_pp,sigma_pp)");
```

Workspace (comparison)

- Information printed out from workspace:
  - Constraints changed:

Background	Before	After
B8	0.011109	1
DSN	0.011109	1
DetER	0.011109	1
DetNR	0.011109	1
Kr85	0.011109	1
Rn220	0.011109	1
Rn222	0.572416	1
atm	0.011109	1
hep	0.011109	1
pp	0.000521151	1
vvBB	0.825786	1

Constraint: Gaussian distribution of
(x=mu_pp
mean = a_pp,
Sigma = sigma_pp)

$$\sim \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}$$

- Total Event Model:
  - Event Model * Total Constraints

	Before	After
Event Model	8.09546e-13	4.80763e-13
Total Event Model	4.62575e-32	4.80763e-13

WIMP (40GeV)




p-value
mu_sig
Expected CLs+b - Median
Expected CLs+b ± 1 σ
Expected CLs+b ± 2 σ

What’s done

- LZNESTUtils: Change to a new branch
- PdfMakers: compile the background code successfully
- LowR_NR: passed 12 root files (1 signal +11 backgrounds) to make a workspace (1 root file)
- LZStats: run the code locally with the workspace generated from the LowR_NR

Running LZStats

- Frequentist Analysis (limit setting)
  - Confidence level: 90%
  - Fiducial mass (kg): 5600
  - Livetime(days) : 1000
  - Paritcle mass (GeV): 40
  - MC toys per point : 100
  - Number of Points: 9
  - Min POI: 0
  - Max POI: 20

Problem


log10(S2c [phd])
S1c [phd]
5
4.5
4
3.5
3
2.5
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80




p-value
mu_sig
Expected CLs+b - Median
Expected CLs+b ± 1 σ
Expected CLs+b ± 2 σ
0
0.1
0.2
0.3
0.4
0.5
0.6
0.7
0.8
0.9
1
0
2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20

```
Error in <ROOT::Math::FitResult>: FitConfiguration and Minimizer result are not
consistent
Number of free parameters from FitConfig = 12
Number of free parameters from Minimizer = 11
```

Changed LZStats

- Basic structure:



Output of signal (40GeV WIMP) from PdfMaker





Workspace → RooWorkspace

- Settings
  - WIMP_mass = 40
  - Interaction type = Spin Independent
  - Livedays = 1000
  - Fiducial (kg) = 5600

Problem/To do

- Have trouble compiling the background code, one function in the background code is not defined in the LZNESTUtils → possible solution: change branches in the LZNESTUtils.

Analysis of a single graph



The **median** of the B-distribution

$$t = -2ln\frac{L(B+S)}{L(\text{best fit})}$$

Hypothesis:

- **H0**: The data has both background and signal events fixed signal
- **H1**: The data only has background events floating

Increasing POI (0~15)



Method

- Background is fixed for different WIMP masses
- For one WIMP mass, increasing values of the parameter of interest (POI)→ the cross section of the WIMP's interaction with Xenon
- Find the upper limit on the POI

$$L(\mu, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\mu s_j + b_j)^{n_j}}{n_j!} e^{-(\mu s_j + b_j)} \prod_{k=1}^{M} \frac{u_k^{m_k}}{m_k!} e^{-u_k}$$

For high POI → high μsj



Equations & Graphs

$$\lambda(\mu) = \frac{L(\mu, \hat{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}})}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}$$

μ : fixed

$\hat{\mu}$: floating

$$t_\mu = -2 \ln \lambda(\mu)$$

$\ln x$ vs x



To do

- How to get the upper limit, basically how to get this graph from the nine graphs.
- Analyze the graph



Done:

- For mass = 2.0000 GeV
  - ERROR: WIMP histogram is empty for mass 2.0 → Mass 2.0 is too small
- Mass: 10, 16.2222, 27.8256, 46.4159, 66.6667, 100, 200, 1000
- Moved the output from scratch to hdfs.

- Settings: 100 Ntoys, 1e7 samples, take 9 POI, 1000 livedays


p-value
1
0.9
0.8
0.7
0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0
0
5
10
15
20
25
nSig
Expected CLs+b - Median
Expected CLs+b ± 1 σ
Expected CLs+b ± 2 σ
50% of B-only

Mass = 16.2222


S+B model
B-only model
median ALT
Asymptotic formula
q_mu


p-value
mu_sig
Expected CLs+b - Median
Expected CLs+b ± 1 σ
Expected CLs+b ± 2 σ
NULL p-value (median)
Asymptotic formula

Mass = 16.2222


S+B model
B-only model
median ALT
Asymptotic formula
q_mu


p-value
mu_sig
Expected CLs+b - Median
Expected CLs+b ± 1 σ
Expected CLs+b ± 2 σ
NULL p-value (median)
Asymptotic formula

Mass = 66.6667


S+B model
B-only model
median ALT
Asymptotic formula
q_mu


p-value
mu_sig
Expected CLs+b - Median
Expected CLs+b ± 1 σ
Expected CLs+b ± 2 σ
NULL p-value (median)
Asymptotic formula



10GeV



16.2222GeV



27.8256 GeV



46.4159 GeV



66.6667 GeV



200 GeV

log scale lz sensitivity :



WIMP mass (GeV)



Understanding the structure of LZStats

- **Submit_MassJobs.sh:**
  - calls for the other two scripts, the one that submits jobs to the cluster
  - Kr mass = 2.0000 & 66.6667
  - #jobs for each mass = 10
- **runWiscJobs.py:**
  - Defines variables and parameters for a job running
- **Submit_lzstats.sh:**
  - When run Submit_MassJobs.sh, each job runs this script on the cluster
- **Setup.sh:**
  - set up the environment

Problem

- For one mass, when extracting 10 tar.gz files, only get one result "…run1"
- Check the error text, ImportError

```
ImportError: /cvmfs/lz.opensciencegrid.org/external/Python/2.7.15/x86_64-centos7
-gcc7-opt/lib/python2.7/lib-dynload/array.so: undefined symbol: _PySlice_Unpack
Info in <RooWorkspace::SaveAs>: ROOT file ./output/lzstats-LZ projected 1e7-WIMP
```

- Ask Jonathan:
  - For the Jobs submitted to the cluster, the python cannot load the yaml package → unable to change the run number → all the runs have the same run number, run1
- The yaml package is exported in setup.sh