


LZStats

Yitong Liu


Update

- WDNM test on S1, logS2, # expected_wall
 - 1000 toys, 40 GeV, 60d, Max_POI = 12
- Compared # of failed toys for different cases


WDNM




$\#wall = 0.9$, $\log S_2 = 3$, $S_1 = 80$




$\#wall = 0.9$, $H\log S_2 = 3$, $S_1 = 16$




$\#wall = 0.9$, $H\log S_2 = 3.5$, $S_1 = 80$




$\#wall = 0.9$, $H\log S_2 = 3.5$, $S_1 = 16$




$\#wall = 3$, $\log S_2 = 3$, $S_1 = 80$





$\#wall = 3$, $H\log S_2 = 3$, $S_1 = 16$





$\#wall = 3$, $H\log S_2 = 3.5$, $S_1 = 80$



$\#wall = 3$, $H\log S_2 = 3.5$, $S_1 = 16$



#wall = 3, logS2 = 3, S1 = 80




#wall = 3, HlogS2 = 3.5, S1 = 16

failed events

Total toy run: 24011

(#expected_wall, logS2, S1)





	(0.9, 3, 80)	(0.9, 3, 16)	(0.9, 3.5, 80)	(0.9, 3.5, 16)	(3, 3, 80)	(3, 3, 16)	(3, 3.5, 80)	(3, 3.5, 16)
#Failed	4029	2440	3494	3748	13065	10839	9051	7771
%	17%	10%	15%	16%	54%	45%	38%	32%

For expected_wall = 0.9, varying logS2 & S1 values do not affect the % of failed toys much

For expected_wall = 3, a clear decrease in % of failed toys with higher logS2, lower S1 (around B8 region)


Improvement

- To decrease the #failed toys
 - For WDNM case, since the failed toys will not be used to determine the limit, create the wall model to be the region that overlaps with the current existing model → adding a wall model with uniform likelihood distribution to the current existing model




New wall model

B8




#WE = 0.9

Failed toys: 50/ 24011 ~ 0.021%



#WE = 3

Failed toys: 50/ 24011 ~ 0.021%





#WE = 9


Failed toys: 187/ 24011 ~ 0.078%

Worse limit creation

- How to create a worse limit?
 - Mess with the mu_sig region




Mu_sig




#WE = 0.9

Failed toys: 13/ 24011 $\sim 0.005\%$



#WE = 3

Failed toys: 19/ 24011 $\sim 0.008\%$



#WE = 9


Failed toys: 55/ 24011 $\sim 0.023\%$

The wall model for μ_{sig} region is much larger than for the B8 region, maybe create a similar area of wall model in μ_{sig} region?


To do

- Play with more parameters? Any suggestions?


Comparison




#wall = 3, HlogS2 = 3, S1 = 80, 54%



#wall = 3, HlogS2 = 3.5, S1 = 16, 32%



#wall = 3, HlogS2 = 3, S1 = 80, 51%




#wall = 3, HlogS2 = 3.5, S1 = 16, 38%

%failed toys


Update

- More tests for 4 different cases


WDNM




#wall = 0.9, HlogS2 = 3, S1 = 16



#wall = 0.9, HlogS2 = 3.5, S1 = 16



#wall = 3, HlogS2 = 3, S1 = 16



#wall = 3, HlogS2 = 3.5, S1 = 16

All toys failed for all four cases
 Problem: in the workspace create code, constraint_wall is included in the constraintNoWall

Fitting model:


$\mu_{pp} * \text{constraint}_{pp}$

$\mu_{B8} * \text{constraint}_{B8}$


.....

? * constraint_wall


NDWM




#wall = 0.9, HlogS2 = 3, S1 = 16
2.8209



#wall = 3, HlogS2 = 3, S1 = 16
2.7937



#wall = 0.9, HlogS2 = 3.5, S1 = 16
2.7800




#wall = 3, HlogS2 = 3.5, S1 = 16
2.8295


Consistent limit
value with NDWM

Update


- Finished the four case studies with 1000 toys




WDNM
1000 toys



NDWM
1000 toys



NDNM
1000 toys



WDWM
1000 toys

Expected_wall = 0.9

Previous test:

fluctuation of μ_{sig} for 1000 toys $\sim 2.1\%$

WDNM, NDWM, difference 8.4%

NDNM, WDWM, difference 1.4%

	WDNM	NDWM	NDNM	WDWM
μ_{sig}	2.5805	2.7977	2.6010	2.6371
-1 sig	1.6251	1.6193	1.5941	1.5753
+1 sig	4.8941	4.7588	4.4115	4.9767
-2 sig	0	0	0	0
+2 sig	7.444	7.1188	7.2193	7.1887

To do


- Increase the expected_wall value to see how that affects the limit of WDNM, NDWM
- Add uncertainties to the black points?

Update

- Working on the new branch that Jonathan created, *jn_issue27* → change of workspace code: separate the model that generates dataset and the model that is used for fitting → able to create the workspace for WDNM, NDWM and run LZStats of sensitivity mode


WDNM

500 toys,
#POI = 15
Non-log



- Peak at lower $\mu_{sig} \sim 1$
- Obs_Data(p0.1) ~ 3
- If log scan, maybe there would be no peak

500 toys,
#POI = 15
log



- Peak at higher $\mu_{sig} \sim 6$
- Obs_Data(p0.1) ~ 10

To do


- For wdnm case: increase toy number to 1000, decrease max POI to ~ 12 , #POI = 12
- Finish ndwm case

Update

- Had a chat with Jonathan and Scott on the wall test slide
 - Suggestions from Scott, more tests:
 - Using the same data file for the No wall data, no wall model & No wall data, with wall model case (same case for the With wall data, with wall model & with wall data, no wall model) → use the data file generated from the test of sensitivity mode, instead of from the workspace
 - How to do it: When run LZStats on the sensitivity mode, 500 toys-→500 data file, save these data files and use the average number as the no_wall_data.root file and run it with the no wall data, with wall model case


Dataset Plot

- Using the code `plot_toy_Data.C` to plot




Update







- Adding an event in the wall model area to the dataset
 - Got more precise region that with wall data + no wall model can work
 - Random dataset for the pt (15, 3)




Fixed
dataset




Pt: (15, 3)




Pt: (15, 3), random dataset




ERROR



(7.8117, 2.8747)



(6.7823, 2.8856)



(7.9576, 2.9180)

ERROR





To do

- Do more points for random dataset
- Redo previous tests?





Previous Slides

Update

- Added an event to the wall model



WDM





WDM

WDM

No point added

Histogram of __S1_logS2






To do


- More runs?
- Other positions for the added point, change the S1, S2 range of wall model?

Update

- Finished the test on wall model:
 - S1 -> 1/5 S1
 - Sigma of wall constraint : 0.5->0.8

























Sigma = 0.8





Sigma = 0.5

- Changed rate of wall event












60 d, 0.9 events



60 d, 15 events




60 d, 7.5 events




60 d, 2 events

$\mu_{\text{wall}} = 2$




NDWM, cst, sigma = 0.8
(0.9875, 2.7741)

$\mu_{\text{wall}} = 7.5$




NDWM, cst, sigma = 0.8
(1, 2.6087)


$\mu_{\text{wall}} = 15$




NDWM, cst, sigma = 0.8
(0.8603, 2.7281)



WDWM, cst, sigma = 0.8
(3.0616, 2.9083)



WDWM, cst, sigma = 0.8
(0.7899, 2.8262)




WDWM, cst, sigma = 0.8
(2.2494, 2.8446)


Error: Fit to the model failed

WDNM

ndwm, obs_Data, mu_sig




wdwm, obs_data, mu_sig




Update

- 10 Runs for with constraint and w/out constraint

Obs_data generated from workspace
60d, 40 GeV
Wall Model (80, 3)




Model+Data,cst
Mu_sig set to 0




Model+Data,cst

500 Toys


Calculator type = 0




NDWM




WDNM




WDWM




NDNM




NDWM, cst




WDNM, cst



WDWM, cst



NDNM



10 runs,
500 Toys
Calculator type = 0
60 d

To do

- Run with $1/5$ S1 value on wall model for comparison
- Play with the width of sigma for wall constraint
- Include +/- 1sig, 2 sig in the comparison?

Update

- 1. Fixed the entry problem
 - Set initial μ_{sig} value to be 0 (Thanks to Jonathan's intuition😊)
 - Able to run No model + data case
- 2. Tried the discovery case

60 d problem

Sensitivity

OBSERVED DATA

>> Generating a random dataset

mu_bckg = 247.045

mu_sig = 0

mu_total = 247.045

n_0 (Poisson) = 247

Data+model

Generate data.root file

OBSERVED DATA

Data file:

/afs/hep.wisc.edu/home/liu674/LowE_NR_group/projects/MDC3/DataWithWall.root

RooDataSet::obs_data[S1,logS2] = 341 entries

mu_B8	=	1.36605	+/-	0.0556527	(limited)
mu_beta	=	163.847	+/-	24.5343	(limited)
mu_highnr	=	29.9979	+/-	1.95864	(1
mu_pp	=	0.00842288	+/-	0.000168463	(1
mu_sig	=	<u>105.319</u>	+/-	<u>10.2295</u>	(limited)
mu_vvBB	=	<u>40.251</u>	+/-	21.0395	(limited)
mu_wall	=	0.697606	+/-	0.383162	(limited)

OBSERVED DATA

Data file:

/afs/hep.wisc.edu/home/liu674/LowE_NR_group/projects/MDC3/DataNoWall.root

RooDataSet::obs_data[S1,logS2] = 340 entries

No Data+model






OBSERVED DATA

Data file:

/afs/hep.wisc.edu/home/liu674/LowE_NR_group/projects/MDC3/DataNoWall.root

RooDataSet::obs_data[S1,logS2] = 340 entries


mu_B8	=	1.36777	+/-	0.0546722	(limited)
mu_beta	=	160.101	+/-	25.8269	(limited)
mu_highnr	=	30	+/-	22.5884	(limited)
mu_pp	=	0.00842287	+/-	0.000168458	(1
mu_sig	=	106.25	+/-	<u>10.7453</u>	(limited)
mu_vvBB	=	<u>40.8155</u>	+/-	20.4589	(limited)
mu_wall	=	0.829442	+/-	0.432274	(limited)




60 livedays
500 Toys
Calculator
Type = 0

	WDWM, sensitivity	WDWM, data	NDWM	WDNM	NDNM
Entries	240	241	240	241	239
Mu_sig	1.38 +/- 1.95	4.36 +/- 2.62	6.63e-6 +/- 0.57	4.36 +/- 2.62	7.04e-13 +/- 0.94
Mu_wall	0.70 +/- 0.45	0.69 +/- 0.45	0.71 +/- 0.45	-----	-----


No data+model




data+model, data




data+model, sensitivity



data+ no model




Discovery case



Model+data, input: data file
generated from the workspace that
has wall model

Error
To be continued.....

Model+data, sensitivity



No data+model

OBSERVED DATA

 Data file:
 /afs/hep.wisc.edu/home/liu674/LowE_NR_group/projects/MDC3/DataWithWall1_1000.root
 t
 RooDataSet::obs_data[S1,logS2] = 241 entries

mu_B8	=	1.36456	+/-	0.0546767	(limited)
mu_beta	=	167.588	+/-	31.97	(limited)
mu_highnr	=	26.8552	+/-	27.9975	(1
mu_pp	=	0.00842286	+/-	0.000168457	(1
mu_sig	=	4.36398	+/-	2.61586	(limited)
mu_vvBB	=	41.7393	+/-	20.6331	(limited)
mu_wall	=	0.694122	+/-	0.450323	(limited)

Data+model

OBSERVED DATA

 Data file:
 /afs/hep.wisc.edu/home/liu674/LowE_NR_group/projects/MDC3/DataNoWall1_1000.root
 RooDataSet::obs_data[S1,logS2] = 240 entries

mu_B8	=	1.36613	+/-	0.0546374	(limited)
mu_beta	=	183.551	+/-	31.967	(limited)
mu_highnr	=	17.2461	+/-	23.1274	(limited)
mu_pp	=	0.00842285	+/-	0.000168457	(limited)
mu_sig	=	6.63435e-06	+/-	0.568307	(limited)
mu_vvBB	=	38.9405	+/-	20.4845	(limited)
mu_wall	=	0.710063	+/-	0.448702	(limited)

No Data+model

Model + Data, sensitivity

OBSERVED DATA

>> Generating a random dataset

mu_bckg = 240.734

mu_sig = 0

mu_total = 240.734

n_0 (Poisson) = 240

>> Random data file created successfully:

./output/lzstats-workspace_change_wall_60d_wdwm_sun

req-nPOI_9-two_sided-NP_on-run1/data/mockData.root

RooDataSet::obs_data[S1,logS2] = 240 entries

```
..... ---
mu_B8      = 1.36882      +/- 0.0546152 (limited)
mu_beta    = 162.13      +/- 25.749    (limited)
mu_highnr   = 29.9997      +/- 19.2077   (1
mu_pp       = 0.00842288  +/- 0.000168457 (1
mu_sig      = 1.38307    +/- 1.95374   (limited)
mu_vvBB     = 42.7318     +/- 20.5285   (limited)
mu_wall    = 0.69713    +/- 0.450321  (limited)
```

Model+data, data

OBSERVED DATA

Data file:

/afs/hep.wisc.edu/home/liu674/LowE_NR_group/p

RooDataSet::obs_data[S1,logS2] = 241 entries

```
mu_B8      = 1.36456      +/- 0.0546767 (limited)
mu_beta    = 167.588      +/- 31.97     (limited)
mu_highnr   = 26.8552      +/- 27.9975   (1
mu_pp       = 0.00842286  +/- 0.000168457 (1
mu_sig      = 4.36398    +/- 2.61586   (limited)
mu_vvBB     = 41.7393     +/- 20.6331   (limited)
mu_wall    = 0.694122   +/- 0.450323  (limited)
```

Model+ No data

OBSERVED DATA

Data file:


/afs/hep.wisc.edu/home/liu674/LowE_NR_group/p

RooDataSet::obs_data[S1,logS2] = 240 entries


```
..... ---
mu_B8      = 1.36613      +/- 0.0546374 (limited)
mu_beta    = 183.551      +/- 31.967    (limited)
mu_highnr   = 17.2461      +/- 23.1274   (1
mu_pp       = 0.00842285  +/- 0.000168457 (1
mu_sig      = 6.63435e-06 +/- 0.568307 (limited)
mu_vvBB     = 38.9405     +/- 20.4845   (limited)
mu_wall    = 0.710063   +/- 0.448702  (limited)
```

Update


- Add constraint function to wall model
 - Run model + data, model+no data case for 60d
 - Run LZStats with calculator type 1, with 500 toys caused a crash in the middle
 - Compare the result of calculator type = 1 and 0 (Toy = 100)
- Run no data, with model case with other background source (vvBB) --> how it affects the mu_sig value



with model, no data
(0, 3.032)




with model, with data
(1.7536, 2.8262)




no model, no data
(2.7009, 3.6545)


60 livedays
500Toys
40GeV
With wall constraint
Calculator Type = 0



with model, no data
(0, 3.0115)




with model, with data
(1.5759, 2.6897)




no model, no data
(2.7009, 3.6545)

60 livedays
500Toys
40GeV
No wall constraint
Calculator Type = 0





60 d
Data+model
No wall constraint







60 d
Data+model
With wall constraint




60 d
No Data+model
No wall constrain




60 d
No Data+model
With wall constraint






60 d
Data+model
No wall constraint



60 d
Data+model
With wall constraint




60 d
No Data+model
No wall constraint


60 d
No Data+model
With wall constraint

Calculator type

60 livedays
100Toys
40GeV
With wall constraint




With Model, with data
Calculator Type = 1
(3.1623, 3.1623)



With model, with data
Calculator Type = 0
(4.3928, 3.0854)

Calculator type

60 livedays
100Toys
40GeV
With wall constraint




With Model, with data
Calculator Type = 1

With model, with data
Calculator Type = 0

Calculator type


60 livedays
100Toys
40GeV
With wall constraint



With Model, with data
Calculator Type = 1


With model, with data
Calculator Type = 0

vvBB 60 d




no vvBBdata + model
(0, 3.1623)

μ_{B8}	= 1.37045	+/-	0.0546247 (limited)
μ_{beta}	= 124.442	+/-	25.0879 (limited)
μ_{highnr}	= 29.9959	+/-	21.4604 (1
μ_{pp}	= 0.00842288	+/-	0.000168457 (1
μ_{sig}	= 97.6774	+/-	10.1734 (limited)
μ_{vvBB}	= 43.095	+/-	20.5078 (limited)




no wall data + model
(0, 3.0115)

μ_{B8}	= 1.36838	+/-	0.0546394 (limited)
μ_{beta}	= 179.293	+/-	22.1503 (limited)
μ_{highnr}	= 0.0961636	+/-	0.0718755 (limit
μ_{pp}	= 41.2129	+/-	0.824277 (limited)
μ_{sig}	= 95.0326	+/-	10.2029 (limited)
μ_{vvBB}	= 29.0058	+/-	15.7028 (limited)
μ_{wall}	= 0.717923	+/-	0.449614 (limited)




with vvBB data + model
(2.7009, 3.6545)

μ_{B8}	= 1.3685	+/-	0.0545922 (limited)
μ_{beta}	= 148.658	+/-	21.7967 (limited)
μ_{highnr}	= 0.0920129	+/-	0.0719094 (limited)
μ_{pp}	= 41.2149	+/-	0.824283 (limited)
μ_{sig}	= 3.76949e-06	+/-	0.656966 (limited)
μ_{vvBB}	= 49.9272	+/-	15.5211 (limited)
μ_{wall}	= 1.19545	+/-	0.379159 (limited)




with model, no data
(0, 8.5611)



with model, with data
(5.5488, 5.3783)


1000livedays
500Toys
40GeV
S1 = 16




no model, no data
(0, 5.5492)

New update


- Create wall data
- Finished four cases of the wall model and the data for 1000d, 1500~1700min to run
- Change 1000d -> 60d, 80~100min to run
- Change S1 value (80) of the wall model -> 1/5 S1 (16)




Interpolation order = 0




Interpolation order = 2




Interpolation order = 1



Interpolation order = 3




With model, with data
(2.763, 5.0081)



No model, no data
(0.8653, 4.5748)

1000 livedays
500 Toys
40GeV



With model, no data
(0, 8.1071)



Fluctuation on 500 toys
10 runs, non-log: 5.6%
5 runs, log: 9.7%

Error Log


```

MnSeedGenerator: for initial parameters FCN = -1e+30
[#0] WARNING:Minization -- RooMinimizerFcn: Minimized function has error status.
Returning maximum FCN so far (-1e+30) to force MIGRAD to back out of this region. Error log follows
Parameter values: mu_B8=33.7589, mu_beta=2788.13, mu_highnr=1.59861, mu_pp=685.575, mu_sig=2, mu_vvBB=6
03.82
  
```


No model, with data



With model, with data




No model, no data




With model, no data


Mu_wall




With model, with data




With model, no data



with model, no data
(0, 3.0115)



with model, with data
(1.5759, 2.6897)



no model, no data
(2.7009, 3.6545)

60 livedays
500Toys
40GeV

1000d S1 = 80

NMND

```
mu_B8      = 22.6238      +/- 0.900904 (limited)
mu_beta    = 2601.55      +/- 125.835  (limited)
mu_highnr  = 1.91342      +/- 1.1663   (limited)
mu_pp      = 685.552      +/- 13.7085  (limited)
mu_sig     = 2.52418      +/- 3.53167  (limited)
mu_vvBB    = 790.199      +/- 111.955  (limited)
Minuit2Minimizer::Hesse using max-calls 3000
```

WMND

```
mu_B8      = 22.8801      +/- 0.899772 (limited)
mu_beta    = 2790.25      +/- 128.957  (limited)
mu_highnr  = 1.66107      +/- 1.18804  (limited)
mu_pp      = 685.734      +/- 13.7083  (limited)
mu_sig     = 99.7426      +/- 11.7455  (limited)
mu_vvBB    = 599.906      +/- 113.991  (limited)
mu_wall    = 0.00020556   +/- 0.515219 (limited)
Minuit2Minimizer::Hesse using max-calls 3500
```

WMWD

```
mu_B8      = 22.7223      +/- 0.898862 (limited)
mu_beta    = 2721.68      +/- 126.416  (limited)
mu_highnr  = 1.19898      +/- 1.16059  (limited)
mu_pp      = 685.503      +/- 13.7088  (limited)
mu_sig     = 0.000179582  +/- 1.85019  (limited)
mu_vvBB    = 672.398      +/- 112.31   (limited)
mu_wall    = 15.3528      +/- 3.95649  (limited)
```

1000d S1 = 16

```
mu_B8      = 22.9834      +/- 0.893984 (limited)
mu_beta    = 2849.35      +/- 126.579  (limited)
mu_highnr  = 1.71022      +/- 1.1814   (limited)
mu_pp      = 685.588      +/- 13.7087  (limited)
mu_sig     = 2.61366      +/- 3.32041  (limited)
mu_vvBB    = 534.428      +/- 111.676  (limited)
```

```
mu_B8      = 22.8725      +/- 0.899812 (limited)
mu_beta    = 2789.93      +/- 128.964  (limited)
mu_highnr  = 1.64896      +/- 1.19026  (limited)
mu_pp      = 685.74       +/- 13.7083  (limited)
mu_sig     = 99.6011      +/- 11.7303  (limited)
mu_vvBB    = 600.23       +/- 114.003  (limited)
mu_wall    = 4.31981e-06  +/- 0.591311 (limited)
```

```
mu_B8      = 22.6987      +/- 0.89895  (limited)
mu_beta    = 2742.21      +/- 125.106  (limited)
mu_highnr  = 1.35402      +/- 1.15881  (limited)
mu_pp      = 685.633      +/- 13.7084  (limited)
mu_sig     = 9.18943e-06  +/- 3.24849  (limited)
mu_vvBB    = 648.585      +/- 110.768  (limited)
mu_wall    = 6.22466      +/- 2.53271  (limited)
```


60d S1 = 80

```
mu_B8      = 1.36768      +/- 0.0546333 (limited)
mu_beta    = 168.331      +/- 21.494   (limited)
mu_highnr  = 0.0948004    +/- 0.0719291 (limited)
mu_pp      = 41.2164      +/- 0.824267 (limited)
mu_sig     = 0.339844     +/- 1.10286  (limited)
mu_vvBB    = 34.6605      +/- 15.4484  (limited)
```


```
mu_B8      = 1.36835      +/- 0.0546378 (limited)
mu_beta    = 179.012      +/- 22.1341  (limited)
mu_highnr  = 0.0960529    +/- 0.0718966 (limited)
mu_pp      = 41.2192      +/- 0.824248 (limited)
mu_sig     = 95.0126      +/- 10.1997  (limited)
mu_vvBB    = 29.2128      +/- 15.6858  (limited)
mu_wall    = 0.000137438  +/- 0.559187 (limited)
```

```
mu_B8      = 1.36836      +/- 0.054593 (limited)
mu_beta    = 156.383      +/- 21.5197  (limited)
mu_highnr  = 0.0951341    +/- 0.0718702 (limited)
mu_pp      = 41.2111      +/- 0.824279 (limited)
mu_sig     = 2.46738e-05  +/- 0.755975 (limited)
mu_vvBB    = 44.4113      +/- 15.3156  (limited)
mu_wall    = 2.01062      +/- 1.41745  (limited)
```



With model, with data








No model, no data




With model, no data








WM, WD











NW,ND



ND,WM







Update

- How the wall model affects the limit
- Learnt a lot about RooFit
- With Jonathan's great help, able to create the wall model and add it to the workspace.
- 4 cases


With wall model	No wall data
With wall model	With wall data
No wall model	No wall data
No wall model	With wall data

Wall model


- Create workspace:
 - Wall model: create 2 step functions, S1, and logS2, and take their product and add it to the EventModel
 - Can control the expected event of wall model: `w->var("mu_wall")->setVal();`
 - Set the mu_wall to be 15, for 1000 livedays



Setting:
Min_POI = 0.1
Max_POI = 10
#POI_SCAN = 9
LogScan
#TOY = 100
Livedays = 1000
WIMP_mass = 40GeV
Interpolation = 3



No wall model, no wall data
 $\mu_{\text{sig}} = 4.8543$



With wall model, with wall data
 $\mu_{\text{sig}} = 4.9522$


To Do

- Finish the other two cases
- Increase the toy number, decrease the interpolation order


What's new

- Test on the number of toys (100, 200, 300, 500, 800, 1000)
- Setting:
 - MIN_POI = 0.1
 - MAX_POI = 10
 - WIMP_mass = 6 GeV
 - N_POI = 9
 - Nest_sample_size = 10^8
 - mu_sig range = (0, 200)


NSCAN_POI = 9, log scan




Toy = 100




Toy = 200




Toy = 300



Toy = 500




Toy = 800




Toy = 1000


NSCAN_POI = 9, non-log scan




Toy = 100




Toy = 200




Toy = 300



Toy = 500





Toy = 800




Toy = 1000

#POI = 9
Log_Scan
10 runs




#POI = 9
Non-
Log_Scan
10 runs




What's new

- With the new update, test on the number of toys (100, 200, 300, 500, 800, 1000)
- Setting:
 - MIN_POI = 0.1
 - MAX_POI = 30
 - WIMP_mass = 40 GeV
 - Nest_sample_size = 10^7
 - mu_sig range = (0, 200)


NSCAN_POI = 9, non-log scan




Toy = 100




Toy = 200



Toy = 300




Toy = 500




Toy = 800


NSCAN_POI = 16, non-log scan




Toy = 100




Toy = 200




Toy = 300



Toy = 500




Toy = 800




Toy = 1000


NSCAN_POI = 16, log_scan




Toy = 100




Toy = 200




Toy = 300



Toy = 500





Toy = 800



Toy = 1000

#POI = 16
Non-Log_Scan
10 runs

#POI = 16
Log_Scan
5 runs




	Mu_sig		Cross section	
100	2.035	1.962	2.304E-47	2.221E-47
200	1.862	2.037	2.108E-47	2.306E-47
300	1.782	1.983	2.017E-47	2.244E-47
500	1.891	2.039	2.141E-47	2.309E-47
800	1.834	2.009	2.076E-47	2.274E-47
1000	1.896	1.979	2.146E-47	2.240E-47

Next Step


- The # toy test I did before was on 10 GeV, or do a test on lower WIMP mass, $\sim 6\text{GeV}$

New Update


- Create new workspace for WIMP = 40 GeV, μ_{sig} (-100, 100), (-5, 100) (0, 200)
 - $n_{\text{sample}} = 1\text{e}6$
 - Fiducial mass (kg): 5600
 - Livetime (days): 24
 - Min POI = 0.1
 - Max POI = 30
 - Toys/point: 100
- Compare the graphs for different sources at the lower boundary of μ_{sig} (-100, -5, 0)



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)
 Mu_sig: 1.9457
 Cross section: $2.2e-47$




Mu_sig: (-5, 100)
 Mu_sig: 1.9449
 Cross section: $2.2e-47$




Mu_sig: (0, 200)
 Mu_sig: 1.9457
 Cross section: $2.2e-47$


uncond.mu_pp



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)




Mu_sig: (-5, 100)




Mu_sig: (0, 200)


uncond.mu_vvBB



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)




Mu_sig: (-5, 100)




Mu_sig: (0, 200)


uncond.mu_beta



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)




Mu_sig: (-5, 100)




Mu_sig: (0, 200)


uncond.mu_B8



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)




Mu_sig: (-5, 100)




Mu_sig: (0, 200)


uncond.mu_highnr



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)




Mu_sig: (-5, 100)




Mu_sig: (0, 200)


uncond.mu_sig



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)




Mu_sig: (-5, 100)




Mu_sig: (0, 200)

Problem



□





plr_analyser



$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{L(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{v}})}{L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{v}})}$$

- For each run, a FitResults.root is generated
 - **Conditional & unconditional fit** of mu_sig and backgrounds mu_(pp, vvBB, beta, lownr, highnr, acc)
 - **Global observables** a_(pp, vvBB, beta, lownr, highnr, acc)
 - Conditional fit & unconditional fit for **negative log likelihood**
 - **Test statistic**
 - **Toy type**
 - **prefit_mutot**: the “expected events” with the prefit values of nuisance parameters
 - **mutot**: : the “expected events” with the best fit values of nuisance parameters
 - **n0**: the true number of events inside the toy dataset
- Run PlotFitResults.C and compare different mu_sig range



mu_sig (-5, 100)





mu_sig (-100, 100)





$\mu_{\text{sig}} (-5, 100)$




$\mu_{\text{sig}} (-100, 100)$



uncond.mu_sig (40 GeV)




mu_sig (-5, 100)




mu_sig (-100, 100)

uncond.mu_pp




mu_sig (-5, 100)




mu_sig (-100, 100)

uncond.mu_vvBB




mu_sig (-5, 100)




mu_sig (-100, 100)

uncond.mu_beta





mu_sig (-5, 100)




mu_sig (-100, 100)


uncond.mu_lownr



uncond.mu_highnr




mu_sig (-5, 100)




mu_sig (-100, 100)

uncond.mu_acc



mu_sig (-5, 100)




mu_sig (-100, 100)

Error message

```
Error in <TTreeFormula::Compile>: Bad numerical expression : "a_sig"
Info in <TSelectorDraw::AbortProcess>: Variable compilation failed: {a_sig,ToyType==0}
Error in <TTreeFormula::Compile>: Bad numerical expression : "a_sig"
Info in <TSelectorDraw::AbortProcess>: Variable compilation failed: {a_sig,ToyType==1}
a_pp      a_pp
a_vvBB    a_vvBB
Error in <TTreeFormula::Compile>: Bad numerical expression : "a_beta"
Info in <TSelectorDraw::AbortProcess>: Variable compilation failed: {a_beta,ToyType==0}
Error in <TTreeFormula::Compile>: Bad numerical expression : "a_beta"
Info in <TSelectorDraw::AbortProcess>: Variable compilation failed: {a_beta,ToyType==1}
a_lownr a_lownr
a_highnr      a_highnr
a_acc  a_acc
Info in <TCanvas::Print>: pdf file fitResults_glob_lzstats-20200423_workspace_sumbkg_freebetas_wimp_m40.0_SI_lowPOIbound_5-Freq-one_sided-NP_on-run1.pdf has been created
Info in <TCanvas::Print>: pdf file fitResults_counts_lzstats-20200423_workspace_sumbkg_freebetas_wimp_m40.0_SI_lowPOIbound_5-Freq-one_sided-NP_on-run1.pdf has been created
```

Global observable (Beta)



New update

- New workspace:
 - WIMP: 40GeV
 - Fiducial mass (kg): 5600
 - Livetime (days): 24
 - Min POI = 0.1
 - Max POI = 30
 - Toys/point: 100
- Generation errors with the workspace μ_{sig} (-100, 100), no errors with the workspace μ_{sig} (-5, 100)


Observed data

- Pass MDC3_unsalted_wimp_data as the observed data instead of “sensitivity”.
- Errors:


```
[#0] WARNING:Minization -- RooMinimizerFcn: Minimized function has error status.
```

```
p.d.f value is less than zero (-0.000170), forcing value to zero @ !
```


```
[#0] WARNING:Eval -- HypoTestInverterResult::CalculateEstimatedError - cannot estimate the lower limit error
```



Mu_sig: (-5, 100)
 Mu_sig: 2.0947
 Cross section: 2.15e-47



Mu_sig: (-100, 100)
 Mu_sig: 2.9341
 Cross section: 3e-47



Mu_sig: (-5, 100)
 Mu_sig: 3.0639
 Cross section: 3.14e-47
 Unsalted_wimp_data

Error (limit setting)

```
EvalPLR: POI_test = 30, POI_hat = -4.71328, unconstrained ML = -50.3983, constrained ML = -16.9961, TS = 66.8045, time (create/fit1/2) 0, 2.54, 0.79, status (U/C) 0, 0  
[#0] ERROR:Generation -- a_beta::RooRealVar::randomize: fails with unbounded fit range  
----> Trying with Migradimproved  
----> Trying with Scan
```

Each toy run has this error, 3 GeV and 40 GeV



$\mu_{\text{sig}} = (-100, 100)$, negative bound setting




46 GeV w, $\mu_{\text{sig}} (0,100)$



No more this error

Error (Discover)




40 GeV, μ_{sig} : (-100, 100)


Error: generation

H0: only background, $\mu_{\text{signal}} = 0$

H1: both background and signal



New Error (Discovery)



46 GeV, $\mu_{\text{sig}} (0, 100)$

Fraction: 1839 errors out of 3200 total toy run

```
-> test statistic is negative (fit failed) - setting it to -1  
EvalPLR: POI_test = 0, POI_hat = 8.32396e-06, uncond_ML = -2.8915, cond_ML = -2.  
89173, TS = -1, time (create/fit1/2) 0, 0.3, 0.17, status (U/C) 0, 0  
-> test statistic is negative (fit failed) - setting it to -1
```

To do

- Dig into the cause of errors:
 - Start with 3 GeV, change the μ_{sig} to (0, 100), limiting μ_{sig} to positive value.

Update+To do

- Fitting errors at the low mass (3GeV) for the new workspace → write a code to count the total errors → figure out what causes the error
- Also errors for the 40 GeV.
 - Screen, can only see the parts starting from POI=12.5, no errors after that
 - Run 40 GeV again, errors at lower POI
- Have problem with the master branch on LZStats


New update

- PdfMaker: add YAML configuration to WIMP & Background PDF
 - Do not need to change parameters in LZNESTUtils and source setup & build everytime changes a parameter
 - Tested n_sample parameter in YAML config, it works as expected!
- LZStats:
 - When source setup.sh, it changes ROOT to version 6.16
 - Works on Jonathan's git branch (jn_ROOT6.20.00) to test how ROOT 6.20 affect the run time of different interpolation order

ROOT 6.18 & 6.20



	0th	1st	2nd	3rd
run_time(min)	53.9 (10 runs)	286.1 (10 runs)	651.9 (10 runs)	641
STD	1.9	12.1	22.9	
run_time(min)	44	278.5 (2 runs)	245?	621

run_time vs. order






1st & 2nd orders

Submit 10 runs at the same time, some runs are not using 100% CPU at the beginning -> higher run time for these specific runs -> higher STD




Interpolation

WIMP mass: 10GeV
N_sample: 10 ^6



mu_sig vs. order




What's new?

- New update
- Try different interpolation order 0~3
- Organize the output


New update (Detector)

Parameter	Before	After
g1	0.118735	0.150
g1_gas	0.1018	0.1186
eLife_us	850	944.56
r_max_fiducial	688 cm	688 mm
z_max_fiducial	1330 cm	1330 mm

WIMP signal PDF




Before




After

Interpolation Method


- Construct new data points within the range of know data points (discrete set) → bring up the bins surrounded by 0 events
- Change interpolation order 0~3(in the code to create workspace)







0th






1st



2nd?









What's new?

- Try different # of Toys.
- Plot μ_{sig} , -1 sig, +1 sig, -2 sig, +2sig, cross section vs. NToys


$g1 = 0.118$, 10^7 sample size




Toy = 100




Toy = 200




Toy = 300




Toy = 500





Toy = 800



Toy = 1000






What's new?

- Run 10 GeV WIMP mass with changing g_1 values (0.05, 0.118, 0.13) and changing n_{sample} values (10^6 & 10^7)

10 GeV


$n_{\text{sample}} = 10^6$



$g_1 = 0.05$

$\mu_{\text{sig}} = 2.1429$


$\text{cross_section} = 6.8\text{e-}47$



$g_1 = 0.118$

$\mu_{\text{sig}} = 3.7500$

$\text{cross_section} = 1.84\text{e-}47$




$g_1 = 0.13$


$\mu_{\text{sig}} = 5.4167$

$\text{cross_section} = 2.3\text{e-}47$

10 GeV, $g_1 = 0.05$




$N_{\text{sample}} = 10^6$
 $\mu_{\text{sig}} = 2.1429$
cross_section = 6.8×10^{-47}




$N_{\text{sample}} = 10^7$
 $\mu_{\text{sig}} = 2.2449$
cross_section = 7.2×10^{-47}

10 GeV, $g_1 = 0.118$




$N_{\text{sample}} = 10^6$
 $\mu_{\text{sig}} = 3.7500$
 $\text{cross_section} = 1.84\text{e-}47$




$N_{\text{sample}} = 10^7$
 $\mu_{\text{sig}} = 5.54$
 $\text{cross_section} = 2.74\text{e-}47$

10 GeV, $g_1 = 0.13$




$N_{\text{sample}} = 10^6$
 $\mu_{\text{sig}} = 5.4167$
 $\text{cross_section} = 2.3\text{e-}47$




$N_{\text{sample}} = 10^7$
 $\mu_{\text{sig}} = 4.3544$
 $\text{cross_section} = 1.8\text{e-}47$


10 GeV
 $n_{\text{sample}} = 10^7$



$g_1 = 0.05$
 $\mu_{\text{sig}} = 2.2449$
 $\text{cross_section} = 7.2\text{e-}47$



$g_1 = 0.118$
 $\mu_{\text{sig}} = 5.54$
 $\text{cross_section} = 2.74\text{e-}47$




$g_1 = 0.13$
 $\mu_{\text{sig}} = 4.3544$
 $\text{cross_section} = 1.8\text{e-}47$

Comparison (10 GeV vs 40 GeV)

- Change g_1 from 0.05 $\rightarrow$ 0.13
 - Cross section for 10 GeV changes 75%
 - Cross section for 40 GeV changes 27%
- Why is there a more dramatic change in lower WIMP mass when changing g_1 ?




Limit plot

$10 \text{ GeV} \sim 3e^{-47}$






WIMP 10GeV

$N_{\text{sample}} = 10^6$



$N_{\text{sample}} = 10^7$



$g1 = 0.05$




$g1 = 0.118$

$g1 = 0.13$

What's new?

- Analyze the graph
- Change g_1 value and compare the limits

POI = 0 $\sim$ 20




g1

- g1
 - Photon detection efficiency: the average fraction of S1 light that is detected by PMTs.
 - Detected photoelectrons/emitted scintillation photon
 - Property of the combination of PMTs and detector, grid reflectivity, Xe absorption of light...
- LZsim baseline in Liquid Xe

$g1_{\min} \sim 0.05$



$g1_{\text{default}} \sim 0.118$

$g1_{\max} \sim 0.13$




Code


- LZNESTUtils
 - Change Detector parameters
 - Source setup.sh
 - Source .ci/build.sh
 - Get new NESTInterference
- PdfMaker
 - Recompile signal and background codes with the new NESTInterference



$g1 = 0.05$
 $\mu_{sig} = 3.5027$
 $cross_section = 1.44e^{-48}$



$g1 = 0.118$
 $\mu_{sig} = 4.0625$
 $cross_section = 1.12e^{-48}$



$g1 = 0.13$
 $\mu_{sig} = 3.9835$
 $cross_section = 1.05e^{-48}$

To do

- Try lower WIMP mass (10GeV) and see how the sensitivity changes.

What's new:

- Figure out what's causing the error.
 - Constant pp value → in the code(for HypoTestInversion), remove any constant parameters → number of parameters are not consistent.
- Update the Low_NR_Groups, re-generate the workspace.
 - No more problem running the LZStats.
- Compare the two versions of code and workspaces

Code (pp)

Before	After
<pre>a0_tmp = bckg_rate * exposure = bckg_rate*livedays*fiducial_mass = 542.16 a_pp = a0_tmp mu_pp = Range (507, 574) sigma_pp = systematic_uncertainties * a0_tmp = 2% * 542.16 ~10</pre>	<pre>a0_tmp = bckg_rate * exposure = bckg_rate*livedays*fiducial_mass = 542.16 a_pp = a0_tmp mu_pp = a0_tmp sigma_pp = systematic_uncertainties * a0_tmp = 2% * 542.16 ~10</pre>
<pre>w->factory("Gaussian::constraint_pp(mu_pp,a_pp,sigma_pp)");</pre>	

Workspace (comparison)

- Information printed out from workspace:
 - Constraints changed:

Background	Before	After
B8	0.011109	1
DSN	0.011109	1
DetER	0.011109	1
DetNR	0.011109	1
Kr85	0.011109	1
Rn220	0.011109	1
Rn222	0.572416	1
atm	0.011109	1
hep	0.011109	1
pp	0.000521151	1
vvBB	0.825786	1



Constraint: Gaussian
distribution of
(x=mu_pp
mean = a_pp,
Sigma = sigma_pp)

$$\sim \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}$$

- Total Event Model:
 - Event Model * Total Constraints

	Before	After
Event Model	8.09546e-13	4.80763e-13
Total Event Model	4.62575e-32	4.80763e-13

WIMP (40GeV)






What's done

- LZNESTUtils: Change to a new branch
- PdfMakers: compile the background code successfully
- LowR_NR: passed 12 root files (1 signal +11 backgrounds) to make a workspace (1 root file)
- LZStats: run the code locally with the workspace generated from the LowR_NR

Running LZStats

- Frequentist Analysis (limit setting)
 - Confidence level: 90%
 - Fiducial mass (kg): 5600
 - Livetime(days) : 1000
 - Paritcle mass (GeV): 40
 - MC toys per point : 100
 - Number of Points: 9
 - Min POI: 0
 - Max POI: 20


Problem





```
Error in <ROOT::Math::FitResult>: FitConfiguration and Minimizer result are not  
consistent  
Number of free parameters from FitConfig = 12  
Number of free parameters from Minimizer = 11
```

Changed LZStats

- Basic structure:



Output of signal (40GeV WIMP) from PdfMaker




Workspace → RooWorkspace

- Settings
 - WIMP_mass = 40
 - Interaction type = Spin Independent
 - Livedays = 1000
 - Fiducial (kg) = 5600

Problem/To do

- Have trouble compiling the background code, one function in the background code is not defined in the LZNESTUtils → possible solution: change branches in the LZNESTUtils.


Analysis of a single graph



Hypothesis:

- **H0:** The data has both background and signal events fixed signal
- **H1:** The data only has background events floating

Increasing POI (0~15)




Method

- Background is fixed for different WIMP masses
- For one WIMP mass, increasing values of the parameter of interest (POI) $\rightarrow$ the cross section of the WIMP's interaction with Xenon
- Find the upper limit on the POI

$$L(\mu, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(\mu s_j + b_j)^{n_j}}{n_j!} e^{-(\mu s_j + b_j)} \prod_{k=1}^M \frac{u_k^{m_k}}{m_k!} e^{-u_k}$$



For high POI $\rightarrow$ high μs_j




Equations & Graphs

$$\lambda(\mu) = \frac{L(\mu, \hat{\theta})}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\theta})}$$

μ : fixed
 $\hat{\mu}$: floating


$$t_{\mu} = -2 \ln \lambda(\mu)$$

$\ln x$ vs x




To do

- How to get the upper limit, basically how to get this graph from the nine graphs.
- Analyze the graph





Done:



- For mass = 2.0000 GeV
 - ERROR: WIMP histogram is empty for mass 2.0 → Mass 2.0 is too small
- Mass: 10, 16.2222, 27.8256, 46.4159, 66.6667, 100, 200, 1000
- Moved the output from scratch to hdfs.
- Settings: 100 Ntoys, 1e7 samples, take 9 POI, 1000 livedays





Mass = 16.2222









Mass = 16.2222





Mass = 66.6667





log scale lz sensitivity :



Understanding the structure of LZStats

- **Submit_MassJobs.sh:**
 - calls for the other two scripts, the one that submits jobs to the cluster
 - Kr mass = 2.0000 & 66.6667
 - #jobs for each mass = 10
- **runWiscJobs.py:**
 - Defines variables and parameters for a job running
- **Submit_lzstats.sh:**
 - When run Submit_MassJobs.sh, each job runs this script on the cluster
- **Setup.sh:**
 - set up the environment

Problem

- For one mass, when extracting 10 tar.gz files, only get one result “...run1”
- Check the error text, ImportError

```
ImportError: /cvmfs/lz.opensciencegrid.org/external/Python/2.7.15/x86_64-centos7-  
-gcc7-opt/lib/python2.7/lib-dynload/array.so: undefined symbol: _PySlice_Unpack  
Info in <RooWorkspace::SaveAs>: ROOT file ./output/lzstats-LZ projected 1e7-WIMP
```

- Ask Jonathan:
 - For the Jobs submitted to the cluster, the python cannot load the yaml package → unable to change the run number → all the runs have the same run number, run1
- The yaml package is exported in setup.sh