## Summary of Heavy Flavour WG

Andy Mehta (U. Liverpool) <u>Gennaro Corcella</u> (CERN) <u>Massimo Corradi</u> (INFN Bologna)



**R. Thorne: VFNS at NNLO**One more problem in defining VFNS. Ordering for 
$$F_{2}^{H}(x,Q^{2})$$
 different for  $n_{f}$  and $n_{f} + 1$  regions. $n_{f} + 1$  regions. $n_{f} - 1$  region. $n_{f} - 1$  regio

Up to now ACOT have used e.g.

$$\mathsf{NLO} \qquad \frac{\alpha_S}{4\pi} C_{2,Hg}^{FF,1} \otimes g^{n_f} \to \frac{\alpha_S}{4\pi} (C_{2,HH}^{VF,1} \otimes (h + \bar{h}) + C_{2,Hg}^{FF,1} \otimes g^{n_f+1}),$$

i.e., same order of  $lpha_S$  above and below.

But LO evolution below and NLO evolution above. Slope discontinuous.

TR have used e.g.

$$\mathsf{LO} \quad \frac{\alpha_{S}(Q^{2})}{4\pi} C^{FF,1}_{2,Hg}(Q^{2}/m_{H}^{2}) \otimes g^{nf}(Q^{2}) \to \frac{\alpha_{S}(M^{2})}{4\pi} C^{FF,1}_{2,Hg}(1) \otimes g^{nf}(M^{2})$$

$$+C^{VF,0}_{2,HH}(Q^2/m_H^2)\otimes (h+\bar{h})(Q^2)$$

i.e. freeze higher order  $\alpha_S$  term when going upwards through  $Q^2 = m_H^2$ .

This difference in choice is extremely important at low  $Q^2$  (if using  $\mu^2 = Q^2$ ).

F<sub>2</sub><sup>c</sup> RT style and ACOT style

Can produce full NNLO predictions for charm with discontinuous partons, but continuous  $F^H(x,Q^2)$ .

Approximation in  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^3)$  heavy flavour coefficient functions for  $Q^2 \leq m_H^2$  and frozen for  $Q^2 > m_H^2$ .

Results not very sensitive to choices in this, within sensible range.

Clearly improves match to lowest  $Q^2$  data, where NLO always too low.









- (New DIS & Jet data) Shift of Gluon for C5M to C6M is large
  - Charm PDF tied to gluon  $(g \rightarrow cc)$
- Small <u>visual</u> difference between C6M and C6H

Shift due to both scheme and uncertainty



Ь-







G. Corcella, M. Corradi Summary of Heavy Flavour WG

6

determined the band of PDF's can greatly

underestimate the true uncertainty

Warning: The Director General has

oution	Reasonable $\chi^2$ values	(CTFOK did not fit di muon data	ULE VO ala not ju al-muon auta			,	More parameters,		IOWEI VAIUE OF X			Uniy ai-muon data is	sensitive to s(x) !!!				•	•			Idea: and -har data	tuvu. unu vui uuu	separately determine	s and s-bar distributions
x) distril	Free	0.72	0.59	1.44	1.13	1.11	1.11	0.94	1.03	1.15	1.49	0.91	1.03	1.88	0.42	0.83	0.52	0.82	0.38	0.67	1.47	2133	S	
vary s()	Mixed	0.79	0.59	1.55	1.15	1.11	1.10	0.94	1.03	1.14	1.51	0.91	1.06	1.81	0.44	0.82	0.52	0.82	0.39	0.70	1.48	2142	91 data point	, E
bal Fit:	Constrained	0.85	0.54	1.70	1.30	1.11	1.10	0.95	1.03	1.14	1.50	0.91	1.07	1.71	0.42	0.82	0.61	0.83	0.40	0.65	1.48	2144	Total of 19	0207-012 20
Glo	CTEQ6M	1.02	0.58	1.81	1.48	111	1.10	0.94	1.02	1.14	1.52	0.91	1.05	1.70	0.42	0.82	0.62	0.82	0.39	0.71	1.48	2173		et al <u>.</u> IHFP
	$\chi^2$ / DOF	CCFR Nu	<b>CCFR Nu-bar</b>	NuTeV Nu	NuTeV Nu-bar	BCDMS F2p	<b>BCDMS F2d</b>	H1 96/97	H1 98/99	ZEUS 96/97	NMC F2p	NMC F2d/F2p	NMC F2d/F2p <q<sup>2&gt;</q<sup>	CCFR F2	CCFR F3	E605	NA51	$CDF$ $\ell$ Asym	E866	D0 Jets	CDF Jets	TOTAL	-	CTFO6- I Pumplin

#### N umpini, et al., 5 . ) ) ) 5

10 G. Corcella, M. Corradi Summary of Heavy Flavour WG

A. Mitov: Soft Resummation for HQ in DIS

# Interplay between soft logs and quark masses.

$$H^{soft}(z, \mu_F^2, \lambda) = 2C_F \left\{ 2 \left( \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z} \right)_+ - \left( \frac{\ln(1-\lambda z)}{1-z} \right)_+ + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1-z}{(1-\lambda z)^2} \right)_+ + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1$$

z - related to the partonic equivalent of the Bjorken variable.

However: the z->1 behavior depends very strongly on the value <u>of the mass m (through λ).</u> Since z->1 effects become important also for moderate values of z (0.6 – 0.8), we divide the mass range into:

massive case: m/Q~1 , i.e. λ<<1, massless case: m/Q<<1 , i.e. λ ≈1.

A. Mitov





Soft resummation for heavy quark production A. Mitov



	A. Mitov: NNLO HQ Fragmentation Function his way, all large logs are absorbed in the function $E_{ab}(\mu, \mu_0, z)$ and are
¥ [	esumed with the DGLAP equation to all orders in $\alpha_S$ . herefore to achieve resummation up to logarithmic order n, one needs the
II	litial condition to order $n$ and the splitting functions to the same order.
Ι	$\begin{aligned} D_{a \to \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathrm{ini}}(\mu_0, m, z) &= \delta_a \mathcal{Q} \delta(1-z) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} d_{a \to \mathcal{Q}}^{(1)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 d_{a \to \mathcal{Q}}^{(2)} + \cdots \\ &= \mathrm{LL} + \mathrm{NLL} + \mathrm{NNLL} + \cdots \end{aligned}$
	• $d^{(1)}$ - computed by Mele and Nason (1991).
	• We have evaluated $d_{a \to Q}^{(2)}$ for $a = Q$ , $\overline{Q}$ , $q, \overline{q}$ , gluon.
	Collect all pieces:
$q_i$	$\sigma_{H} = (f_{\ldots}) \otimes \widehat{d\sigma}_{a}(Q,\mu) \otimes E_{ab}(\mu,\mu_{0}) \otimes D_{b \to \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathrm{ini}}(\mu_{0},m) \otimes D_{\mathcal{Q} \to H}^{\mathrm{n.p.}} + \mathcal{O}(m/Q)^{p}$
A. Mitov	DIS 2005

13 G. Corcella, M. Corradi Summary of Heavy Flavour WG

N7 MIN

Various components to PFF and the participating sub-processes at treelevel:

1.  $D_{Q \to Q}^{\text{ini}}$ : •  $Q \to Q + g + g$ , •  $Q \to Q + q + \overline{q}$ , •  $Q \to Q + Q + \overline{Q}$ .

II.  $D_{\overline{Q} \to Q}^{\text{ini}}$ :

•  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}} \to \mathcal{Q} + \overline{\mathcal{Q}} + \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ .

$$\begin{split} \text{III.} \quad D_{q(\overline{q}) \to \mathcal{Q}}^{\text{ini}} : \\ \bullet \quad q(\overline{q}) \to \mathcal{Q} + \overline{\mathcal{Q}} + q(\overline{q}). \\ \text{IV.} \quad D_{g \to \mathcal{Q}}^{\text{ini}} : \end{split}$$

•  $g \to \mathcal{Q} + \overline{\mathcal{Q}} + g$ .

A. Mitov

and CON		ven by:				03/11
m, Extending CSM	he CSM:	bart ( $Qar{Q}$ production) is thus gi	Typical diagram here	nenological vertex function: d <sup>2</sup>	$p[\frac{-p_{rel}}{\Lambda^2}]$ (in the CM frame)	DIS05 – 28-04-2005
J. Lansberg: Heavy Quarkoniu	$\Rightarrow$ Beyond the static approximation of th $\int \psi(p_{rel}) \mathcal{A}(p_{rel}) dp_{rel}$	We shall only consider here Disc A; the hard p	Typical diagram for CSM	➡The soft part (non-perturbative), by a phenon M	$\psi(p,P) = \frac{N}{(1-\frac{\tilde{p}_{rel}^2}{\Lambda^2})^2} \text{ or } N \text{ ext}$	Jtわら、CAMSB任代での中工 Fummary of Heavy Flavour WG



08/11

DIS05 - 28-04-2005

lotivation for NLO: Reduction of renormalization and factorization scale dependence. Sizeable effects, e.g. due to opening of new partonic production channels. Ultimate test of NRQCD factorization by global NLO fit. High-statistics data from HERA II, Tevatron Run II, LHC, ILC. revious NLO calculations: $\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi + X$ w/ direct $\gamma$ and $J/\psi$ for $p_T > 0$ in CSM M. Krämer, J. Zunft, J. Steegbo
revious NLO calculations: $\gamma p  o J/\psi + X$ w/ direct $\gamma$ and $J/\psi$ for $p_T>0$ in CSM M. Krämer, J. Zunft, J. Steego
M. Zerwas, Phys. Lett. <b>B348</b> (1995) 657; M. Krämer, Nucl. Phys. <b>B459</b> (1996) 3. $\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi + X$ w/ direct $\gamma$ and $J/\psi$ for $p_T = 0$ in NRQCD F. Maltoni, M.L. Mangano, Petrelli, Nucl. Phys. <b>B519</b> (1998) 361. $p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi + X$ for $p_T = 0$ in NRQCD A. Petrelli, M. Cacciari, M. Greco, F. Maltoni, M.L. Mangar Icl. Phys. <b>B514</b> (1998) 245.
ere: $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi + X$ w/ direct $\gamma$ 's and prompt $J/\psi$ for $p_T > 0$ in NRQCD $X$ purely hadronic: compensate $\mu_R$ dependence of LO single-resolved contribution $X$ w/ prompt $\gamma$ : direct photoproduction dominant

**B. Kniehl: NLO Charmonium production in**  $\gamma\gamma$ 



DIS 2005

Heavy Flavors





19 G. Corcella, M. Corradi Summary of Heavy Flavour WG

16

 $\gamma\gamma \to J/\psi + \gamma + X$ 

**DIS 2005** 





18

OverviewSubject of this talk:Subject of this talk:• 1-particle inclusive hadroproduction of $D$ mesons: $p\bar{p} \rightarrow (D^0, D^{*+}, D^+, D^+) X$ • Massive Variable Flavour Number Scheme (Massive VFNS):
Subject of this talk: • 1-particle inclusive hadroproduction of $D$ mesons: $p\bar{p} \rightarrow (D^0, D^{*+}, D^+, D^+^)X$ • Massive Variable Flavour Number Scheme (Massive VFNS):
<ul> <li>1-particle inclusive hadroproduction of <i>D</i> mesons: pp → (D<sup>0</sup>, D<sup>*+</sup>, D<sup>+</sup>, D<sup>+</sup><sup>+</sup>)X</li> <li>Massive Variable Flavour Number Scheme (Massive VFNS):</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Massive Variable Flavour Number Scheme (Massive VFNS):</li> </ul>
– Collinear logarithms of the heavy quark mass $\ln \mu/m_h$ are subtracted and resummed
– finite non-logarithmic $m_h/Q$ terms are kept in the hard part/taken into account
<ul> <li>Scheme based on the factorization theorem of Collins with heavy quarks</li> </ul>
Further applications:
$ullet$ 1-particle inclusive hadroproduction of $B$ mesons: $par{p} o BX$
• Completes earlier work on $D$ meson production in $\gamma\gamma$ and $\gamma p$ collisions:
$\gamma \rightarrow D^{\star}X$ : direct process
$\gamma \rightarrow D^{\star}X$ : single-resolved process
$-\gamma p \rightarrow D^{\star} X$ : direct process

Calculation:  $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}\text{-scheme},$  heavy quark:  $m_Q=0$ 

- Red: Heavy quark mass effects included
- Green: Heavy quark initiated:  $m_Q=0$
- Blue: only light lines involved

							$qg \to \bar{Q}X$	$qg \to QX$			$q\bar{q} \rightarrow QX$	$q\bar{Q} \to gX$	$q\bar{Q} \to qX$	qQ  o gX	qQ  o qX
gg  ightarrow QX		Qg  ightarrow gX	Qg  ightarrow QX	$Q \bar Q  o g X$	$Q \bar Q  o Q X$	$Qg  o ar{Q}X$	Qg  o ar q X	Qg  o qX	QQ  ightarrow gX	QQ  ightarrow QX	$Q\bar{Q}  o qX$	$Q \bar{q}  ightarrow g X$	$Q \bar{q}  ightarrow Q X$	Qq  ightarrow gX	Qq  ightarrow QX
gg  o qX	$gg \to gX$	$qg \to gX$	qg  o qX	$q\bar{q}  ightarrow gX$	$q \bar{q}  ightarrow q X$	$qg  o \bar{q}X$	$qg \to \bar{q}' X$	qg  o q'X	qq  o gX	qq  o qX	$q\bar{q}  o q' X$	$q \bar{q}'  ightarrow g X$	$q \bar{q}'  o q X$	$qq' \to gX$	$qq' \to qX$

[1] Aversa, Chiappetta, Greco, Guillet, NPB327(1989)105

Ξ





Ξ

 $, D^{+}, D^{+}_{s})X$ 

 $(D^0, D^{*+})$ 

Comparison with CDF II data for  $p \bar{p} 
ightarrow$ 

• Uncertainty band: independent variation of  $\mu_{R}, \mu_{F}, \mu_{F}' = \xi m_{T}, \xi \in [1/2, 2]$ 

•  $d\sigma/dp_T \ (nb/GeV)$ ,  $|y| \leq 1$ , massive VFNS (GM-VFNS)

- Prompt charm (no secondary charm from B decay)
- Data and Theory compatible within errors •
- Central values:  $Data/Theory \simeq 1.5 1.8$

**Experimental part** 

24 G. Corcella, M. Corradi Summary of Heavy Flavour WG



### (LO massless scheme)



Inclusive  $D^*$  photoproduction in general agreement with NLO

New measurements try to be more exclusive:

- $D^*$ -jets: small fragmentation uncertainty
- $D^*+$ " other jet",  $D^*+$  dijets: further handle on parton dynamics wide acceptance for "other jet"
- study the c/g nature of the "other-jet" from jet shape
- parameters needed for precise measurements of c production • Fragmentation fractions and fragmentation function: test of fragmentation universality

# **T. Kohno: ZEUS** $D^*$ jets photoproduction

Events with a  $D^*$  and  $\ge 1$  jet  $(E_T > 6 \text{GeV})$ 

 $D^*$ -jet and "other"-jet distribution

Consistent with NLO massive (FMNR) and Massless calculations



### T. Kohno: ZEUS D<sup>\*</sup> dijets

## Dijet correlations, directly sensitive to NLO corrections



FMNR too low at large  $p_T^{jj}$  and low  $\Delta\phi$ 

### Need higher orders or matching with PS

# G. Fluke: H1 Charm+jet photoproduction

 $D^*$  and "other"-jet  $P_T > 3$ GeV (tagged PhP)



 $\Delta_{\phi}$  not well reproduce by massive and massless NLO

### **R. Lefevre: b-jets from CDF**



### Dijet b production



- Jet algorithm: JetClu with  $R_{cone} = 0.7$
- Kinematical range
- -2 b-jets within  $|\eta| < 1.2$
- $~E_T^{1st \ b-jet} > 30 ~GeV, ~E_T^{2nd \ b-jet} > 20 ~GeV$
- Data sample: 65 pb<sup>-1</sup>
- Jet 20 only (prescaled trigger)
- Comparison to  $MC@NLO \oplus JIMMY$
- Default JIMMY Small MC sample







# <u>M. Martisikova: H1 Charm jet shape in photoproduction</u>

One jet tagged as charm from muon Look at the shape of the other jet, is it charm or gluon ? Dijet photoproduction

## **Jet Shape - Detector Level Measurement**



A. Perieanu: H1 Charm jet shapes in DIS



**R.Walsh: ZEUS charm fragmentation fractions in DIS** 



# Z. Rurikova: H1 Charm fragmentation function in DIS

 $D^*$  DIS data

 $Z_{hem}$ : fraction of hemisphere E + P carried by the  $D^*$ 

Fit with Jetset with Peterson Fragmentation

 $\epsilon = 0.018^{+0.004}_{-0.004}$ 

lower than ZEUS photoproduction result



## c and b production in DIS and HQ pdfs

c (and b) are a sizeable fraction of  $F_2$ 

direct access to gluon pdf

b-pdf important for e.g. (MSSM) Higgs searches  $(b\bar{b} \rightarrow H)$ 

Traditionally  $D^*$  production in DIS used by ZEUS/H1, then extrapolated to full kinematics to get  $F_2^c$ New measurements:

- H1  $F_2^c/F_2^b$  measurement using inclusive track impact parameter
- NuTeV Charm production in CC
- **ZEUS**  $D^*$  at  $0.05 < Q^2 < 0.7 \text{GeV}^2$
- **D0**: *b*-pdf from Z + b
- ZEUS Charmonium production in DIS
- First ZEUS results from HERA-II data



# **T. Klimkovich:** $F_2^c$ and $F_2^b$ using the H1 vertex detector

H1 measurement of  $F_2^c$  and  $F_2^b$ based on track impact parameter

Extended to  $Q^2 < 100 \text{GeV}^2$ 

Large track acceptance: small extrapolation to  $F_2$ 

 $S = \delta / \sigma$ 

 $\delta =$  signed track impact parameter sign given by jet or HFS direction



atsiana Klimkovich (H1 Collaboration)

DIS 2005, Madison, Wiscor



36 G. Corcella, M. Corradi Summary of Heavy Flavour WG



# G. Aghuzumtsyan: Charm production at $0.05 < Q^2 < 0.7 \text{GeV}^2$

ZEUS beampipe calorimeter (BPC) tags events in the transition region DIS-Photoproduct

 $0.05 < Q^2 < 0.7 \text{GeV}^2$  0.02 < y < 0.085 (< 0.085 in the plot)

**98-00** data:  $239 \pm 23D^*$  with  $p_T > 1.5 \text{GeV}$ ,  $|\eta| < 1.5$ 



#### Signed selected beam, look at s(x) and $\bar{s}(x)$ independer BGPA Complete data sample (20 times previous results) MRST98 $S^{-} = 0.0068$ required to explain $\sin^2 \theta_W$ anomaly LO preliminary - old analysis in black LO analysis, extract $S^{-} = \int dx \ x(s(x) - \overline{s}(x))$ Strange asymmetry compatible with zero **GRV98** CTEQ6L MeM CTEQ5L old $\nu N \to \mu^- c (\to \mu^+) X$ BGPARold 80000 **S** 0.006 -0.002 0.004 0.002 0 . ..... Hadrons PLACE: SHEND: 6030 Event: 194345 Tgate: 1 Date: Thu May & 14:28:21 194 EMU: ers: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10111213 D)S y-view ≥ x-view 5

**D. Mason:** NuTeV  $s/\overline{s}$  sea

39 G. Corcella, M. Corradi Summary of Heavy Flavour WG





 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow Z + b$  production sensitive to b-pdf

**180**  $\mathbf{pb}^{-1}$  of Run-II data ~ 5000  $Z(\rightarrow l^+l^-)+\mathbf{jet}$  ev

require a secondary vertex significance > 7

 $\frac{\sigma(Z+bjets)}{\sigma(Z+jets)} = 0.021 \pm 0.004 (\text{stat.})^{+0.002}_{-0.003} (\text{syst.})$ 

**Theory:**  $0.018 \pm 0.004$  (**NLO+CTEQ6**)

## A. Antonov: ZEUS $J/\psi$ in DIS

Measurement of  $J/\psi$  production in DIS,  $Q^2 > 2 \text{GeV}^2$ 

#### Agreement with H1

LO NRQCD COM too large mostly excess at large z resummation needed

LO CSM slightly low







### **Normalized Differential Cross Section**



- shape of the p<sub>t</sub> distribution does not vary much with Y rapidity
   Reasonable
- agreement with calculation of Berger, Qiu, Wang

# **R. Hall-Wilton: ZEUS** $D^*$ production in DIS in 03-05 data



## **ZEUS muon impact parameter**

ZEUS has now a silicon microvertex detector (MVD) at HERA-II

First results:

muon impact parameter ( $\delta$ ) from 03-04 data (31pb<sup>-1</sup>)

 $\mu + dijets$  events

negative-positive subtracted  $\delta$  distribution consistent with b and c from  $p_T^{\text{rel}}$ 



#### b production

Though  $m_b$  is larger than  $m_c$ , b production has been more problematic

Recent  $\mu$ +dijet analyses from ZEUS and H1 don't confirm the large excess The beauty "puzzle" seems to be over: of early measurements

Is b production at HERA completely understood ?

New measurements:

- $\mu$ +jets H1 published final data
- H1 dijet photoproduction with inclusive impact par. tag
- analyses from  $\mu$  correlations (ZEUS) and  $D^*\mu$  correlations (H1) give access at low  $p_T$
- HERA-b new results for hadroproduction

**O. Behnke: H1 b from \mu+dijets Photoproduction** 

H1 recently published analysis of beauty production in dijet events with muons.

Muon impact parameter and  $p_T^{\text{rel}}$  to extract the b fraction





46 G. Corcella, M. Corradi Summary of Heavy Flavour WG

9

H1 b from  $\mu$ +jet DIS

Beauty in DIS: Compare H1 and ZEUS results



L. Finke: H1 b, c dijet photoproduction

H1 results with inclusive IP tagging, general agreement with muon results





A.Longhin INFN Padova

ZEUS: b production with µµ correlations

DIS05 Madison (Wisconsin) 29/04/2005

### **ZEUS b from dimuon**

Double muon tag, lower background, looser muon cuts:

 $-2.2 < \eta^{\mu} < 2.5 \ p_t^{\mu 1} > 1.5 \text{GeV} \ p_t^{\mu 1} > 0.75 - 1.5 \text{GeV}$  (depending on  $\eta$ )

small extrapolation to full cross section



# N. Malden, H1 c and b from $D^*\mu$ correlations

Similar principle as dimuon analysis charm normalization compatible with NLO, b factor 4 larger, large errors Recently published analysis from H1



Good agreement with NLO calculation

Latest version of the Summary plot





K. Read: PHENIX charm and charmonium

HQ as probe of dense matter

Open c tagged with leptons

## Heavy Flavor Production (electrons)





Pattern consistent with models incorporating heavy quark energy loss.

# Charm Phisics at Belle (B. Yabsley) and BaBar (C. Chen)

Incredible amount of data on old and new charmed hadrons

Belle

BaBar



0

- $\bullet$  I've left out most of our charm & charmonium studies
- X(3872) observ<sup>n</sup> & updated measurement of properties
- no natural charmonium candidate has been found
- $X 
  ightarrow \gamma J/\psi$  and  $\omega J/\psi$  observations fix C=+1
- angular and  $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$  distributions favour  $J^{PC}=1^{++}$
- decays & properties consistent with  ${\rm D}^0\overline{\rm D}{}^{*0},$  but not  $\chi_{c1}'$
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi \eta_c$  results (finally) confirmed by BaBar; disagreement with NRQCD [also open charm] still unexplained
- $X(3940) 
  ightarrow {\sf DD}^*$  (not the X(3872), not the  $Y(3940) 
  ightarrow \omega J/\psi)$  $\rightarrow$  publication this summer
- other spectroscopic contributions:  $D_{sJ}$ ,  $\Sigma_c(2880)$ , ...
- $\Theta^+$  search [negative!]: QE formation limit by the summer
- $D^0$ ,  $D^+$ ,  $D_s$ ,  $\Lambda_c^+$  fragmentation  $\rightarrow$  publication at summer

DIS'05 29-Apr-2005 Unexplained results from Belle

Bruce Yabsley

- Semileptonic D<sup>0</sup> mixi
  - Search D<sup>0</sup>→l<sup>+</sup>l<sup>-</sup>
- Search for D<sub>sJ</sub>(2632
- $\Xi^0_c \rightarrow \Omega \cdot K^+, \ \Xi^0_c \rightarrow \Xi \cdot \pi^+$
- $\Lambda_c$  mass measuremen

#### Conclusions

- Great data on c,b in DIS and  $\gamma p$  still coming from HERAdata
- Is there a problem in the description of beauty at low  $p_T$  ?
- Results on s(x) from NuTeV
- waiting for HERA-II analyses

