

# Hard electroproduction of pions and kaons: Exclusive channels vs. quark fragmentation

M. Diehl, W. Kugler (DESY), A. Schäfer (Regensburg), Ch. Weiss (JLab)  
DIS2005, 4/17 – 5/1/2005


- Role of exclusive channels in semi-inclusive  $\pi^{\pm 0}$ ,  $K^{\pm 0}$  production?

$$\begin{array}{lll} \gamma^* p & \rightarrow & \pi^+ n \\ & & K^+ \Lambda(\Sigma) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{lll} \rho^0 p, & \rho^+ n \\ \phi p, & K^{*+} n \end{array} \qquad \text{etc.}$$

- Systematics/uncertainties of leading-twist LO approximation  
for hard exclusive meson production

Quark vs. gluon GPD's, strange vs. non-strange, . . .



- Semi-inclusive electroproduction  $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \pi + X, K + X$



- Used to separate quark distributions in flavors, valence/sea (including spin) [HERMES, JLab]

[Frankfurt et al. 89]

- Exclusive channels in  $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \pi + X, K + X$





Intermediate vector meson

$$\frac{d\sigma^\pi}{dz} = \sigma^\pi \delta(1-z)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma^\pi}{dz} = \sigma_{L,T}^\rho D_{L,T}^{\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi}(z)$$

$s$ -channel helicity conservation




- Needed: Exclusive production cross sections

$\pi^+, K^+$	Data [JLab, HERMES]
$\rho^0, \phi$	Data ( $L/T$ separation via SCHC, tested experimentally) [CLAS at JLab, HERMES]
$\rho^+, K^{*+}, K^{*0}$	Estimate using QCD factorization theorem for hard exclusive processes (“GPD formalism”)

- Why interesting?

- Limited photon energy  $\rightarrow$  restricted phase space for fragmentation  
[HERMES, JLab]
- Limit  $z \rightarrow 1$  [Szczerba, Uleschenko, Speth 00]
- “Duality” in semi-inclusive DIS?

- QCD factorization for hard exclusive meson production  
[Brodsky et al. 94; Collins, Frankfurt, Strikman 96; Radyushkin 96]



$$\begin{aligned}\text{Amp} &= \int du \Phi^M(u) \\ &\times \int dx K(x, u, \xi; Q^2) \\ &\times H(x, \xi; t)\end{aligned}$$

- General consequences of factorization
  - $\sigma_L \propto Q^{-6}$
  - Universality (process-independence) of GPD's

- Phenomenological issues
  - GPD models: PDF parametrizations (gluons, strangeness)  
“Meson exchange” contributions  
 $x \leftrightarrow t$  dependence


[Reviews: Goeke, Polyakov, Vanderhaeghen 00; Diehl 03; Belitsky, Radyushkin 05]

- Power (higher-twist) corrections due to finite transverse size of produced meson


[Small  $x$ : Frankfurt, Strikman, Koepf 95; Vanderhaeghen, Guichon 99]
- Choice of effective QCD scale in LO
- Here: “Pure” leading-twist calculation, LO  
. . . Aim to understand systematics/uncertainties!

[Diehl, Kugler, Schäfer, CW; in preparation]


- Pseudoscalar meson production: “Pion pole” in GPD [Frankfurt et al. 99]



$\pi^+$ : Pole contribution dominant




$K^+$ : Moderate




- Vector meson production: Quark vs. gluon GPD's


$\rho^+$ : quarks only




$\rho^0$ : quarks and **gluons**



$\phi$ : gluon dominated





$\phi/\rho$  ratio





→ Considerable uncertainty in leading-twist prediction due to uncertainty of gluon distribution

- Exclusive channels in vs. quark fragmentation in  $\pi^\pm$  production  
[Diehl, Kugler, CW, Schäfer, in progress]



- Dominant role of  $\rho^0$  (“vector dominance”)
- $\rho^+$  negligible
- Signs of “duality” at large  $z$

- $K^\pm$  production



- $\phi$  restricted to  $z < 0.6$  by kinematics
- $K^*$  negligible
- Duality? . . . Nothing comparable to  $\rho^0$

- Summary and Outlook

- Considerable uncertainty in leading-twist calculations of exclusive meson production amplitudes at fixed-target energies [JLab, HERMES]

. . . Requires more comprehensive approach:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{finite-size effects} & \longleftrightarrow & \text{effective scale} & \longleftrightarrow & \text{GPD models} \\ (\text{higher twist}) & & & & (\text{quark and gluon}) \end{array}$$

- Strangeness production challenges our understanding of duality in semi-inclusive DIS
  - . . . no vector dominance contribution ( $\rho^0$ )