MSSM light Higgs boson scenario and its test at hadron colliders

Alexander Belyaev Michigan State University



In collaboration with Ching-Hong Cao, Daisuke Nomura, Kazuhiro Tobe, C.-P. Yuan





- The MSSM scenario with the CP-even Higgs boson as light as 60 GeV and above is not excluded by LEP2
- +The MSSM parameter space corresponding to this Light higgs (Lh) scenario is generic and not fine-tuned
- +The entire parameter space corresponding Lh scenario can be entirely covered by LHC

Problems of the Standard Model

- Problem of large quantum corrections to the Higgs mass in -SM (Hierarchy problem)
- Coupling Unification
- The origin of Electroweak Symmetry breaking.
 Higgs boson is not found yet ...
 The origin and Nature of Dark Matter and Dark Energy No explanation within SM ...
 Baryogenesis problem



$$\delta m_H^2 = \frac{|\lambda_f|^2}{16\pi^2} [-2\Lambda_{UV}^2 + \dots]$$



Constraints from Electroweak Data LEP1+SLD+nN data are consistent with LEP2+Tevatron data and with SM, if Higgs mass is low



MSSM HIGGS sector

To provide masses to both up-type and down-type quarks, and to ensure anomaly cancellation, the MSSM has two Higgs complexdoublet superfields

$$\Phi_d = (\Phi_d^0, \Phi_d^-) \text{ and } \Phi_u = (\Phi_u^+, \Phi_u^0) \qquad \langle \Phi_d \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_d \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \Phi_u \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_u \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\sqrt{v_d^2 + v_u^2} = 2M_W/g = 246 \text{ GeV}$ **+**Out of 8 DOF, 3 serve as GB, absorbed into longitudinal components of the W and Z, 5 DOF remains:

$$h = -(\sqrt{2}\text{Re }\Phi_d^0 - v_d)\sin\alpha + (\sqrt{2}\text{Re }\Phi_u^0 - v_u)\cos\alpha$$

$$H = (\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} \Phi_d^0 - v_d) \cos \alpha + (\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} \Phi_u^0 - v_u) \sin \alpha$$

 $A = \sqrt{2} (\operatorname{Im} \Phi_d^0 \sin \beta + \operatorname{Im} \Phi_u^0 \cos \beta), \quad H^{\pm} = \Phi_d^{\pm} \sin \beta + \Phi_u^{\pm} \cos \beta$

 $\begin{aligned} \alpha \text{ is } (h,H) \text{ mixing angle} \\ \tan \beta &= v_u / v_d \text{ and } M_A \text{ is the conventional choice to define the Higgs sector:} \\ M_{H^{\pm}} &= \sqrt{M_A^2 + M_W^2} \\ M_{h,H}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(M_A^2 + M_Z^2) \mp \sqrt{(M_A^2 + M_Z^2)^2 - 4M_A^2 M_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta} \right], \quad M_h < M_Z \end{aligned}$

Higgs Interactions with vector bosons $\mathcal{L}_{H_iVV} = g M_W \left(W_{\mu}^+ W^{-\mu} + \frac{1}{2c_W^2} Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu} \right) g_{H_iVV} H_i$ $\mathcal{L}_{AH_iZ} = \frac{g}{4c_W} g_{AH_iZ} Z^{\mu} (H_i i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} A), \quad H_i = (h, H)$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}H^{\pm}W^{\mp}} = -\frac{g}{2} g_{\mathcal{H}H^+W^-} W^{-\mu} (\mathcal{H} i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} H^+) + \text{h.c.}, \quad \mathcal{H} = (h, H, A)$ **Sum rules**



Will no-lose 'theorem' work?





Similar limits are for H-> \u03c0 \u03c0 channel,

but $Br(H \rightarrow \tau \tau)$ is one order of magnitude smaller then $Br(H \rightarrow bb)$



Higgs mixing and radiative corrections
tree-level mixing matrix
$$\mathcal{M}^2$$

 $\binom{M_A^2 s_\beta^2 + M_Z^2 c_\beta^2}{(-(M_A^2 + M_Z^2) s_\beta c_\beta)} \xrightarrow{M_A^2 (C_B^2 + M_Z^2 s_\beta^2)} M_A^2 c_\beta^2 + M_Z^2 s_\beta^2$
diagonalized by Higgs mixing angle
 $\binom{h}{H} = \binom{-s_\alpha - c_\alpha}{c_\alpha s_\alpha} \binom{Reh_d^0}{Reh_u^0}$
 $convention: 0 \le \beta \le \pi/2, -\pi/2 \le \alpha \le 0$
1-loop corrections
 $\delta \mathcal{M}_{11}^2 \propto -\tilde{\mu}[x_t h_t^4 (6 - x_t a_t) + \tilde{\mu}^2 a_b h_b^4]$
 $\delta \mathcal{M}_{22}^2 \propto h_t^4 [12ln \frac{M_Z^2}{m_t^2} + x_t a_t (12 - x_t a_t)] - \tilde{\mu}^4 h_b^4$
 $\delta \mathcal{M}_{22}^2 \propto h_t^4 [12ln \frac{M_Z^2}{m_t^2} + x_t a_t (12 - x_t a_t)] - \tilde{\mu}^4 h_b^4$

If light Higgs boson preferred by nature, then how it could have escaped from LEP2 constraints? +Let us check how light MSSM Higgs boson boson can be, escaping LEP2 constraints

+EW parameters scanned with CPsuperH (Lee,Pilaftsis,Carena,Choi,Drees,Ellis,Wagner)

Parameter space, CP conserving case Constraints

parameter	lower limit	upper limit	$ \ \boxed{ \text{LEPII } Z\mathcal{H} \text{ and } A\mathcal{H} \text{ constraint } \mathcal{H} = (h, H) } $
aneta	1.1	50	$g_{ZZ\mathcal{H}}^{2} \times Br(\mathcal{H} \to bb) < F_{Z\mathcal{H}}(M_{\mathcal{H}})$
M_{H^+}	100	200	$g_{ZZh}^2 \times Br(A \to bb) \times Br(H \to bb) < F_{Ah}$
μ	50	2000	$g_{ZZH}^{2} \times Br(A \to bb) \times Br(h \to bb) < F_{AH}$
\dot{M}_1	50	500	$M_{\chi_1^{\pm}} > 100, M_{\tilde{t}_1} > 100$
M_2	50	500	Color breaking constraints
M_3^2	50	1000	$\mu < 3m_{Q3}, A_t < 3m_{Q3}$
A_{\pm}	0	2000	$\Delta \rho_{SUSY} < 2 \times 10^{-6}$
MO3	300	700	$0 \rightarrow s\gamma$ SUSY constraint: $ \Delta P_n(h \rightarrow s\alpha) < 1 \times 10^{-4}$
111 40	300	100	$ \Delta DT(0 \rightarrow S'\gamma) < 1 \times 10^{-1}$

Scan Results



Scan Results + There are points with light Higgs boson in the 60-100 GeV range escaping LEPII ZH and AH search! + No-lose 'theorem' does not work? + There are SUSY corrections suppressing rtH and bbH couplings in non-universal way! This is, actually well-studied and generic effect (Carena,Mrenna,Wagner;Borzumati,Farrar,Polonsky; Guasch,Hollik,Penaranda)



Effect of non-universal **TTH** and bbH SUSY corrections to H->bb partial decay width



Lh benchmark point



Generic features of the scenario +Light Charged Higgs – essential non-decoupling regime – small ZZh coupling and large WH⁺h coupling, Z-boson recoil Higgs constraints are safe + Intermediate – large µ and A

Large μ>0 and heavy gluino providing non-universal corrections to tau and bottom Yukawa couplings and suppressing Higgs partial decay width to bb
 Light stop and chargino, intermediate-high tanβ to cancel O(SM) H⁺ contribution to b->sγ (A_i >0).
 One more part of the exciting phenomenology!

Associated production of Charged – Neutral Higgses as a test of the light Higgs scenario +small ZZh coupling and large WH⁺h coupling scenario makes H⁺h or/and H⁺A associate production very special: complementary to LEPII



+ $g_{AH^+W^-} = 1$ and does not depend on SUSY parameters at tree-level

H⁺A signal rate



Q.-H. Cao, S. Kanemura, C.-P. Yuan hep-ph/0311083

NLO QCD correction is about 20%



Tevatron/LHC reach for H⁺A



Projecting on to tan\beta - M_{A} plane



Conclusions

Light MSSM Higgs ~ 60 GeV mass is possible. It is realized at M_µ ~ 130-140 GeV due to large SUSY corrections to Higgs mixing and due to non-universal SUSY corrections to bottom and tau-mass at for A, and μ >400 GeV. It suggests reanalyzing LEP data by combining bb and $\tau\tau$ channels together. +The straightforward test is the search for H⁺A LHC cover the whole Lh parameter space – **complementary to LEP2**

 + Possible solution for SUSY fine-tuning problem? It also perdicts an exciting phenomenology: light stops and charginos.
 +LHC has a great potential for probing the H⁺A even for heavy A: independent of tanβ!