Measuring the W-t-b coupling at the ILC

Pheno, May '06 Puneet Batra & Tim Tait (coming soon?)

Expected Limits on SM-like top couplings



<u>Shifts in top couplings</u>

Standard: Mixing with a fourth generation (GIM mechanism, only the Wt-b coupling is affected)

Also:

• Mixing with a 'singlet' top (common in Little Higgs theories)

$$\lambda_{t'_A} HQt^c + \lambda_{t'_B} HQT^c + m_{T'_A} Tt^c + \lambda_{T'_B} TT^c$$
$$(EWSB) \to m_t tt^c + m_T TT^c$$

- Littlest Higgs, Simplest Higgs, Minimal Moose, ... T-Parity. Could be combined with gauge boson mixing or not.
- T-Parity: No mixing with heavy gauge bosons, but mixing with the singlet Top. Corrections depend on

$$\lambda_T h^0 T t^c$$

 m_{T}

and are of order $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\lambda_T^2 v^2 g}{m_T^2}\right)$



- A possibility for flavor: explains why the third generation is 'off' (mass, mixing)
- Useful for addressing the SUSY little hierarchy problem.
- The observed W, Z are linear combinations of SU(2)_1 and

SU(2)_2 gauge bosons-- ρ corrections only at order $\left(\frac{v^2}{f^2}\right)^2$.

• For small cos(φ), significantly modified couplings for the third generation *only*. Shifts in couplings are from light-heavy mixing due to the Higgs vev: $\mathcal{O}\left(\left(\sin \phi\right)^4 \frac{v^2}{r_2}\right)$

Model Predictions



T-Parity: $m_T = 500 \text{ GeV}, \ \lambda_T \text{ specified}$ TopFlavor: $\sin \phi = .9, \ m_{Z'} \text{ indicated}$

Conclusions



Single-top is hard at the ILC!



tt production dominates (~50 x greater) above threshold and is insensitive to the W-t-b coupling.

The 3 dominant single-top diagrams always have an additional real W and b! 0

Production x Decay :
$$\sigma \propto g_{Vt\bar{t}}^2 \times \left\{ \frac{g_{Wtb}^2}{m_t^2 g_{Wtb}^2} \right\}^2$$

Difficult to use single-top to measure $g t_L W b_L$ without getting killed by the tt background.

Below Threshold Sensitivity



Simple observation: Below the $t\bar{t}$ threshold, sensitivity is regained:

$$\sigma \sim \frac{g_{Wtb}^2}{(q_{t^{\star}}^2 - m_t)^2 + m_t^2 g_{Wtb}^2}$$

the virtual t^* produces a dependence on the W-t-b coupling.

How much does this add to the single-top rate when trying to determine the left-handed W-t-b coupling?

Sensitivity vs Energy



Sensitivity vs Energy



Sensitivity vs Energy



Signal estimation

 "Golden Channel" Semileptonic final state, triggering on the lepton and missing E_T

• Assume 100 fb^{-1}. For some scale, the standard top threshold scan is 30 fb^{-1} across 10 pts (one "well" below threshold). Could spread the needed luminosity across a few below-threshold points.

• Require 2 b-tags (each ~ 70 %)

• Require a top mass and W mass reconstruction (without assuming bcharge) from both the leptonic and hadronic decay.

• LO, fully interfering, estimates (MadEvent), statistical errors

• mistag background small; the dominant background is from real WbWb production through intermediate Higgs and/or Z. Could beat down further with invariant mass rejections. Purity of final sample is very high.

• We estimate the event rate by multiplying each WbWb(g, Γ) with the monte-carloed SM efficiency that pass our cuts (~6%). (branching fractions + kinematic cuts)

@ 340 GeV (Semileptonic final state)

Reconstructed Invariant Top Mass



Expected bounds



Conclusions



<u>Conclusions</u>

- Physics models that address the hierarchy problem often predict shifts in the gauge-boson-top couplings.
- Precise measurements of $g t_L W b_L$ at an e+ e- collider are challenging at the ILC due to low statistics and a large $t\bar{t}$ background.
- t t* contributions do depend (unlike t t) on $g \ t_L \ W b_L$

and enhance the 'single-top' like signal.

• a 4% measurement is possible in the semi-leptonic channel!