high energy physics in the LHC era



Joseph Lykken Fermilab PHENO 06, Madison, 15-17 May 2006



only 409 days until LHC

the Standard Model is not going away

- the SM still rules (almost) all
- below the energy frontier, new physics means (mostly) rare processes, small discrepancies, small inconsistencies
- at the energy frontier, SM backgrounds are about to get 100-500 times worse

case in point: B physics

- lots and lots and lots of data
- need precise SM predictions for dozens of observables
- the opportunities for big obvious signals of new physics are dwindling
- so now the game is looking for small discrepancies and small inconsistencies

(an analogy based on the SciFi Channel)

- The BSM models were created by man
- They evolved
- They rebelled
- There are many copies
- And they have a plan

- The BSM models were created by man
- They evolved
- They rebelled
- There are many copies
- And they have a plan

BSM circa 1983

- BSM theory was supersymmetry, grand unification, technicolor
- the models were primitive
- there was also a small strange community of "neutrino" people
- and a small strange community of "particle-astro" people

- The BSM models were created by man
- They evolved
- They rebelled
- There are many copies
- And they have a plan

BSM circa now

- string theory took the BSM high ground
- supersymmetry models are much more sophisticated, detailed, and ambitious
- supersymmetry has become a framework to describe everything from Higgs to B physics, from inflation to baryogenesis, from unification to LFV, from dark matter to branes
- technicolor mutated into AdS/CFT

- The BSM models were created by man
- They evolved
- They rebelled
- There are many copies
- And they have a plan

they rebelled

- after 30 years, SUSY is still not discovered, despite golden opportunities with LEP, Tevatron, B physics, EDMs, etc
- mysteries of flavor and of vacuum energy, which SUSY already had trouble with, have gotten worse
- theorists got worried (and bored) and decided to try radically new things...

extra dimensions

- extra dimensions are the other generic prediction of string theory and anyway are generic new degs of freedom
- they could be infinite but hidden, very large (.1 mm to 10 fm), large (Tev-1), or tiny but warped
- they could: break SUSY, explain dark matter or dark energy, explain flavor, solve the hierarchy problem

Higgs Schmiggs

- theorists are even questioning some of the holy assumptions:
 - models with no Higgs
 - landscape-inspired SUSY, including split-SUSY
- and combining ideas, e.g. Little Higgs and SUSY

- The BSM models were created by man
- They evolved
- They rebelled
- There are many copies
- And they have a plan

there are many copies

- despite different theoretical inputs, many BSM models end up looking the same phenomenologically
- this is because they are trying to do the same things
- while simultaneously getting around the bounds from existing data

there are many copies

- most BSM models have a WIMP dark matter, and thus missing energy signatures at colliders
- the EW precision data imply that the new heavy particles associated with EWSB are:
 - multi-TeV
 - conspiratorial
 - pair-produced and minimal flavor-violating

there are many copies

- so some new BSM models look like SUSY (Little Higgs with T-parity, UED,...)
- others resemble each other with new TeVish gauge bosons, top-partners, etc (Little Higgs, Randall-Sundrum, TeV extra dims, GUT-inspired,...)
- and it was already difficult to tell SUSY models apart

- The BSM models were created by man
- They evolved
- They rebelled
- There are many copies
- And they have a plan

replace the standard paradigm by ~2015

the big picture 2006



string unification

supersymmetry

Diol Cl

extra dimensions

- CLIPEE

neutrino origins?

flavor origins?

new TeV scale physics 100 GeV? 1 TeV? 10 TeV?

new long distance physics?



LHC Olympics

http://www.phys.washington.edu/users/strasslr/LHCO.BBpage.html CERN 25-26 July 2005 and 9-10 Feb 2006 next meeting: 24-25 August 2006 KITP



a "special" Olympics

originally designed to train string theorists for basic life skills post-2007



LHC Olympics in 9.79 seconds

the steroid-enhanced Pheno all-stars don't need 6 months to figure out a black box signal (do you?)

Black Box #1



- a black box sample of simulated LHC events was generated (somehow)
- jets, leptons, MET are all reconstructed objects from a detector simulation
- these objects are defined in an analysis note that you do not have access to
- the total integrated luminosity is less than one inverse femtobarn
- I will show you some selected histograms

basic cuts

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{PT_{min}(jet)} &= \mathbf{30} \text{ GeV} \\ |\eta_{jet}| \leq \mathbf{2.5} \\ \mathbf{PT_{min}(lepton)} &= \mathbf{20} \text{ GeV} \\ |\eta_{lepton}| \leq \mathbf{2.5} \\ \mathbf{MET_{min}} &= \mathbf{50} \text{ GeV} \end{aligned}$











so what is it?





Black Box #2

- a black box sample of simulated LHC events was generated (somehow)
- hint: it consists of the sample that I just showed you, plus something else added
- I will show you some selected histograms





black box #1

black box #2

jet multiplicity







for events with 2 or more leptons



invariant mass of the two leading jets for events with 2 or more jets



Black Box #2

the future

"Never trust a theorist"

- S. Ting

Available on CMS information server

CMS NOTE 2008/018



The Compact Muon Solenoid Experiment **CMS Note** Mailing address: CMS CERN, CH-1211 GENEVA 23, Switzerland



6 December 2008

Evidence for squark and gluino production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV

CMS collaboration

Abstract

Experimental evidence for squark and gluino production in pp collisions $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with an integrated luminosity of 97 pb⁻¹ at the Large Hadron Collider at CERN is reported. The CMS experiment has collected 320 events of events with several high E_T jets and large missing E_T , and the measured effective mass, i.e. the scalar sum of the four highest P_T jets and the event \vec{E}_T , is consistent with squark and gluino masses of the order of 650 GeV/ c^2 . The probability that the measured yield is consistent with the background is 0.26%.

Submitted to European Journal of Physics

LHC theory initiative?

