Multi-parameter approach to *R*-parity violating SUSY couplings

Enrico Maria Sessolo

Dept. of Physics and Astronomy The University of Kansas

> PHENO 2009 05/11/2009

E. M. Sessolo, F. Tahir and D. W. McKay, arXiv: 0903.0118 [hep-ph]

R-parity in SUSY

In MSSM super-potential terms that violate B, L are allowed,

$$\hat{f}_T = \lambda_{ijk} \hat{L}_i \hat{L}_j \hat{E}_k^c + \lambda'_{ijk} \hat{L}_i \hat{Q}_j \hat{D}_k^c + \lambda''_{ijk} \hat{U}_i^c \hat{D}_j^c \hat{D}_k^c$$

$$\hat{f}_B = \mu_i' \hat{L}_i \hat{H}_u.$$

 $SU(2) \rightarrow \lambda$ antisymmetric in ij. $SU(3) \rightarrow \lambda''$ antisymmetric in jk. In order to have stable LSP one imposes *R*-parity conservation,

$$R = (-1)^{3(B-L)-2S}.$$

- Standard model particles $\rightarrow R = 1$
- Superpartners $\rightarrow R = -1$
 - Use experimental uncertainties to place bounds on

$$r_{ijk}(\tilde{f}_i) = \sum_i |\lambda_{ijk}|^2 / (4\sqrt{2}G_F m_{\tilde{f}_i}^2)$$

Our approach

- In the literature assumption that a single coupling dominates the *R*-parity contribution to a process (SCD)
- We adopt "multi-parameter" approach to explore new regions of parameter space
- Reduce the degeneracies by considering many experiments together
- Adopt new PDG2008 data
- Consider low energy process with SM particles in initial and final states.

Furthermore,

- $\lambda_{ijk}'' = 0$, avoids proton decay
- Mass basis for the SU(2) doublets

•
$$\mu' = 0$$

An example: Muon and tau decays



Let us consider the two following ratios:

$$R_{\tau\mu} = \frac{\Gamma(\tau^- \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau)}{\Gamma(\mu^- \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu)} = R_{\tau\mu}^{SM} \left\{ 1 + 2 \left[r_{23k}(\tilde{e}_{Rk}) - r_{12k}(\tilde{e}_{Rk}) \right] \right\}$$

$$R_{\tau} = \frac{\Gamma(\tau^{-} \to e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e} \nu_{\tau})}{\Gamma(\tau^{-} \to \mu^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \nu_{\tau})} = R_{\tau}^{SM} \left\{ 1 + 2 \left[r_{13k}(\tilde{e}_{Rk}) - r_{23k}(\tilde{e}_{Rk}) \right] \right\}$$

Muon and tau decays



SCD bounds from $R_{\tau\mu}$ visible on the axes of Fig. (a):

- $|\lambda_{23k}| \le 0.063 \ (m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}}/100 \text{ GeV}), \ |\lambda_{12k}| \le 0.045 \ (\tilde{e}_{Rk}).$ SCD bounds from R_{τ} :
 - $|\lambda_{23k}| \le 0.051 \; (\tilde{e}_{Rk}), \; |\lambda_{13k}| \le 0.048 \; (\tilde{e}_{Rk}).$

Muon and tau decays



- G_F measured in muon decay \Rightarrow Lifetime gives bound on $|\lambda_{12k}| \le 0.037 \; (\tilde{e}_{Rk})$
- Combine this process with $R_{\tau\mu}$, $R_{\tau} \Rightarrow 3D$ plot (Fig. b) The bulged area is the 2σ allowed region

Muon and tau decays



Combined 2σ bound correlated parameters:
 (The k-indices in Fig. (b) are free to take any value or the sum)

 $|\lambda_{23k}| \le 0.066 \; (ilde{e}_{Rk}) \;$ SCD: 0.051

 $|\lambda_{13k}| \leq 0.071 \; (ilde{e}_{Rk})$ SCD: 0.048

List of processes we consider

Leptonic cases:

- Universality in muon and tau decay (previous case)
- $\nu_{\mu} e (\bar{\nu}_{\mu} e)$ scattering
- $\nu_e e (\bar{\nu}_e e)$ scattering

Semi-leptonic cases:

- Universality in pion and tau decay
- Unitarity of CKM matrix
- Forward-backward asymmetry in $e^+e^- \rightarrow c\bar{c}$
- Atomic parity violation
- D-meson semi-leptonic decays

Summary of bounds

λ (scale)	Experiment	Corr. λ	SCD Bound	Bound(2σ)
$\lambda_{12k}(m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}})$	G_{μ}	none	NA	0.037
$\lambda_{121}(m_{ ilde{\mu}_L})$	$\nu_e(\overline{\nu}_e)e$	$\lambda_{131}(m_{ ilde{ au}_L})$	0.33	0.36
$\lambda_{121}(m_{ ilde{e}_L})$	$ u_{\mu}e $	$\lambda_{231}(m_{ ilde{ au}_L})$	0.138	0.118
$\lambda_{13k}(m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}})$	$R_{ au}$	$\lambda_{12k}(m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}}), \lambda_{23k}(m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}})$	0.048	0.071
$\lambda_{131}(m_{ ilde{ au}_L})$	$\nu_e(\overline{\nu_e})e$	$\lambda_{121}(m_{ ilde{\mu}_L})$	0.33	0.36
$\lambda_{23k}(m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}})$	$R_{ au}$	$\lambda_{12k}(m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}}),\lambda_{13k}(m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}})$	0.051	0.066
$\lambda_{231}(m_{ ilde{ au}_L})$	$ u_{\mu}e $	$\lambda_{121}(m_{ ilde{e}_L})$	0.138	0.118
$\lambda'_{11k}(m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}})$	CKM _{unitary}	$\lambda_{12k}(m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}}), \lambda'_{12k}(m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}})$	0.027	0.039
$\lambda'_{12k}(m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}})$	$A_{FB}(c\overline{c})$	none	NA	0.027
$\lambda'_{22k}(m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}})$	D_0 decay	$\lambda'_{12k}(m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}})$	0.10	0.090
$\lambda_{21k}'(m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}})$	$(\pi/\tau)_{universal.}$	$\lambda'_{31k}(m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}})$	0.032	0.040
$\lambda'_{31k}(m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}})$	$(\pi/\tau)_{universal.}$	$\lambda'_{21k}(m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}})$	0.092	0.092
$\lambda'_{1j1}(m_{\tilde{u}_{Lj}})$	APV	$\lambda_{12k}(m_{\tilde{e}_{Rk}}), \lambda'_{11k}(m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}})$	0.024	0.045
$\lambda'_{32k}(m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}})$	D_s decay	$\lambda'_{22k}(m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}}),\lambda'_{12k}(m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}})$	0.34	0.29

CKM Unitarity (Figs. b, c)





 $d \rightarrow ue\bar{\nu}_e \ (j=1) \mid s \rightarrow ue\bar{\nu}_e \ (j=2) \mid b \rightarrow ue\bar{\nu}_e \ (j=3)$

CKM Unitarity (Figs. b, c)



Imposing the unitarity constraint,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} |V_{ud_i}|^2 = 1 - 2r_{12k}(\tilde{e}_{Rk}) + 2r'_{11k}(\tilde{d}_{Rk}) |V_{ud}| + 2\left(\sum_k \frac{|\lambda'_{11k}||\lambda'_{12k}|\cos(\Delta\theta_k^{us})}{4\sqrt{2}G_F m_{\tilde{d}_{Rk}}^2}\right) |V_{us}|$$

CKM Unitarity (Figs. b, c)



- Dependence on $\cos(\Delta \theta_k^{us}) \equiv \cos(\theta_{us} + \theta_{12k} \theta_{11k})$ (b) (Here, *k*-index common to *xyz*-axes)
- The FB asymmetry in $e^-e^+ \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ only involves $\lambda'_{12k} \leq 0.027 \ (\tilde{d}_{Rk}).$
- Correction to G_F from μ -decay only involves λ_{12k} .
- Combined 2 σ gives (c) $\rightarrow |\lambda'_{11k}| \leq 0.039 \; (\tilde{d}_{Rk})$ SCD: 0.027.

$$u_{\mu} - e$$
 scattering



- CHARM II has extracted g_L , g_R individually from $\sigma_{tot}(\nu_{\mu}e)$
- Antisymmetry in *i*, *j* allows bounds on individual couplings
- One can use new PDG2008 data for g_A , g_V to get the 2σ bound

$$\sqrt{[|\lambda_{121}|(m_{\tilde{e}_L})^{-1}]^2 + [|\lambda_{231}|(m_{\tilde{\tau}_L})^{-1}]^2} \le 0.130$$

 $\nu_e - e (\bar{\nu}_e - e)$ scattering



• LSND: σ_{tot} from flavor-diag elastic $\nu_e e$ accelerator data $\sim 10 \text{ MeV}$

• $\sqrt{[|\lambda_{121}|(m_{\tilde{\mu}_L})^{-1}]^2 + [|\lambda_{131}|(m_{\tilde{\tau}_L})^{-1}]^2} \le 0.66$

• Combine the previous result with elastic $\bar{\nu}_e e$ reactor data ~ 1 MeV (Reines, Gurr and Sobel, '76) • $\sqrt{[|\lambda_{121}|(m_{\tilde{\mu}_L})^{-1}]^2 + [|\lambda_{131}|(m_{\tilde{\tau}_L})^{-1}]^2} \leq 0.38$

• Additional resolving power due to term $\propto m_e g_L g_R$ (low energy)



Previous bound gives at 1σ :

 $0.14 \le \sqrt{[|\lambda_{121}|(m_{\tilde{\mu}_L})^{-1}]^2 + [|\lambda_{131}|(m_{\tilde{\tau}_L})^{-1}]^2} \le 0.34$



Seek consistency with

• G_F correction from μ -decay, $|\lambda_{121}|(100 \text{ GeV})/m_{\tilde{e}_R} \leq 0.037$



And

• au-decay, $|\lambda_{131}|(100~{\rm GeV})/m_{{\widetilde e}_R} \leq 0.071$



We get a relation between sparticle masses! The darkened region is allowed.

Summary and Conclusions

- Joint analysis of different experiments involving the same subset of couplings can explore new regions of parameter space
- The new 2σ bounds on individual couplings are generally different from those obtained by SCD
- In the $\bar{\nu}_e e$ case we could extract hierarchical relationships among sfermion masses
- Allowed ranges of parameters were larger by at most factor 2 ⇒ Order of magnitude estimate reliable in SCD