DETECTING STRING RESONANCE AT LHC?

Min-xin Huang

CERN

Joint work with Zhe Dong, Gary Shiu and Tao Han, to appear



LHC is starting soon.

• LHC is starting soon, and it is expected to reveal new physics at TeV scale.

- LHC is starting soon, and it is expected to reveal new physics at TeV scale.
- We will finally understand the mechanism for electro-weak symmetry breaking. We will gain insight into the hierarchy problem.

- LHC is starting soon, and it is expected to reveal new physics at TeV scale.
- We will finally understand the mechanism for electro-weak symmetry breaking. We will gain insight into the hierarchy problem.
- There are many possibilities beyond the standard model: supersymmetry, large extra dimensions, warped extra dimensions, technicolor, etc.

- LHC is starting soon, and it is expected to reveal new physics at TeV scale.
- We will finally understand the mechanism for electro-weak symmetry breaking. We will gain insight into the hierarchy problem.
- There are many possibilities beyond the standard model: supersymmetry, large extra dimensions, warped extra dimensions, technicolor, etc.
- String theory can accommodate all these possibilities.

Energy Scales



Energy Scales

Energy Scales

 No hope for direct test of string theory at LHC? Not quite.

- No hope for direct test of string theory at LHC? Not quite.
- In large extra dimension scenario, string scale can be as low as the TeV scale.

- No hope for direct test of string theory at LHC? Not quite.
- In large extra dimension scenario, string scale can be as low as the TeV scale.
- Some recent works studied certain modelindependent string amplitudes that are observable at LHC. Anchordoqui, Goldberg, Lust, Nawata, Stieberger and Taylor.

Closed String

Closed String

Closed String

D-brane and Open String

Closed String

Graviton

D-brane and Open String

• We will make the following assumptions.

- We will make the following assumptions.
 - The standard model lives on some intersecting Dbranes, or D-branes at singularities.

- We will make the following assumptions.
 - The standard model lives on some intersecting Dbranes, or D-branes at singularities.
 - TeV String scale.

- We will make the following assumptions.
 - The standard model lives on some intersecting Dbranes, or D-branes at singularities.
 - TeV String scale.
 - Large (or warped) extra dimensions.

- We will make the following assumptions.
 - The standard model lives on some intersecting Dbranes, or D-branes at singularities.
 - TeV String scale.
 - Large (or warped) extra dimensions.
 - Small string coupling constant.

- We will make the following assumptions.
 - The standard model lives on some intersecting Dbranes, or D-branes at singularities.
 - TeV String scale.
 - Large (or warped) extra dimensions.
 - Small string coupling constant.
- The scenario consists of a very small corner in the string landscape. But the experimental signatures are quite spectacular.

• The tree level four-point amplitudes are the open string disk amplitudes.

- The tree level four-point amplitudes are the open string disk amplitudes.
- The four-boson amplitudes and two-bosontwo-fermion amplitudes are universal, i.e. independent of specific D-brane constructions and the compactification.

- The tree level four-point amplitudes are the open string disk amplitudes.
- The four-boson amplitudes and two-bosontwo-fermion amplitudes are universal, i.e. independent of specific D-brane constructions and the compactification.
- The four-fermion amplitudes such as the Drell-Yan di-lepton process $q\bar{q} \rightarrow l\bar{l}$ are model-dependent.

Dijet process: $gg \to gg, gg \to q\bar{q}, q\bar{q} \to gg, gq \to gq, q\bar{q} \to q\bar{q}$.

Dijet process: $gg \to gg$, $gg \to q\bar{q}, q\bar{q} \to gg$, $gq \to gq$, $q\bar{q} \to q\bar{q}$. Jet + photon process: $gg \to g\gamma, q\bar{q} \to g\gamma, qg \to q\gamma$ Diphoton process: $gg \to \gamma\gamma, q\bar{q} \to \gamma\gamma$.

Dijet process: $gg \to gg$, $gg \to q\bar{q}, q\bar{q} \to gg$, $gq \to gq$, $q\bar{q} \to q\bar{q}$. Jet + photon process: $gg \to g\gamma, q\bar{q} \to g\gamma, qg \to q\gamma$ Diphoton process: $gg \to \gamma\gamma, q\bar{q} \to \gamma\gamma$.

 $q\bar{q}
ightarrow q\bar{q}$ amplitude is model dependent, but suppressed by parton distribution function at LHC.

String Resonance

- The Veneziano amplitudes have poles at S-channel at center of mass square $s=M_s^2$

$$V(s,t,u) = \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{s}{M_s^2})\Gamma(1-\frac{u}{M_s^2})}{\Gamma(1+\frac{t}{M_s^2})}$$
$$\approx \frac{u}{s-M_s^2}$$

String Resonance

- The Veneziano amplitudes have poles at S-channel at center of mass square $s=M_s^2$

$$V(s,t,u) = \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{s}{M_s^2})\Gamma(1-\frac{u}{M_s^2})}{\Gamma(1+\frac{t}{M_s^2})}$$
$$\approx \frac{u}{s-M_s^2}$$

• The poles must be softened to the Breit-Wigner form, and they appear as string resonance in the scattering amplitudes.

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 ~\sim~ rac{1}{(s-M_s^2)^2+(\Gamma M_s)^2}$$

where Γ is the decay rate of the string resonance.

Dijet Signals

• This is studied by Anchordoqui, Goldberg, Lust, Nawata, Stieberger, and Taylor. arXiv:0808.0497.

Our results

• We consider various processes such as diphoton process, ZZ process, and $t\bar{t}$ process.

Our results

- We consider various processes such as diphoton process, ZZ process, and $t\bar{t}$ process.
- We use the angular distribution of final particle states to determine the spin of the string resonance.

Our results

- We consider various processes such as diphoton process, ZZ process, and $t\bar{t}$ process.
- We use the angular distribution of final particle states to determine the spin of the string resonance.
- We also study the higher Regge string resonances. The decay width of n = 1 string resonances is calculated by L.A. Anchordoqui et al. The decay width of higher string resonances is more difficult to calculate precisely, as they can decay to lower string resonances and also KK modes.

• It turns out the signal to background ratio for diphoton channel is smaller than the dijet channel, due to suppression of the C-Y mixing parameter κ .

- It turns out the signal to background ratio for diphoton channel is smaller than the dijet channel, due to suppression of the C-Y mixing parameter κ .
- A better opportunity appears in the $t\bar{t}$ channel. Here the calculations of the string amplitude are the same for all types of quarks. But the background rate for the top quark is about 10^{-2} times that of a generic jet (gluon and other types of quarks), so we have a signal to background 10^2 times that of the dijet process previously studied.

- It turns out the signal to background ratio for diphoton channel is smaller than the dijet channel, due to suppression of the C-Y mixing parameter κ .
- A better opportunity appears in the $t\bar{t}$ channel. Here the calculations of the string amplitude are the same for all types of quarks. But the background rate for the top quark is about 10^{-2} times that of a generic jet (gluon and other types of quarks), so we have a signal to background 10^2 times that of the dijet process previously studied.
- We are also looking at the ZZ channel. A distinguishing feature of string resonance is that at tree level there are $\gamma\gamma$ channel, ZZ channel, but no W^+W^- channel, in contrast to other particles such as Higgs which decay equally well into all electroweak bosons.

• Some preliminary results on the $t\bar{t}$ channel.

Conclusion

• If we are very lucky, we may see string resonance at the LHC.

Conclusion

- If we are very lucky, we may see string resonance at the LHC.
- This will provide a direct test of string theory, and a great opportunity to study stringy scattering amplitudes.

Conclusion

- If we are very lucky, we may see string resonance at the LHC.
- This will provide a direct test of string theory, and a great opportunity to study stringy scattering amplitudes.
- It would be interesting to also study model-depedent amplitudes, such as the dilepton productions. It would be interesting to study other experimental constrains on low string scale models.

Thank You