

Impact of jet-production data on the NLO determination of HERAPDF2.0 parton distributions



On behalf of the H1 & ZEUS Collaborations





14th Conference on the Intersections of Particle and Nuclear Physics (CIPANP 2022) Lake Buena Vista, FL, August 30, 2022



DOE NP contract: DE-SC0013405

Bernd Surrow

1



Outline



14th Conference on the Intersections of Particle and Nuclear Physics (CIPANP 2022) Lake Buena Vista, FL, August 30, 2022

EPJC 82, 243 (2022)

Bernd Surrow



HERA

Introduction

- Jet production at DESY for over 40 years
 - 0 At HERA direct information on gluon and α_S from jet production
 - 0 Possible simultaneous determination of parton distribution functions and α_S





TASSO Collaboration, R. Brandelik et al., Phys. Lett. B 86 (1979) 243.





Introduction

Motivation for jet production



• At HERA inclusive data carry little information on α_S

Jet data sensitive to $lpha_S$

0

 New NNLO calculations for HERA ep jet production available now: Implemented in FastNLO and APPLEGRID, allowing for

fast cross-section

calculations



Introduction

Impact for LHC program



 αs is least known coupling constant;

> needed to constrain GUT scenarios; cross section predictions, including Higgs;

. . .



PDG21: αs = 0.1175 ± 0.0010 (w/o lattice)



PDFs and/or **αs** limit: precision SM and Higgs measurements, BSM searches,

. . .

what is true αs central value and uncertainty?

new precise determinations have important role to play

Claire Gwenlan, Moriond 2022



DF parameterization

$$xf(x) = Ax^{B}(1-x)^{C}(1+Dx+Ex^{2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} xg(x) &= A_g x^{B_g} (1-x)^{C_g} - A'_g x^{B'_g} (1-x)^{C'_g}, \\ xu_v(x) &= A_{u_v} x^{B_{u_v}} (1-x)^{C_{u_v}} \left(1 + E_{u_v} x^2\right), \\ xd_v(x) &= A_{d_v} x^{B_{d_v}} (1-x)^{C_{d_v}}, \\ x\overline{U}(x) &= A_{\overline{U}} x^{B_{\overline{U}}} (1-x)^{C_{\overline{U}}} \left(1 + D_{\overline{U}} x\right), \\ x\overline{D}(x) &= A_{\overline{D}} x^{B_{\overline{D}}} (1-x)^{C_{\overline{D}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Additional constraints:

•
$$A_{u_v}, A_{d_v}, A_g$$
, constrained by

quark-number and momentum sum rules

•
$$B_{\bar{U}} = B_{\bar{D}}$$

• Strange-quark distribution, $x\overline{s}$, expressed as an x-dependent

fraction, f_s , of the d-type sea,

$$x\bar{s} = f_s x\bar{D}$$

14th Conference on the Intersections of Particle and Nuclear Physics (CIPANP 2022) Lake Buena Vista, FL, August 30, 2022 6



- Updates on global fit procedure
 - At NLO, the factorizations scale was chosen as $\mu_f^2 = Q^2$, for the inclusive data, while the renormalization scale was linked to the transverse momenta, p_T , of the jets as $\mu_r^2 = (Q^2 + p_T^2)/2$.
 - At NNLO, the factorizations/renormalization scales were chosen as $\mu_f^2 = \mu_r^2 = Q^2 + p_T^2$ for inclusive jets and $\mu_f^2 = \mu_r^2 = (Q^2 + p_T^2)/2$ for dijets.
 - Changes resulted in improved χ^2 values for the fits!
 - In general, scale variations are used to estimate uncertainties due to missing higher-order contributions.
 - Model and parameterization uncertainties on the PDFs were evaluated by using fits with modified input assumptions.
 - For jet-data analysis, the effect of the uncertainties from hadronization corrections were taken into account: No significant difference to any of the results presented!



- Estimation of charm & beauty mass parameters
 - Roberts-Thorne Optimal (RTOPT) heavy-quark scheme used to evaluate predictions for the inclusive data requiring charm/beauty mass parameters: m_c, m_b
 - O Optimized mass parameters: mc, mb



14th Conference on the Intersections of Particle and Nuclear Physics (CIPANP 2022) Lake Buena Vista, FL, August 30, 2022



- HERA global analysis data set
 - Data taken by H1 and ZEUS from 1993 2007 were combined for inclusive HERA ep DIS cross-sections taking all systematic uncertainties into account (Previously used for HERAPDF2.0!).
 - HERAPDF2.0Jets analysis at NNLO, use selected data of inclusive jet and dijet production from H1 and ZEUS, adding new low Q² data from H1.
 - Jet reconstruction: Massless jets identified with k_T algorithm, with R=1.

Data set	taken	Q^2 [GeV	V ²] range	L	e^{+}/e^{-}	\sqrt{s}	Norma-	All	Used	Ref.
	from to	from	to	pb ⁻¹		GeV	lised	points	points	
H1 HERA I normalised jets	1999 – 2000	150	15000	65.4	e^+p	319	yes	24	24	[9]
H1 HERA I jets at low Q^2	1999 – 2000	5	100	43.5	e^+p	319	no	28	20	[10]
H1 normalised inclusive jets at high Q^2	2003 - 2007	150	15000	351	$e^+ p/e^- p$	319	yes	30	30	[13,14]
H1 normalised dijets at high Q^2	2003 - 2007	150	15000	351	$e^+ p/e^- p$	319	yes	24	24	[13]
H1 normalised inclusive jets at low Q^2	2005 - 2007	5.5	80	290	$e^+ p/e^- p$	319	yes	48	37	[14]
H1 normalised dijets at low Q^2	2005 - 2007	5.5	80	290	$e^+ p/e^- p$	319	yes	48	37	[14]
ZEUS inclusive jets	1996 – 1997	125	10000	38.6	e^+p	301	no	30	30	[11]
ZEUS dijets 1998 –2000 &	2004 - 2007	125	20000	374	e^+p/e^-p	318	no	22	16	[12]







Robustness of global fit - NLO/NNLO comparison



• Jet data crucial for enhancing sensitivity to α_s

 Similar behavior and level of precision at NLO and NNLO. However direct comparison of 2015 and 2022 results not possible due to different scale choices and slightly different jet data sets! 11

¹⁴th Conference on the Intersections of Particle and Nuclear Physics (CIPANP 2022) Lake Buena Vista, FL, August 30, 2022



- Comparison to other HERAPDF2.0 fits
- For previous NLO results scale uncertainty applied as 50% correlated and 50% uncorrelated between bins and data sets.
- Using the same procedure, our present NNLO result becomes:

 $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1156 \pm 0.0011 \text{ (exp)} + 0.0001 \text{ (model + parameterisation)} \pm 0.0022 \text{ (scale)}$

At NLO:

 $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1183 \pm 0.0009(\exp) \pm 0.0005(\text{model/parameterisation}) \\ \pm 0.0012(\text{hadronisation}) \begin{array}{c} +0.0037\\ -0.0030(\text{scale}) \end{array}$

Scale uncertainties reduced as expected for NNLO calculations!



 \square α_S from HERA jets



 $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1156 \pm 0.0011 \text{ (exp)} \stackrel{+0.0001}{-0.0002} \text{ (model + parameterisation)} \pm 0.0029 \text{ (scale)}$

14th Conference on the Intersections of Particle and Nuclear Physics (CIPANP 2022) Lake Buena Vista, FL, August 30, 2022 α_{s}

Bernd Surrow



Comparison to other HERAPDF2.0 fits

- Experimental uncertainties: Hessian method
- Model uncertainties all added in quadrature
- Parametrization uncertainties largest deviation

Parameter		Central value	Downwards variation	Upwards variation		
$Q^2_{\rm min}$	$[GeV^2]$	3.5	2.5	5.0		
f_s		0.4	0.3	0.5		
M_c	[GeV]	1.41	1.37*	1.45		
M_b	[GeV]	4.20	4.10	4.30		
μ_{f0}^2	[GeV ²]	1.9	1.6	2.2*		

- HERAPDF2.0Jets NNLO fit: 1363 data points with $\chi^2/ndf = 1614/1348 = 1.197$ compared to $\chi^2/ndf = 1363/1131 = 1.205$ based in incl. data only!
- Jet data do not introduce any additional tension into the fit and are fully consistent with inclusive data!





New HERAPDF2.0 fit









Comparison of theory predictions to H1 norm. inclusive jets at high Q²



- Comparison of theory predictions to H1
 HERA II normalized jets @ high Q²
- Good agreement for all data used in PDF

fits





- Impact on uncertainties
 - Reduction of low-x gluon (x <
 - 10-3) uncertainties due to

reduced model/param.

uncertainties

- Reduction of high-x gluon (x >
 - 10-3) uncertainties due to

reduced model/param./exp.

uncertainties





- HERAPDF2.0 family of PDFs completed
 by performing an NNLO QCD fit
 including HERA DIS inclusive-jet and di jet data with α_S and PDFs fitted
 simultaneously!
- Critical: Theoretical (NNLOJet) and fast interpolation grid technology development (APPLfast)
- \Box Jet data sensitive to α_{S} :



 $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1156 \pm 0.0011 \text{ (exp)} + 0.0001 \text{ (model + parameterisation)} \pm 0.0029 \text{ (scale)}$

¹⁴th Conference on the Intersections of Particle and Nuclear Physics (CIPANP 2022) Lake Buena Vista, FL, August 30, 2022



Thank you!

Katarzyna Wichmann (DESY)

and

Amanda Cooper-Sarkar (Oxford University) /

Claire Gwenlan (Oxford University)