# From Quarks to Nuclei: new insights from the search for squeezed hadrons at Jefferson Lab.



### Dipangkar Dutta Mississippi State University





### Outline





- 1. Introduction
- 2. Nuclear transparency and Hadron propagation
- 3. Color transparency & Small size configuration
- 4. Some recent results and future possibilities.
- 5. Summary





### The role of quarks and gluons in nuclei is still one of the important unsolved and much debated problems.

### **Quantum Chromo Dynamics (QCD)** is the fundamental theory describing the strong force in terms of quarks and gluons carrying color charges.



100 years since the discovery of the nucleus and 50 years after the invention of QCD

## QCD is the only legitimate candidate for a theory of the strong force, but there is no consensus on how it works.



How to describe nuclei in terms of quarks & gluons of QCD? What is the energy threshold for the transition?

**CIPANP 2022, NN-4** 

### Can the strong nuclear force be rigorously understood in terms of accepted fundamental theory?



(How exactly are protons and neutrons built starting with the underlying quarks and gluons? What is the origin of quark confinement in the strong force?)

Electron scattering experiments at Jefferson Lab are addressing these questions.

## Recently, Jefferson Lab was upgraded to better address this problem.



#### **D.** Dutta

## The early experiments address a wide range of basic nuclear physics questions.

Examine nuclear structure at short distance scales to reveal QCD as the ultimate source of the strong interaction.



Understand the role of color in nuclei by studying the propagation of hadrons through nuclei with exclusive processes.



Probe the properties of superdense fluctuations of nuclear matter and their correlation to the modification of quark distributions in nuclei.



## Exclusive electron scattering tells us about the propagation of hadrons through nuclei.



## **Exclusive electron scattering is used to measure final state interactions (FSI).**

**Exclusive processes** (processes with completely determined initial and final states)



At high energies hadron propagation is dominated by **reduction of flux**, which is quantified by **Nuclear Transparency**.  $T = \frac{\sigma_{N}}{A \sigma_{0}} \quad \sigma_{N} = \text{nuclear cross section parameterized as } \sigma_{0} A^{\alpha}$ 

Nuclear Transparency is a measure of Final State Interactions (FSI)

D. Dutta

CIPANP 2022, NN-4

## Final state interactions in exclusive processes can be used to search for onset of QCD predictions.

**Exclusive processes** (processes with completely determined initial and final states)



### Nuclear transparency is energy independent in the strongly interacting hadronic picture.



#### For light nuclei very precise calculations of are possible.



D. Dutta

## QCD predicts that h-N interaction in exclusive processes at large momentum transfers should vanish.



At high momentum transfers, scattering takes place via selection of amplitudes characterized by small transverse size (PLC) - "squeezing"

The compact size is maintained while traversing the nuclear medium - "freezing".

The PLC is 'color screened' - it passes undisturbed through the nuclear medium.

$$\sigma_{PLC} \approx \sigma_{hN} \frac{\frac{b^2}{2}}{R^{2}h}$$

## QCD predicts final state interactions in exclusive processes at large momentum transfers should vanish.

At sufficiently high energies because of "squeezing", "freezing" and color screening, nuclei should become completely transparent (color transparency, CT).





CT is unexpected in a strongly interacting hadronic picture, but it is natural in a quark-gluon framework.

Onset of CT would be a signature of the onset of QCD degrees of freedom in nuclei

### Bjorken scaling in DIS at small x is seen as evidence for CT at very high energies.

Reduced interaction at high energies due to "squeezing and freezing" (i.e. due to CT) is assumed in calculations of structure functions.

> L. Frankfurt and M. Strikman, Phys Rep. 160, 235 (1988).

CT is implied by the successful pQCD description of DIS.



### CT is also connected to the framework of Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs) - the modern description of nucleons.

The onset of CT is a necessary (but not sufficient) conditions for factorization. -Strikman, Frankfurt, Miller and Sargsian



- small size configurations (SSC/PLC) needed for factorization
- It is still uncertain what Q<sup>2</sup> value reaches the factorization regime

## Although CT is well established at high energies the evidence of its onset is lacking for baryons.



Results inconsistent with CT only. But can be explained by including additional mechanisms such as nuclear filtering or charm resonance states.

## There has a been a long ongoing effort to measure CT in protons using the A(e,e'p) reaction.

A(e,e'p) results





**Q**<sup>2</sup> dependence consistent with standard nuclear physics calculations

Solid Pts - JLab Open Pts -- other

N. C. R. Makins et al. PRL 72, 1986 (1994)
G. Garino et al. PRC 45, 780 (1992)
D. Abbott et al. PRL 80, 5072 (1998)
K. Garrow et al. PRC 66, 044613 (2002)

Constant value fit for  $Q^2 > 2$  (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> has  $\chi^2 / df \sim 1$ 

D. Dutta

CIPANP 2022, NN-4

### CT expected to be relatively easier to find with mesons.



Small size configurations are more probable in 2 quark system such as pions than in protons. - B. Blattel et al., PRL 70, 896 (1993)

Onset of CT expected at lower Q<sup>2</sup> in mesons

Formation length is ~ 10 fm at moderate  $Q^2$  in mesons

Onset of CT is directly related to the onset of factorization required for access to GPDs in deep exclusive meson production.

- Strikman, Frankfurt, Miller and Sargsian

## JLab experiments from the 6-GeV era have conclusively observed the onset of CT in mesons.



D. Dutta

**CIPANP 2022, NN-4** 

### The first experiment to run in JLab Hall C in the 12 GeV era, was a search for the onset of CT in protons.

- Coincidence trigger: SHMS measures protons, HMS measures electrons
- Targets: 10 cm LH<sub>2</sub> (H(e,e'p) normalization),
- 6% <sup>12</sup>C (production),
- Al dummy (background)



![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

### The results from the first Hall C experiment rule out the onset of CT in (e,e'p) up to $Q^2 = 14.3 \text{ GeV}^2$

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

D. Dutta

**CIPANP 2022, NN-4** 

### These results do not show the enhancement observed in BNL (p,2p) experiment.

#### BNL observations unlikely to be because of CT Places very stringent constraints on all existing CT models

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

D. Dutta

be squeezed into a smaller size and slip out of the nucleus for a romp on their own. Observing these squeezed protons may offer unique insights into the particles that

Now, researchers hunting for these squeezed protons at the U.S. Department of Energy's Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility have come up empty handed, suggesting there's more to the

build our universe.

## A new puzzle has arisen for Final State Interactions (FSI) in hadrons (where are the squeezed protons?)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

**CIPANP 2022, NN-4** 

23/35

### The meson results will be verified soon in upcoming experiments.

A(e,e' ρ<sup>0</sup>)

A(e,e' π<sup>+</sup>)

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

### But the lack of CT in protons remains puzzling

### Maybe we need move away from parallel kinematics and embrace FSI and shift to "dirty kinematics".

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

The "street lamp effect"

#### Move away from parallel kinematics (highest rates but small FSI)

Parallel kinematics

Proton's initial-momentum is parallel to the q-vector

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

"Dirty kinematics": kinematics where the FSI is very large and compare it against kinematics where the FSI is small and map the Q<sup>2</sup> dependence of their ratio.

### Recent measurements on D(e,e'p) at large P<sub>m</sub> provide clues on how to access "dirty kinematics".

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Measure Q<sup>2</sup> dependence of the ratio of yield at  $\theta_{nq} = 75$  for  $P_m > 400$  MeV/c to  $P_m \sim 200$  MeV/c

**CT** would lead to reduction of the ratio as **Q**<sup>2</sup> increases

plots courtesy of W. Boeglin

### Recent measurements on D(e,e'p) at large P<sub>m</sub> provide clues on how to access "dirty kinematics".

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Measure Q<sup>2</sup> dependence of the ratio of yield at  $P_m > 400 \text{ MeV/c}$  and  $\theta_{nq} = 75 \text{ to } \theta_{nq} = 45$ 

> CT would lead to reduction of the ratio as Q<sup>2</sup> increases

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

plots courtesy of W. Boeglin

### Ratios can be extracted from existing data, but need to extend the measurements to higher Q<sup>2</sup>.

Currently statistics are poor for Q<sup>2</sup> = 4.5 GeV<sup>2</sup> (second part of experiment is scheduled)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Substantial left-right asymmetry in A(e,e'p) exists even in parallel kinematics.

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Q<sup>2</sup> dependence of A<sub>LT</sub> consistent with PWIA in parallel kinematics

### Left-right asymmetry for A(e,e'p) in perpendicular kinematics is another example of "dirty kinematics".

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Perpendicular kinematics**

**CIPANP 2022, NN-4** 

## A new photo-nuclear program was just completed in Hall-D at JLab

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Two Observables to probe photon structure, and CT:

Transparency for a given nucleus,

$$T = \sigma_{YA} / A \sigma_{YN}$$

A-dependence of transparency (i.e. ratio for different nuclei)

Exclusive Proton Reactions		Exclusive Neutron Reactions	
γ+p→	π <sup>0</sup> + p	γ+n→	π <sup>-</sup> + p
γ+p→	π- + Δ++	$\gamma + n \rightarrow$	$\pi^- + \Delta^{++}$
γ+p→	ρ <sup>0</sup> + p	$\gamma + n \rightarrow$	ρ- + p
γ+p →	$K^+ + V_0$	$\gamma$ + n $\rightarrow$	$K_0 + V_0$
γ+p→	K+ + Σ <sup>0</sup>	$\gamma + n \rightarrow$	$K_0 + \Sigma_0$
γ+p→	ω + p	x	
γ+p →	φ + p	Х	

#### 8.5 GeV photons on 3 targets

#### Spokespersons:

Hen, Zumila-Vance, Piasetzky, Dutta, Gao, Somov, Weinstein.

#### D. Dutta

### At high momentum transfers, photons fluctuate to a point like configuration.

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Onset of CT may also be probed using the photo-nuclear processes.

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

### The new photo-nuclear program should provide additional clues.

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Take Away

- The upgraded accelerator at JLab is fully operational and the extensive experimental program is underway in earnest.
- Exciting new results on the role of quarks in nuclei are trickling in and some have already been published.
- Some of these results are very puzzling.
- Look out for the deluge about to come, and move us closer to an eventual resolution to the problem of quarks in nuclei.
- A shift towards non-traditional kinematics -"dirty kinematics" seems warranted.

This work is supported by US DOE under contract #DE-FG02-07ER41528

A big shout out to the army of graduate students and post docs working on these experiments.

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Students at Work**

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

36

Two ideas were presented at a recent workshop on CT

### Two-Stage Color Transparency

### Stan Brodsky Halographic light-front QCD

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Conclude PLC is not formed Feynman mechanism is responsible for proton em Jerry Miller form factor at high $Q^2$

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

The normal component of recoil proton polarization ( $P_n$ ) in A( $\vec{e}$ ,e' $\vec{p}$ ) is a "FSI-meter"

#### $P_n = 0$ (in the absence of medium effect) $\Rightarrow$ can be used as FSI filter

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

**"Dirty kinematics"** = large P<sub>R</sub>

Signature of CT: Pn  $\rightarrow$  0 with increasing Q<sup>2</sup>

### The normal component of recoil proton polarization (P<sub>n</sub>) in A( $\vec{e}$ ,e' $\vec{p}$ ) is a "FSI-meter"

Focal Plane Polarimeter in SHMS to measure the  $P_n$  for <sup>2</sup>H, <sup>12</sup>C and <sup>63</sup>Cu. p(e,e'p) for self-calibration (analyzing power,  $A_c$ ) and false asymmetry. Use proton form factors already measured.

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

$$\Delta P_n = \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_o \epsilon}} \quad \epsilon = A_c^2 \quad f;$$
  
FPP acceptance

Using efficiency ~ 0.003 and  $\Delta P_n < 0.1$ a Q<sup>2</sup> range of 1 - 10 GeV<sup>2</sup> can be scanned in about 500 hrs.

### Signature of CT: $P_n \rightarrow 0$ with increasing $Q^2$

A. Saha et al., PR 91-006, Hall A proposal. Anklin H. et al., The ELFE Project: an Electron Laboratory for Europe, Conference Proceedings, Vol. 44, p.223 (1993)

### $P_n$ in A( $\vec{e}$ , $e'\vec{p}$ ) at large missing momentum as a function of Q<sup>2</sup> will be an ideal observable.

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $^{16}O(\vec{e},e'\vec{p})$  at  $P_m = 250 \text{ MeV/c}$ 

Signature of CT:  $P_n \rightarrow 0$  with increasing  $Q^2$