## Latest results from the BICEP/ Keck Collaboration Constraints on primordial gravitational waves and cosmic inflation with data collected through 2018

Zeeshan Ahmed Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory CIPANP, August 31, 2022

Photo by Keith Vanderlinde





Redshift (z)

2



## Statistical information from CMB **Suggests primordial seeds of structure**



Figures from Planck Legacy Release 2018

We measure a simple, flat power spectrum for primordial fluctuations. When evolved forward, it matches the matter power spectrum observed today.



## Statistical information from CMB **Suggests primordial seeds of structure**



Figures from Planck Legacy Release 2018

We measure a simple, flat power spectrum for primordial fluctuations. When evolved forward, it matches the matter power spectrum observed today.



## Statistical information from CMB **Suggests primordial seeds of structure**



Figures from Planck Legacy Release 2018

We measure a simple, flat power spectrum for primordial fluctuations. When evolved forward, it matches the matter power spectrum observed today.



#### Inflation seeds primordial structure Scalar field(s) for exponential expansion



Figures from Baumann/Peiris 2009, Astronomy Today and Planck 2018

### Inflation seeds primordial structure Scalar field(s) for exponential expansion



## Inflation seeds primordial structure Scalar field(s) for exponential expansion



Figures from Baumann/Peiris 2009, Astronomy Today and Planck 2018

## Inflation also fixes horizon and flatness problems

The particle horizon at CMB time should at least be the red circle, but instead is the white circle. All these white areas should be causally disconnected



The Universe appears flat to better than 1% today. Even the slightest curvature ought to grow quickly. Needs  $|1 - \Omega| \sim 10^{-60}$  at Planck time!

> Figures from Wikipedia and Mathematica Stack Exchange



## Inflation models generically predict primordial gravitational waves (PGWs)



Figures from ESA/Planck and JPL/BICEP

Tensor GW  $P_t(k) \approx A_t k^{n_t}$  $P_{s}(k) \approx A_{s} k^{n_{s} - 1}_{\text{Scalarly Waves}}$ 

Tensor-to-scalar Ratio

Inflation energy scale  $V^{1/4} = 1.04 \times 10^{16} \text{GeV} \left(\frac{r}{0.01}\right)$ 

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

Figures from Planck 2018 and BICEP

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

In standard ACDM only Emodes are present when CMB released.

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

Power I(I+1)  $C_1/2\pi$  [ $\mu K^2$ ]

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

Planck 2018 and BICEP

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **BICEP/Keck Program and 2021 results**

Three generations of CMB cameras with increasing sensitivity to target inflation signal

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **BICEP program 2007- present** Three generations of CMB cameras with increasing sensitivity to inflation

#### **Generation 1**

**BICEP1** (2006-2008)100, 150 GHz

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

~100 sensors

**Generation 2** 

BICEP2 (2010-2012)150 GHz

**Keck Array** (2012-2019) 95, 150, 220, 270 GHz

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

~500 sensors

~2500 sensors in five BICEP2-like cameras

![](_page_19_Picture_11.jpeg)

~2500 sensors

~30k sensors in four **BICEP3-like cameras** 

![](_page_19_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

BICEP/Keck Collaboration, ApJ 927 77 (2022)

## **BICEP** program designed to maximize sensitivity

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

~10,000ft, ~0.25mm precipitable water vapor High atmospheric transmission in mm-wave windows

6 months of cold, stable winter sky (no diurnal variation) Long periods of uninterrupted integration

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### **BICEP** program designed to control systematic effects

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Extensive characterization of instrument

BICEP/Keck Collaboration, ApJ 927 77 (2022)

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figures from BICEP, Planck and WMAP

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figures from BICEP, Planck and WMAP

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Detectors operated at 0.25K, at photon-noise limit!

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Transition edge sensor bolometer

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

Slot antennas (one summed network per pol) 95 GHz

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **BICEP** integrated instrument

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

Gen 2

Gen 3

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Signal Integration 2012-2018 (BK18)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

-40

-45

-50

-55

-60

-65

-70

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Signal Integration 2012-2018 (BK18)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

-40

-45

-50

-55

-60

-65

-70

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Signal Integration 2012-2018 (BK18)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

-40

-45

-50

-55

-60

-65

-70

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

E-mode

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Data points are BK18

**Black line** = lensed LCDM model prediction

**Red line** = lensed LCDM + dust model fit from BK15 Bmodes prediction

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Data points are BK18

**Black line** = lensed LCDM model prediction

**Red line** = lensed LCDM + dust model fit from BK15 Bmodes prediction

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### New BICEP3-only spectra: smaller error bars!

#### Data points are BK18

**Black line** = lensed LCDM model prediction

**Red line** = lensed LCDM + dust model fit from BK15 Bmodes prediction

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

### New BICEP3-only spectra: smaller error bars!

# E-mode spectra only provide validation of model; not included in analysis

![](_page_35_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Data points are BK18

**Black line** = lensed LCDM model prediction

**Red line** = lensed LCDM + dust model fit from BK15 Bmodes prediction

B-mode auto/cross spectra are inputs to multicomponent likelihood

![](_page_36_Figure_5.jpeg)

### New BICEP3-only spectra: smaller error bars!

# E-mode spectra only provide validation of model; not included in analysis

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Likelihood Model Jointly constrains r, CMB lensing amplitude, dust, synchrotron

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Oct 2021 result** r < 0.036(95% C.L.)  $\sigma(r) \sim 0.01$ 

> BICEP/Keck Collaboration, PRL 127, 151301 (2021)

#### What do these results mean for inflation? Single-field slow-roll inflation models with monomial potentials\* ruled out

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

\*= with canonical kinetic terms

BICEP/Keck Collaboration, PRL 127, 151301 (2021)

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Improve $\sigma(r)$ by measuring lensing B-modes SPT-3G will provide "de-lensing"

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Can be used to reconstruct the

...which can then be used to calculate and remove the lensing

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### BICEP + SPT = South Pole Observatory (SPO)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **BICEP + SPT = South Pole Observatory (SPO)**

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

### CMB-S4 The ultimate ground-based CMB survey experiment

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### A DOE-NSF joint project to build 21 CMB telescopes at the South Pole and in **Chile** incorporating ~550,000 photon-noise-limited sub-Kelvin, superconducting detectors. First light in 2032

Recommended by last P5, and by Astro2020

Site renderings from CMB-S4 collaboration

CMB-S4 Collaboration, arXiv:1610.02743 arXiv:1706.02464 arXiv:1907.04473

![](_page_42_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_9.jpeg)

# **CMB-S4 Proposed Inflation survey**

**18 x BICEP3-style cameras** targeting  $\ge$  3% of sky with and a dedicated **5-m de-lensing telescope**. 280,000 detectors.

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Target sensitivity $\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = 0.0005$

Renderings from CMB-S4 Project Office

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Summary

- we see today.
- The BICEP/Keck program searches for the signature of inflation-induced primordial gravitational waves in CMB polarization.
- BICEP/Keck has placed stringent constraints on tensor-to-scalar ratio
- contamination using data from SPT-3G.
- searching for hints of quantum gravity

#### Inflation is an attractive solution to plant the primordial seeds of the structure

(r<0.036) ruling out once popular models with single-field monomial potentials.

Continue to integrate down, but progress will come from removing lensing

Next decade, CMB-S4 will fully explore the parameter space of Lyth Bound,