



MICHIGAN STAT

Physics at High Energies

Hector de la Torre Perez Michigan State University

On behalf of the session conveners: Hector de la Torre Perez, Michigan State University Frank Petriello, Northwestern University, Argonne Louise Skinnari, Northeastern University

With many thanks to the organisers (Especially Brendan) and to all of our wonderful speakers that made their way to Florida despite the difficulties!

It was grand!

Five sessions on five topics (very loosely defined and separated)





between



and

The Electron-Ion Collider

e one

A machine that will unlock the secrets of the strongest force in Nature

Overview of the EIC experimental programme

First-ever accelerator to collide electrons and polarized <i>protons (or polarized light ions, or heavy nuclei)



Quantum Tomography of the Nucleon and Nuclei



4pi 'collider-like' detectors to go beyond Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) measurements

Double helicity asymmetry in DIS, quark helicity PDF, gluon PDF and TMD

H.de la Torre, Michigan State University

Miguel Arratia, UC Riverside

The LHC/EIC Synergy in Searches for New Physics

 $(\bar{L}L)(\bar{R}R)$

 $(\bar{l}_n \gamma_n l_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^{\mu} d_t)$

 $(\bar{q}_{\sigma}\gamma_{\sigma}q_{\tau})(\bar{e}_{s}\gamma^{\rho}e_{t})$

 $(\bar{q}_p \gamma_p q_r)(\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_l)$

 $(\bar{q}_{\nu}\gamma_{\nu}q_{r})(\bar{d}_{s}\gamma^{\rho}d_{t})$



Lots of parameters to consider and fit to data!

Pure Gauge X^3 φ^6 and $\varphi^4 D^2$ $f^{ABC}G^{A\nu}G^{B\rho}G^{C\rho}$ Q_{ω} $(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)^{3}$ On Q_{G} Qca $Q_{qq}^{(1)}$ $f^{ABC} \tilde{G}^{A\nu}_{\mu} G^{B\rho}_{\nu} G^{C\rho}_{\rho}$ $(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)\Box(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)$ $Q_{\nu_{\nu}}$ $(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{s}u,\tilde{\varphi})$ $(\bar{q}_s \gamma_s q_r)(\bar{q}_s \gamma^{\mu} q_t)$ 0... $(\bar{u}_s \gamma_s u_r)(\bar{u}_s \gamma^{\mu} u_t)$ $Q_{\tilde{G}}$ Qet $O_{ca}^{(3)}$ $(\bar{q}_s \gamma_s \tau^I q_s)(\bar{q}_s \gamma^\mu \tau^I q_s)$ Qui $(\bar{d}_s\gamma_s d_s)(\bar{d}_s\gamma^{\mu}d_s)$ Q_W $= {}^{IJK}W^{I\nu}_{\mu}W^{J\rho}_{\nu}W^{J\rho}_{\rho}W^{J}_{\rho}$ Q_{aD} $(\phi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\phi)^{*}(\phi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\phi)$ $(\phi^{\dagger}\phi)(\bar{q}_{o}d_{r}\phi)$ $Q_{l_{2}}^{(1)}$ 0. $(\bar{l}_s \gamma_s l_r)(\bar{q}_s \gamma^{\mu} q_t)$ $Q_{\widetilde{w}}$ EIJKWIWWJPWK Q(3) $(\bar{l}_s \gamma_s \tau^I l_r)(\bar{q}_s \gamma^{\mu} \tau^I q_l)$ $(\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r)(\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$ Qui $\psi^2 X \varphi$ $\psi^2 \varphi^2 D$ $X^2 \varphi^2$ $Q_{u}^{(1)}$ $(\bar{u}_p \gamma_p u_r)(\bar{d}_s \gamma^p d_t)$ 0(8) $(\bar{q}_{\rho}\gamma_{\rho}T^{A}q_{r})(\bar{u}_{s}\gamma^{\rho}T^{A}u$ $(\bar{l}_s \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$ $(\varphi^{\dagger}i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \varphi)(\overline{l}_{p} \gamma^{\mu} l_{r})$ $Q_{\varphi G}$ $\varphi^{\dagger} \varphi G^{A}_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu}$ Qew $Q_{int}^{(8)}$ $(\bar{u}_{\mu}\gamma_{\alpha}T^{A}u_{r})(\bar{d}_{s}\gamma^{\mu}T^{A}d_{t})$ $Q_{ef}^{(1)}$ $Q_{st}^{(3)}$ $(\varphi^{\dagger}i \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I} \varphi)(\overline{l}_{\mu}\tau^{I}\gamma^{\mu}l_{\mu})$ $\varphi^{\dagger} \varphi \, \widetilde{G}^{A}_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu}$ Q_{eB} $(\bar{l}_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}e_{r})\varphi B_{\mu\nu}$ $Q_{a\tilde{G}}$ $(\bar{q}_s \gamma_s T^A q_r)(\bar{d}_s \gamma^{\mu} T^A d_t$ $\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi W^{I}_{\mu\nu}W^{I}\mu\nu$ QaG Que $(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\overline{e}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}e_{r})$ $Q_{\varphi W}$ $(\bar{q}_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}T^{A}u_{r})\tilde{\varphi}G^{A}_{\mu\nu}$ $(\bar{L}R)(\bar{R}L)$ and $(\bar{L}R)(\bar{L}R)$ $Q_{\varphi q}^{(1)}$ $(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\overline{q}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}q_{r})$ $Q_{a\overline{W}}$ $\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi \widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu\nu}W^{I}\mu\nu$ Q_{uW} $(\bar{q}_{\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}u_{r})\tau^{I}\tilde{\varphi}W^{I}_{\mu\nu}$ $(\overline{l}, e_r)(\overline{d}, q_i^j)$ $Q_{\varphi q}^{(3)} = (\varphi^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I} \varphi)(\overline{q}_{p} \tau^{I} \gamma^{\mu} q)$ $Q_{\varphi B}$ $\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$ Q_{uR} $(\bar{q}_a \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} B_{\mu\nu}$ $(\bar{q}_{s}^{j}u_{r})\varepsilon_{jk}(\bar{q}_{s}^{k}d_{t})$ $(\bar{q}_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}T^{A}d_{r})\varphi G^{A}_{\mu\nu}$ Q_{qu} $(\varphi^{\dagger}i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{a} \varphi)(\bar{u}_{a} \gamma^{\mu} u_{r}$ $Q_{\omega \overline{B}}$ $\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi \widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$ Q_{dG} $(\bar{q}_{s}^{j}T^{A}u_{r})\varepsilon_{ik}(\bar{q}_{s}^{k}T^{A}d$ $\varphi^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\varphi W_{im}^{I}B^{\mu\nu}$ Q_{pd} $(\varphi^{\dagger}i \overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \varphi)(\overline{d}_{p} \gamma^{\mu} d_{r})$ Qaw $(\bar{q}_{0}\sigma^{\mu\nu}d_{r})\tau^{I}\varphi W^{I}_{\mu\nu}$ $O_{i-1}^{(1)}$ $(\bar{l}_{\mu}^{j}e_{r})\varepsilon_{jk}(\bar{q}_{s}^{k}u_{t})$ $\varphi^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\varphi \widetilde{W}^{I}_{-}B^{\mu\nu}$ $i(\tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}d,$ Domen) Sulako Fermion-Higgs Baryon-number Gauge-Higgs Four-fermion violating interactions interactions gauge interactions interactions (not considered here)

Standard model Effective field theory to study low mass effects due to high scale physics

Radja Boughezal, ANL



Fits based only on LHC data are blind to certain combinations. This is fixed by adding EIC pseudodata!

H.de la Torre, Michigan State University



PDFs from EIC, lattice QCD, and the LHC

6

Higgs physics





Interpretation of Higgs data within the SMEFT

First fit to EWPO, Higgs, diboson and Top results in SMEFT

Ē top EW previous individual limits 10^{2} X -Diboson $C_i = (4\pi)^2$ $-C_{i} = 1$ C_W $\begin{bmatrix} 10^{1} & 10^{1} \\ \hline 0 & 10^{0} \end{bmatrix}$ tŦV. $-C_i = 0.01$ $C_{H\square}$ C_{Ht} $C_{HWB} C_{HD}$ C_{μ} $C_{HQ}^{(1)}$ C_{HB} C_{tW} $C_{He} = C_{Hl}^{(3)} = C_{Hl}^{(1)}$ $C_{HQ}^{(3)}$ C_{HW} C_{tB} 10^{-1} $C_{Hq}^{(3)} \ C_{Hq}^{(1)} \ C_{Hu} \ C_{Hd}$ C_{bH} CHWB -CHD. CHe -C.(3) CHd-CHBOX CHG -CHB CW CG Ū CE3) CII) C⁽¹⁾ CHU CHW CTH UH CET $C^{3,1}_{Qq}$ C_{HG} **EWPO** C_{tH} $M(\lambda = 1)$ $\lambda(M = 1 \,\mathrm{TeV})$ Mass limits (in TeV) C_{bH} $C_{G} \quad C^{1,8}_{Qq} \quad C^{3,8}_{Qq} \quad C^{8}_{Qu}$ C_{Od}^8 $|\lambda_N|^2 < 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$ -1.6c W_1 $|\hat{a}_{w}^{\phi}|^{2} < 8.6 \times 10^{-2}$ 1.60 $C_{\tau H}$ C_{tG} C_{td}^8 C_{tu}^8 C_{tq}^8 $\kappa_{\pi}^2 < 1.1 \times 10^{-2} (\text{TeV}^2)$ 160 S_1 $|y_{S_1}|^2 < 1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ $C_{\mu H}$ $(s_L^t)^2 < 0.04$ Mass limits to a Higgs $\kappa_{e}^{2} < 1.7 \,(\text{TeV}^{2})$ Δ_3 $|\lambda_{\Lambda_3}|^2 < 2.9 \times 10^ Q_5$ $|\lambda_{O_{5}}|^{2} < 0.24$ variety of BSM $|\lambda_{\Sigma}|^2 < 4.5 \times 10^{-10}$ T_2 $|\lambda_{T_2}|^2 < 0.099$ models $|\lambda_E|^2 < 2.2 \times 10$ $|\lambda_U|^2 < 7.2 \times 10^-$ Large interplay $Z_6 \cos \beta < 0.99$ 1 Q7 $|\lambda_{O_1O_7}|^2 < 0.88$ Improvement by a 07 $|\lambda_{Q_2}|^2 < 0.14$ D $|\lambda_D|^2 < 3.8 \times 10^{-5}$ BB $g_{RR}^2 < 0.92$ combined fit B $|\hat{q}_{D}^{\phi}|^{2} < 6.9 \times 10^{-1}$ $|\lambda_{T_1}|^2 < 0.22$ T_1 Σ1 $|\lambda_{\Sigma_{2}}|^{2} < 2.7 \times 10^{-10}$ higan State University $|\lambda_{\Delta_1}|^2 < 1.7 \times 10^-$ Δ1

10

Ken Mimasu, KCL

Fitmaker code

8





Standard model Physics

Collider speak translation



'Neither top nor higgs nor explicit pure-BSM-search' physics



ATLAS Measurements of CP-Violation and rare decay processes with B-Mesons









Competitive results from ATLAS broadly compatible with the Standard Model

HL-LHC will allow for much tighter constraints

H. de la Torre, Michigan State University



Recent measurements from CMS and ATLAS



H. de la Torre, Michigan State University

Searches for new physics

"Roads? Where we're going, we don't need roads"







H. de la Torre, Michigan State University





Dark Matter collider searches

With the help of the DM track conveners Deborah Pinna, University of Wisconsin Keith Bechtol, University of Wisconsin Bjoern Penning, University of Michigan





Semi-visible jets at the LHC



Joe Haley, OSU Thomas Klijnsma, Fermilab

BDT-based

No BD

m_{7'} [TeV]

Unstable

Events

Data / Bkg.

σ [fb]

 10^{7}

 10^{6}

10⁵

104

 10^{3}

 10^{2}

10

0.9E

10⁴

10³

10²

10

And many other DM results

<u>Joe Haley, OSU</u> <u>Mehdi Rahmani, Florida Tech</u>

m_a [GeV]

Vector portal model

Model independent upper limits on kinetic mixing parameter, cross-section branching ratio and acceptance at CMS



Many new

Mono-X searches





Summary

- 23 talks from 22 speakers on a variety of topics
- Good mixture between theory and experimental talks
- Lots of nice discussion!

Thank you again to all of our speakers! Have a safe trip back home and see you soon

