Calorimetry R&D Directions Needs in the Next 3-5 Years Chris Tully (Princeton)

February 23, 2024 Princeton Muon Collider Organizational Workshop

# Importance of Order of Magnitude Leaps

#### Past Three Decades

- Tens of microseconds to tens of nanoseconds
- Thousands of channels to millions
- kRad to MRad tolerances
- 100kBytes to GBytes of per event data
- Trigger based on a handful of scalar sums to thousands of individual particle tag quantities
- ...many firsts with every new calorimeter

With each leap, we create opportunities for invention and innovation in the physics program and deliver more events, max out resolution within constraints, high granularity, and lower backgrounds for more sensitivity to new physics

# Muon Collider Era

#### We have to be even more impressive than before

- Sub-nanosecond tags for each individual particle region
- Multi-signal/multi-dimensional discrimination to further particle identification for all particles
- Tens to hundreds of millions of channels
- Tens of Mrad tolerances
- Tens of GBytes of per event data
- Triggers based on all real-time reco particles in the event
- First AI/ML fully optimized detector design
- Above all, the great leap for the muon collider detector calorimeter is to deliver new order of magnitude advances across the board while fully cleaning each event of beam-induced-background

<sup>▶ &</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> LPC success was grounded in excellent software/computing

# Calorimeter R&D Directions

#### Complex landscape of potential technologies

- Si-W based on-detector/MAPS readout
- Cryo/Noble Liquid/LAr high granularity with cold readout
- Optical calorimetry with Dual-Readout/hybrid crystals
- Emerging timing-centric approaches/Fast glass/Crillin

#### Time-domain important and pervasive dimension

- (AC-)LGAD/silicon
- Fast glass/Crillin
- LYSO/Fast Scint/SiPM
- And several evolving 10's to 100's of picosecond leading-edge discrimination for many of the full-detector calorimeter technologies

# Calorimeter R&D Future

#### **Maximize information content**

- Measure and identify particles and event and parameters needed to maintain calibration and remove backgrounds
- Dig deep: high quality local data, but save frugally/intelligently
- A strong guiding paradigm is Particle-Flow, but previous incarnations were hardly well-implemented
  - In fact, PF is largely, at this time, based on a lot of assumptions
  - Energy "Flow": assumes time is measured at different points along the trajectories – few examples of that
  - Assembly of particles out of the parts collected from vertex/tracking/calorimeter/muon not fail safe
  - Calorimetry often downgraded to minimum performance, driving many of the mistakes made in the algorithm

https://arxiv.org/abs/1204.5739

https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/ipac2012/papers/moppc037.pdf

## Example Muon Collider Outline



### **Expected Flux Through Calorimeters**







#### Time-domain is different than HL-LHC/muC

- HL-LHC has a class of backgrounds that are from inelastic proton-proton interactions from the beam (sub-ns spread) – Much less of this in muC
- There is significant ambient neutron flux from hadron interactions in neutron rich materials in the calorimeters (diffuse in time) – More from collimators upstream and tungsten nose
- Significant low energy flux from tungsten nose
- Beam halos (muons produced in secondary lost beam interactions) – large flux from offmomentum electron-initiated showers upstream
- Cosmics (grow in rate when there is random overlap in broad time window for collecting events) – narrower IP time windows help here

#### Example hadron calorimeter time-alignment



# Muon Collider Calorimetry

#### Need to drop some assumptions

- Measure hit times along trajectories
- Track from IP outward and from Beam Collimators inward

#### Standard geometries are designed to be hermetic and uniform – tunnel vision on IP

- Locations of calorimeter surfaces influences the separation power on beam backgrounds
- Prompt time of arrival distributions should not peak at background arrival times – and overlap should be suppressed by multiple times along trajectories leading up to calorimeter
- Timing layers/walls should be arranged to efficiently catch beam backgrounds and maintain high event quality
- Projective to the IP is for signal

# Optimize w/ Simulation and Test Beam



# Summary Table of Energy Resolutions

#### https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.00391

Detector technology (ECAL & HCAL)	E.m. energy res. stochastic term	E.m. energy res. constant term	ECAL & HCAL had. energy resolution (stoch. term for single had.)	ECAL & HCAL had. energy resolution (for 50 GeV jets)	Ultimate hadronic energy res. incl. PFlow (for 50 GeV jets)
Highly granular Si/W based ECAL & Scintillator based HCAL	15-17%[12,20]	1 % [12,20]	$45 - 50 \% \ [45, 20]$	$\approx 6\%$ ?	4 % [20]
Highly granular Noble liquid based ECAL & Scintillator based HCAL	8 - 10 % [24, 27, 46]	< 1 % [24,27,47]	$\approx 40\% \ [27,28]$	$\approx 6\%$ ?	3 - 4 % ?
Dual-readout Fibre calorimeter	11 % [48]	< 1 % [48]	$\approx 30 \%$ [48]	4-5% [49]	3 - 4 % ?
Hybrid crystal and Dual-readout calorimeter	3 % [30]	< 1 % [30]	pprox 26~%~[30]	$5-6\%\;[30,50]$	$3 - 4 \% \ [50]$

If the focus is mainly jets, then high-granularity with PFA delivers 4% at 50 GeV – often called "PFA calorimetry"

Noble Liquid is a better calorimeter across the board, but needs PFA studies

Higher EM performance with Noble Liquid or Fibers – Highest with Crystals

Best Intrinsic Hadron Performance with Dual-Readout Fibers

Hybrid Dual-Readout Crystals+Fibers attempts to maximize all performances

# Many small projects

WP1 - Sandwich calorimeters with fully embedded electronics



# Hybrid Dual-Readout Crystals+Fibers



#### Dual-Readout PFA



### Importance of Timing Layers (in front of calorimeter)

#### New era of MIP timing

CN

~25ps resolution per hit (1/c = 33ps/cm)

		Non	h-wrapped crystal bar with 2 SiPMs attached at each end				
3x3x50mm <sup>3</sup>			crystal				
CMS Option A for CMS MIP Timing Detector TDR Low occupancy timing layer timing for ~0.3-1 X0 Transverse orientation w/ stereo readout							
Rear module	LO (lab) at 3.5 V OV	τ	Crystal				
module 10: type 2, LYSO (prod3), HPK non-irr	~ 1100 p.e./MeV	38.5 ns	wrapping with ESR foils				
module 0: type 2, LYSO 528 (prod5), Hermetic-30 ps tim	~ 1450 p.e./ MeV (*) ing layers a firs	38.6 ns <b>st-ever</b>					
module 3: type 2, LYSO 422 (prod1), FBK non-irr	~ 1040 p.e./ MeV	41.4 ns	SiPM and LYSO array				

# Importance of Timing Layers in tracker

#### New era of MIP timing

~40ps resolution per hit per layer

Future direction: AC-Coupled with Doping Concentration RadHard Compensation



# Sparks of New Ideas

#### Dual-Readout Blue Sky R&D

#### Look for these from all technologies

(CalVision Proposal, H. Newman)



#### 10 TeV has to the potential to observe the "God Process" of matter creation in the Universe

Sphaleron corresponds to an unstable configuration of fields, which, after a small perturbation, decays to the vacuum by emission of many particles.



# Summary

#### **Importance of Order of Magnitude Advancement**

- Sub-nanosecond for every corner of the calorimeter
- Multi-signal evaluation concurrent with energy measurement
- Real time-domain information
- Energy flow in and out of calorimeter cells
- On detector reconstruction from pulse shapes to multi-signal to regional and event reconstruction – the whole range of fast and precise on-detector evaluation
- Orders of magnitude in cleanup and robustness against BIB
- State-of-the-art New ASICs
- First AI/ML optimized detector

# Final Remarks

- Simulation is absolutely central to optimizing the calorimeter in concert with PFA/PID performance
  - Many options are open, but the software needs to be able to cycle through them and compare
- Timing layers at ~20-40ps resolution will quickly be indispensable
  - Physical self-cleaning demonstrator essential as a focus of Detector R&D (CASTOR-table like env)
- Calorimeter R&D should continue to be impressing, pushing on ASICs, PID, and novel detector signals (look for very new ideas)
  - Once even proposed a SQUID sampling array to estimate electron longitudinal polarization from statistical sampling of the EM shower
  - Embedded spatially distributed arrays of entangled coherent states for correlated decoherence signals

# Additional slides

# Three Regimes of EM Resolution

For EM showers in a sampling calorimeter, the energy resolution is dominated by the sampling fluctuations:



### Recoil Analysis – Single Most Important Unbiased Sample of Higgs Boson Decays

#### ► $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ Recoil

► Z→e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Recoil



 $\rightarrow$  ~80% of Resolution Recovery with 3%/ $\sqrt{E}$ 

# Silicon Photomultiplier (SiPM) Cells

#### Typical dynamic range customization for SiPM

- More (small) SPADS to count more photons ( $50 \rightarrow 15 \mu m$ )
- Bright crystal (LYSO, GAGG) and high photodetection efficiency (PDE) and light collection efficiency (LCE)

Currently:

Large device ~6x6mm<sup>2</sup> CMS MTD ~4.5 m<sup>2</sup> of SiPMs (of 3x3mm<sup>2</sup>)

Segmented Crystal ECAL: ~200 m<sup>2</sup> of crystal surface (3-4 layers) Which SiPM device?



#### Further Possibilities for SiPMs with High Dynamic Range and Packing Density

- Large pixel count w/ large gain leads to current output limitations for large area devices
  - Multiple analog outputs per device
    - Regional lumped analog sums split output currents per region and sum (1/128, 1/32,1/8,1/2)
    - Multi-gain SPADs (5, 15, 50µm) for different cell sizes and fill factors – dynamic range built into SPAD layout
  - On-chip ADC with regional serializers
  - Commercial market for LIDAR advances is growing rapidly – many new developments expected