# Latest LZ WIMP results

CIPANP - 10 June 2025

Chami Amarasinghe, UCSB On behalf of the LZ collaboration





# The LZ detectors





**Bottom Side Skin PMTs** 

**Instrumented OD** 

**Bottom Dome Skin PMTs** 



# LZ veto system

Backgrounds scatter multiple times – WIMPs only once

Veto system designed to reject and characterize gamma and neutron backgrounds



### Signal production in liquid xenon

#### Energy deposits produce ions and excitons

Excitons + recombined ions  $\rightarrow$  **S1** (prompt scintillation)



#### Escape electrons drifted and extracted $\rightarrow$ S2





# Changes since WIMP Search 2022 result

Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 4, 041002

First result WS2022 set leading limits with 60d livetime

#### Detector campaigns since WS2022

- Lowered drift and extraction fields
  - To reduce spurious emissions
- Established xenon flow states with circulation changes



	C/G/A voltage [kV]	Drift field [V/cm]	g1 [phd/photon]	g2 [phd/electron]	Analysis livetime [d]
WS2022	-32/-4/+4	193	0.114	47.1	60
WS2024	-18/-4/+3.5	96.5	0.112	34.0	220

# WIMP Search 2024 (WS2024)



- 220 live-day exposure from March '23 to March '24
- Excellent electron-lifetime, greater than 8ms for most of the run

# Calibrations

Backgrounds predominantly **electron recoils**, WIMPs always **nuclear recoils** 

#### **ER calibration:**

 High-stats (156k) tritium β decays – injected radiolabeled methane with 3H and 14C

#### **NR calibration:**

 Monoenergetic 2.45 MeV neutrons from DD fusion generator

Other calibrations: <sup>131m</sup>Xe, <sup>83m</sup>Kr, activation lines, AmBe and AmLi neutrons,



Only 10% of tritium plotted (to match DD stats)

~99.9% discrimination of flat ER background with 50% acceptance for 40 GeV WIMP

Same discrimination as WS2022

# Backgrounds

- Dissolved  $\beta$  emitters:
  - **<sup>214</sup>Pb** (<sup>222</sup>Rn), <sup>212</sup>Pb (<sup>220</sup>Rn), <sup>85</sup>Kr, <sup>136</sup>Xe ( $\beta\beta$ )
- Dissolved EC decays (x-ray/Auger cascades):
  - <sup>127/125</sup>Xe from neutron calibration activation
  - <sup>124</sup>Xe (double EC), 0.095% nat. abundance
- Solar v's: <sup>8</sup>B+*hep* (NR), pp+<sup>7</sup>Be (ER)
- Long-lived γ emitters in detector materials:
   <sup>238</sup>U chain, <sup>232</sup>Th chain, <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>60</sup>Co

• Accidental coincidences (expect 2.8 ± 0.6 counts)

 Neutrons from spontaneous fission and (α,n) in detector materials (~0)



# Data selection

- High-rate and detector instability periods removed
   86% live time retention
- S1- & S2-based cuts target accidental events
  - Impacts final signal acceptance
  - Validated with calibration (tritium, AmLi, DD)
- Fiducial volume: 5.5 ± 0.2 tonne mass
- Skin/OD veto anti-coincidence
- Observed 1220 events (expected 1210)



# Bias mitigation via salting

- Salt: fabricated signals randomly injected into raw data stream
- Salt rate hidden from analyzers and bound by WS2022 upper limit
- Events stitched together using S1s & S2s from sequestered calibration data
- Covers WIMP and higher-energy NR regions
- Unsalting after analysis finalized



# Xenon flow patterns

Circulation system allows control of xenon flow states in TPC

- High mixing
  - turbulent 0
  - desired for calibration injections Ο
- Low mixing
  - laminar flow 0
  - radon-quiet central volume Ο
- Flow measured by Rn-Po alpha pairs
- Allows prediction of 214Pb motion
  - Dominant background can be tagged! 0

Also see Phys. Rev. D 110 012011 (2024) -**XENON Collaboration** 



α

# Active tag of 214Pb

"Radon-tag" uses field & flow model to predict locations of charged and neutral 214Pb



t=25 min t=0 min t=5 min Volume t=15 min t=5 min 214pb t=15 min t=25 min

$$2^{18}PO \rightarrow 2^{14}Pb \rightarrow 2^{14}Bi \rightarrow 2^{14}PO$$

Tag volume tuned by comparing flow-prediction & BiPo observation

214Pb activity in WS:

- 3.9 ± 0.6 µBq/kg in total exposure
- **1.8 ± 0.3 μBq/kg** in untagged sample

# Flow-tag in 2024 WIMP search



- 60 ± 4 % of <sup>214</sup>Pb in 15% exposure
- consistent with tritium calibration

# Flow-tag in 2024 WIMP search



- 60 ± 4 % of <sup>214</sup>Pb in 15% exposure
- consistent with tritium calibration

~20 counts – Qy floated in fit

double-electron-capture of <sup>124</sup>Xe

# Ionization density impacts signal production – 127Xe EC



# <sup>124</sup>Xe LL recombination modeling

More ionization density  $\rightarrow$  more recombination

- Thomas-Imel: Phys. Rev. A. 1987; 36(2): 614

10 keV LL has twice ionization density of L

- same Auger electron range
- twice number of ions

Calculation:

Fit in WS:

$$Q_y^{LL} = (0.75 \pm 0.04) Q_y^{\beta}$$
  
 $Q_y^{LL} = (0.70 \pm 0.04) Q_y^{\beta}$ 

Also see cross-track recombination model from Xu et. al. 2503.07562



# WS2024+2022 combined limit

Frequentist, 2-sided profile likelihood ratio test statistic

Upper limit is power constrained @  $-1\sigma$  sensitivity band per DM conventions: EPJC 81 907 (2021)

Under-fluctuation from

- observed arrangement of accidental events
- combination with WS2022

Combined min cross section:

 $\sigma$ SI= 2.2 x 10<sup>-48</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> @ 43 GeV/c2



## WS2024+WS2022 Combined Spin Dependent Limits



Grey bands show theoretical uncertainties on SD form factors Solid black show power constrained limits

# Conclusions

- LZ set leading limits on WIMPs
- Novel analyses and observations:
  - Demonstrated 60% reduction of primary ER background with flow-based tagging first use of this technique for a dark matter result – paper in prep
  - First observation of suppressed charge yield from LL-shell captures of 124Xe
- LZ expected to collect data until 2028

Other DM results by LZ: <u>EFT</u>, <u>covariant EFT</u>, <u>WIMP-pion</u>, <u>Axions and ER searches</u>, <u>ultra-heavy DM</u>, <u>millicharged</u>, <u>cosmic-ray boosted DM</u>

On the horizon: 0v2β, 8B

#### LZ (LUX-ZEPLIN) Collaboration, 38 Institutions

- **Black Hills State University**
- **Brookhaven National Laboratory** .
- **Brown University** .
- **Center for Underground Physics** .
- Edinburgh University .
- Fermi National Accelerator Lab.
- Imperial College London •
- King's College London .
- Lawrence Berkeley National Lab. •
- Lawrence Livermore National Lab.
- LIP Coimbra .
- Northwestern University .
- Pennsylvania State University
- **Royal Holloway University of London** •
- SLAC National Accelerator Lab.
- South Dakota School of Mines & Tech
- South Dakota Science & Technology Authority
- STFC Rutherford Appleton Lab. •
- Texas A&M University .
- University of Albany, SUNY
- **University of Alabama** .
- **University of Bristol**
- **University College London** •
- University of California Berkeley •
- **University of California Davis**
- **University of California Los Angeles**
- University of California Santa Barbara
- University of Liverpool •
- University of Maryland .
- University of Massachusetts, Amherst
- **University of Michigan**
- University of Oxford .
- University of Rochester •
- **University of Sheffield** •
- University of Sydney
- **University of Texas at Austin**
- University of Wisconsin, Madison
- University of Zürich .





https://lz.lbl.gov/





Science and Technology **Facilities** Council





Swiss National

KK





Thanks to our sponsors and participating institutions!



Science Foundation



# Liquid xenon flow control



# Tagged <sup>214</sup>Pb spectrum

Difference between ...

- tagged single scatters
- data-driven accidentals spectrum from time-shifted tag

... gives

# Accidentals-subtracted <sup>214</sup>Pb spectrum

- 1018 keV Q-value of <sup>214</sup>Pb β-decay
- shoulders of γ/IC-emitting states



# 124Xe double electron capture explains leakage



"World's rarest decay" -  $T_{1/2} = (1.09 \pm 0.14_{stat} \pm 0.05_{sys}) \times 10^{22}$  yr (LZ measurement)

~5 kg in fiducial volume (0.1% nat. abund.) LM (6.0 keV) & LL-shell (10.0 keV) relevant for WIMP search

# Skin-tagged <sup>127</sup>Xe L-shell EC



Lower charge yield than  $\beta$ -decays  $\rightarrow$  recombination enhancement

- Cosmogenically activated <sup>127</sup>Xe in WS2022 (plot)
- In WS2024, used neutron-activated <sup>125</sup>Xe

# XELDA predicted additional recombination



XELDA clearly suggests additional electron-ion recombination in <sup>127</sup>Xe single EC



## LZ measurements of single electron capture LZ Collaboration: 2503.05679 (accepted to PRD)





## **Two strategies**

## Multiple scatter (left)

- γ resolved separately
- events in bulk, high stats
- charge-only

## Single scatter (right)

- γ is tagged in Skin
- events near the wall, low stats
- S1–S2 measurement

# EC measurement summary



# Failing goodness of fits

Failing goodness-of-fit when DEC isn't modeled



## Accidental Coincidence Background

Pile-up of uncorrelated S1 and S2 pulses

LZ's data driven model:

- Rate from unphysical drift time (UDT) events
- Shape from analysis cuts applied to manufactured accidentals

Expected counts: 2.8 ± 0.6



# DEC impacts on WIMP searches

#### - small impact on LZ projected limits

- small overlap between WIMPs and LL
- larger impacts to EFT
- major impact to goodness-of-fit



Mock data (LL model) and background model (no LL model)

## **Background components**

TABLE I. The expected and best-fit counts for different sources in the 3.3 tonne-year exposure of WS2024, including a 40 GeV/ $c^2$  WIMP signal. Flat  $\beta$ -decay components have been separated based on whether they are affected by the flow state or the radon tag, and the neutron counts are derived *in situ.* "Det.  $\gamma$ s" refers to  $\gamma$ -ray contributions from detector materials, whose Compton spectra are also flat in the ROI.

Source	Pre-fit Expectation	Fit Result
$^{214}$ Pb $\beta$ s	$743\pm88$	$733 \pm 34$
$^{85}\mathrm{Kr}$ + $^{39}\mathrm{Ar}\ \beta\mathrm{s}$ + det. $\gamma\mathrm{s}$	$162\pm22$	$161 \pm 21$
Solar $\nu \ \mathrm{ER}$	$102\pm 6$	$102\pm 6$
$^{212}$ Pb + $^{218}$ Po $\beta$ s	$62.7\pm7.5$	$63.7\pm7.4$
Tritium+ <sup>14</sup> C $\beta$ s	$58.3\pm3.3$	$59.7\pm3.3$
$^{136}$ Xe $2 u\beta\beta$	$55.6\pm8.3$	$55.8\pm8.2$
$^{124}$ Xe DEC	$19.4\pm3.9$	$21.4\pm3.6$
$^{127}$ Xe + $^{125}$ Xe EC	$3.2\pm0.6$	$2.7\pm0.6$
Accidental coincidences	$2.8\pm0.6$	$2.6\pm0.6$
Atm. $\nu$ NR	$0.12 \pm 0.02$	$0.12\pm0.02$
$^{8}\mathrm{B}+hep~\nu~\mathrm{NR}$	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.06\pm0.01$
Detector neutrons	$^{\mathrm{a}}0.0^{+0.2}$	$0.0^{+0.2}$
$40 \ { m GeV}/c^2 \ { m WIMP}$	_	$0.0^{+0.6}$
Total	$1210 \pm 91$	$1203\pm42$

<sup>a</sup> The expected number of neutron events results from a fit to the sample of veto detector-tagged events. This expectation is not explicitly used in the final combined fit as this sample is included directly in the likelihood, as described in the text.

# LZ S2 spectra

Source	Pre-fit Expectation	Fit Result
$^{214}\mathrm{Pb}\ \beta\mathrm{s}$	$743\pm88$	$733\pm34$
$^{85}\mathrm{Kr}$ + $^{39}\mathrm{Ar}\ \beta\mathrm{s}$ + det. $\gamma\mathrm{s}$	$162\pm22$	$161\pm21$
Solar $\nu$ ER	$102\pm 6$	$102\pm 6$
$^{212}\text{Pb} + ^{218}\text{Po} \ \beta \text{s}$	$62.7\pm7.5$	$63.7\pm7.4$
Tritium+ <sup>14</sup> C $\beta$ s	$58.3\pm3.3$	$59.7\pm3.3$
$^{136}$ Xe $2 uetaeta$	$55.6\pm8.3$	$55.8\pm8.2$
$^{124}$ Xe DEC	$19.4\pm3.9$	$21.4\pm3.6$
$^{127}$ Xe + $^{125}$ Xe EC	$3.2\pm0.6$	$2.7\pm0.6$
Accidental coincidences	$2.8\pm0.6$	$2.6\pm0.6$
Atm. $\nu$ NR	$0.12\pm0.02$	$0.12\pm0.02$
$^{8}\mathrm{B}+hep~\nu~\mathrm{NR}$	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.06\pm0.01$
Detector neutrons	$^{\mathrm{a}}0.0^{+0.2}$	$0.0^{+0.2}$
$40 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \text{ WIMP}$	-	$0.0^{+0.6}$
Total	$1210 \pm 91$	$1203 \pm 42$



# Components of WIMP search likelihood

	1	2	3	4	5	6
	High Mixing	Radon Tag Inactive	Radon Tagged	Radon Untagged	Skin/OD Vetoed	WS2022
Exposure [tonne-yr]	0.6	0.6	0.3	1.8	n/a	0.9

- Likelihood combines **six samples** for final analysis
- Skin/OD-tagged sample (5) provides constraint of neutron background rate
  - Constrained to zero neutrons
  - neutron tagging efficiency: 92 ± 1%
- <sup>124</sup>Xe DEC charge yield floated for WS2024-only
- WS2022 sample (6) unmodified 1st WIMP result  $\rightarrow$  maximize sensitivity

# WS2024 only



