# Hadronic Interaction Models at the Highest Energies

**CIPANP 2025** 

**Dennis Soldin** 

University of Utah

#### THE UNIVERSITY of UTAH

## **The Highest Energies**

This talk: Cosmic-ray measurements at energies above 1 PeV



## **The Highest Energies**

This talk: Cosmic-ray measurements at energies above 1 PeV



## **Ultra-High Energy**

- Large Hadron Collider (LHC), 27 km circumference, superconducting magnets



# ► Need accelerator of size of Mercury's orbit to reach 10<sup>20</sup> eV with current technology!



### **Cosmic Rays**

- Energy spectrum very well-known up to above ~  $100 \text{ EeV} (10^{20} \text{ eV})$
- However, large uncertainties in CR mass composition measurements remain!



[H.P. Dembinski et al., PoS ICRC2017 (2017) 533]

#### <u>CR properties are inferred indirectly from measurements of Extensive Air Showers (EAS)!</u>

[K.-H. Kampert, M. Unger, Astropart. Phys. 35 (2012) 660–678]





## **Extensive Air Showers**



• Hadronic interactions are crucial for the EAS development



Plays an important role, transferring energy from the hadronic to the electromagnetic cascade!



Cosmic Ray

#### Extensive Air Shower EAS

Ground-Based Particle Detector not to scale!





#### **Cosmic-Ray Measurements**



Credit: R. Engel



### **Muon Measurements in EAS**

- Muons are messengers of the hadronic interactions in EAS
- Significant discrepancies in the number of muons in EAS observed between MC and data!
- Comparison to model predictions using z-values:

$$z = \frac{\ln(\rho_{\mu}) - \ln(\rho_{\mu,p})}{\ln(\rho_{\mu,Fe}) - \ln(\rho_{\mu,p})}$$

- Data agrees with proton composition: z = 0
- Data agrees with iron composition: z = 1
- z-values depend on hadronic models

[A. Aab et al. (Pierre Auger Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D91 (2015)] [A. Aab et al. (Pierre Auger Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020)]







### **Muon Measurements in EAS**

- Muons are messengers of the hadronic interactions in EAS
- Significant discrepancies in the number of muons in EAS observed between MC and data! data
- Comparison to model predictions using z-values:  $\ln(\rho_u) - \ln(\rho_{\mu,p})$

$$\ln(\rho_{\mu,\text{Fe}}) - \ln(\rho_{\mu,\text{p}})$$

- Data agrees with proton composition: z = 0
- Data agrees with iron composition: z = 1
- z-values depend on hadronic models

[A. Aab et al. (Pierre Auger Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D91 (2015)] [A. Aab et al. (Pierre Auger Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020)]







### **Muon Measurements in EAS**

- Muons are messengers of the hadronic interactions in EAS
- Significant discrepancies in the number of muons in EAS observed between MC and data! data
- Comparison to model predictions using z-values:

z =

- Data agrees with proton composition: z = 0
- Data agrees with iron composition: z = 1
- z-values depend on hadronic models

 $In(\rho_{u,Fe})$ 

MC

[A. Aab et al. (Pierre Auger Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D91 (2015)] [A. Aab et al. (Pierre Auger Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020)]







### **Global Muon Measurements**

• Muon lateral density in EAS after cross-calibration of the energy-scales



### **Combined Muon Measurements**

• Muon lateral density in EAS after cross-calibration of the energy-scales



#### • Muon number $(R_{\mu})$ and mean production depth as function of mean $X_{\text{max}}$











![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

nta

E

Consistently observed by several experiments

Unlikely, due to measured muon fluctuations (Auger) and TeV muon measurements by IceCube (later...)

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

nta

E

Consistently observed by several experiments

Unlikely, due to measured muon fluctuations (Auger) and TeV muon measurements by IceCube (later...)

Very unlikely, small variations (5 %)between shower codes, well studied

pro

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **Study of Shower Impact Parameters**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

see also [J. Albrecht, ..., D. Soldin et al., Astrophys. Space Sci. 367 (2022)]

rta

Consistently observed by several experiments

Unlikely, due to measured muon fluctuations (Auger) and TeV muon measurements by IceCube (later...)

Very unlikely, small variations (5 %)between shower codes, well studied

pro

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

## **Extensive Air Showers**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Hadronic interactions are crucial for the EAS development

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

Plays an important role, transferring energy from the hadronic to the electromagnetic cascade!

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

Cosmic Ray

#### Extensive Air Shower EAS

Ground-Based Particle Detector not to scale!

![](_page_20_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_10.jpeg)

- Difficult to change *R* within standard QCD
- Possible explanations for the Muon Puzzle:
  - Neutral rho meson enhancement, e.g. [1]
    - Decay of  $\rho_0$  via charged pions into muons
    - Muon production at <u>all energies</u>
  - ▶ Baryon enhancement, e.g. [2]
    - Many re-interactions, low-energy particles
    - Mainly <u>low-energy muons</u>
  - Stangeness enhancement, e.g. [3]
    - Evidence from ALICE at LHC
- <u>Different predicted muon spectra!</u>

[1]: See e.g. [F. Riehn, R. Engel, A. Fedynitch, T. K. Gaisser, T. Stanev, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020)]

[2]: See e.g. [T. Pierog, K. Werner, Phys. Rev. Lett., 101 (2008)]

[3]: See e.g. [ALICE Collaboration, Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 535, L. Anchordoqui et al., JHEAp 34 (2022)]

![](_page_21_Figure_17.jpeg)

#### IceCube

- IceCube measures
  - GeV muons at the surface
  - TeV muons in the deep ice
- Challenges  $\rho_0$  enhancement, also indication from fluctuations of muons in Auger
- Sibyll 2.1 (oldest model) seems to describe data best...

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Inconsistencies between GeV and TeV muons!

[S. Verpoest (IceCube Collaboration), PoS(ICRC2023)207 (2023)]

![](_page_22_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_10.jpeg)

## Sibyll \*

- Series of phenomenologically modified versions of Sibyll 2.3d
- Ad-hoc event modifications:

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

[F. Riehn, A. Fedynitch, R. Engel, Astropart. Phys. 160 (2024)]

#### Sibyll 2.3d Sibyll 2.1 $S^{\bigstar}(\bar{p})$ $S^{\bigstar}(\rho^0)$ $S^{\bigstar}(K^{\pm,0})$ $S^{\star}(mix)$ Auger

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Strangeness Enhancement

- [J. Adam et al. (ALICE), Nature Phys. 13, 535 (2017)]
- Can this effect also be seen in hadrons produced at forward rapidities?
- Simple toy model: [L. Anchordoqui et al., JHEAp 34 (2022)]
  - Strangeness enhancement realized by  $\pi \stackrel{\circ}{\leftrightarrow} K$  swapping
  - Swapping fraction  $f_s$
- Possible explanation for the Muon Puzzle in EAS!
- Strong tension with latest FASER results!
- See also plenary talk tomorrow...

Indications for strangeness enhancement in the mid rapidity region reported by ALICE

![](_page_24_Figure_10.jpeg)

## Strangeness Enhancement

- [J. Adam et al. (ALICE), Nature Phys. 13, 535 (2017)]
- Can this effect also be seen in hadrons produced at forward rapidities?
- Simple toy model: [L. Anchordoqui et al., JHEAp 34 (2022)]
  - Strangeness enhancement realized by  $\pi \leftrightarrow K$  swapping
  - Swapping fraction  $f_{c}$
- Possible explanation for the Muon Puzzle in EAS!
- Strong tension with latest FASER results!
- See also plenary talk tomorrow...

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Indications for strangeness enhancement in the mid rapidity region reported by ALICE

[R. M. Abraham et al. (FASER Collaboration), Phys. Lett. 134 (2025)]

![](_page_25_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### **EPOS-LHC-R**

- Update of EPOS-LHC model
  - Enhancement of  $\rho_0$  through modified collective hadronization
    - Core-corona effect
  - Best description of LHC / NÁ61 data
  - Also, change of  $X_{\text{max}}$ through 10% change in inelastic cross-section
  - Tests with EAS data required!!

![](_page_26_Figure_7.jpeg)

## **Outlook into the Next Decade**

- Large variety of new data:
  - EAS detector upgrades will become fully operational, e.g. AugerPrime, IceCube upgrade
  - Precise muon measurements of multiple observables by multiple EAS experiments, e.g.  $N_{\mu}$ ,  $X_{max}$ ,  $X_{\mu,max}$ , zenith angle evolution, spectral information
  - New accelerator data, e.g. Run 3 at LHC (Oxygen data)
- Strong constraints on hadronic interaction models (muon enhancement models)
  - Precise characterization (solution?) of the Muon Puzzle within the next decade expected!
- See also talk by E. Mayotte today,

![](_page_27_Figure_14.jpeg)

[A. Coleman, ..., D. Soldin et al., Astropart. Phys. 149 (2023)]

![](_page_27_Picture_16.jpeg)

## **Outlook beyond the Next Decade**

- Precise measurements in the forward region at the High-Luminosity LHC will further constrain hadronic interaction models
- Hadronic models have to describe both EAS and LHC measurements
  - Tests of hadronic models at energies much higher than the LHC (far-forward region)!
- Once the hadronic interaction models can successfully describe all details they will become reliable tools for the development of the proposed Future Circular Collider (FCC)
  - Validation of EAS models at the (HL-)LHC / FPF / FCC
- If LHC data is reproduced but Muon Puzzle remains:
  - Tests of beyond SM physics / exotic scenarios, e.g.
    - ► Lorentz-invariance violation, super-heavy Dark Matter, macroscopic Dark Matter, ...
- Exciting times ahead!

## **Outlook beyond the Next Decade**

- Precise measurements in the forward region at the High-Luminosity LHC will further constrain hadronic interaction models
- Hadronic models have to describe both EAS and LHC measurements
  - Tests of hadronic models at energies much higher than the LHC (far-forward region)!
- Once the hadronic interaction models can successfully describe all details they will become reliable tools for the development of the proposed Future Circular Collider (FCC)
  - Validation of EAS models at the (HL-)LHC / FPF / FCC
- If LHC data is reproduced but Muon Puzzle remains:
  - Tests of beyond SM physics / exotic scenarios, e.g.
    - ► Lorentz-invariance violation, super-heavy Dark Matter, macroscopic Dark Matter, ...
- Exciting times ahead!

Thank you!

![](_page_29_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Global Muon Measurements**

• Muon lateral density in EAS as reported by 9 experiments (known energy offsets)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Slope of the excess is significant with more than  $8\sigma!$
- Indicates severe shortcomings in the understanding of hadronic interactions

#### Subtracting expected values $z_{mass}$ obtained from GSF flux model (consistent with $X_{max}$ )

QGSJet-II.04

![](_page_32_Figure_7.jpeg)

[D. Soldin et al. (WHISP), PoS ICRC2021 (2021) 349]

![](_page_32_Figure_11.jpeg)

## The Muon Puzzle in EAS

- Accelerator measurements:
  - ALICE, CMS/CASTOR, LHCf, LHCb/SMOG, NA61/SHINE
    - Inelastic cross-sections
    - Hadron multiplicity
    - Elasticity
    - Hadron composition (ratio e.m. to hadr. energy flow)
  - Different
    - energies
    - rapidity ranges
    - particle types
- EAS data needed!

![](_page_33_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_15.jpeg)

### **Proton-Air Cross-Section**

- Proton-air cross-section measured by Auger and TA
- Based on measurements of the maximum of the (EM) production depth,  $X_{max}$
- Complementary to collider measurements:
  - EAS particles: Nuclei, mesons, ...
  - CM energies: GeV to hundreds of TeV
  - Forward direction
  - Non-perturbative regime

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_10.jpeg)

[R. Ulrich (Pierre Auger Collaboration), PoS(ICRC2015)401 (2016)]

![](_page_34_Picture_12.jpeg)

### **New Generation of UHECR Observatories**

- New large-scale EAS observatories with particle detectors (GCOS, IceCube-Gen2, GRAND?) will provide large aperture and thus unprecedented event statistics
- Possibly new EAS observables and analysis techniques to test hadronic interaction models New era of high-precision measurements with EAS!

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Multi-hybrid

	2.2	
	2	L
	1.8	actor
	1.6	nerit f
· ·	1.4	Fe T
	1.2	ġ
	1	
$\cap$	I	