# Exploring new physics and nuclear structure with ytterbium isotope shifts

Door, Yeh, MH, et al., PRL 134 (2025)

Matthias Heinz, ORNL

CIPANP 2025 Madison, WI, June 10, 2025

Work supported by:



This research used resources of the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility located at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which is supported by the Office of Science of the Department of Energy under contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725.





#### DERSHIP IPUTING LITY

### **Nuclear structure motivations**





Mumpower et al., EPJ WoC 93 (2015)

#### Figure: FRIB

#### **Theory predictions with quantified uncertainties essential!**

		- / h				
120	140	160	180	200	220	24
		А				

See talks by, e.g., Nicole Vassh, Francesca Bonaiti



#### Figure: LEGEND Collaboration





### Nonlinear King plot in ytterbium isotope shifts

Delaunay et al., PRD **96** (2017) Counts et al., PRL **125** (2020) Allehabi et al., PRA **103** (2021) Hur et al., PRL **128** (2022) Figueroa et al., PRL **128** (2022) Ono et al., PRX **12** (2022) and more

- **Isotope shift** in atomic transition frequencies
- eading order:  $\bullet$

$$\nu_{\tau}^{A,A'} = \nu_{\tau}^{A} - \nu_{\tau}^{A'} \approx K_{\tau} w^{A,A'} + F_{\tau} \delta \langle F_{\tau} \rangle \langle F_{\tau} \rangle$$

mass shift

field shift

Leads to linear King plot 



Counts et al., PRL **125** (2020)

- **Isotope shift** in atomic transition frequencies
- eading order:  $\bullet$

$$\nu_{\tau}^{A,A'} = \nu_{\tau}^{A} - \nu_{\tau}^{A'} \approx \frac{K_{\tau} w^{A,A'}}{\text{mass shift}} + \frac{F_{\tau} \delta \langle x_{\tau} w^{A,A'}}{F_{\tau} \delta \langle x_{\tau} w^{A,A'} \rangle}$$

Leads to linear King plot 



Counts et al., PRL **125** (2020)

- **Isotope shift** in atomic transition frequencies
- \_eading order:

$$\nu_{\tau}^{A,A'} = \nu_{\tau}^{A} - \nu_{\tau}^{A'} \approx \frac{K_{\tau} w^{A,A'}}{\text{mass shift}} + \frac{F_{\tau} \delta \langle x_{\tau} w^{A,A'}}{F_{\tau} \delta \langle x_{\tau} w^{A,A'} \rangle}$$

- Leads to linear King plot  $\bullet$
- Nonlinear behavior due to other effects:  $\bullet$

$$\nu_{\tau,\text{nonlin.}}^{A,A'} = G_{\tau}^{(2)} (\delta \langle r^2 \rangle^2)^{A,A'} + G_{\tau}^{(4)} \delta \langle r^2 \rangle^2 + G_{\tau}^{(4)} \delta \langle r^2 \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha_{\text{EM}}} D_{\tau} h^{A,A'} + \frac{\alpha_{\text{NP}}}{\alpha_{\text{EM}}} D_{\tau} h^{A,A'} + \dots$$

possible new boson



Counts et al., PRL **125** (2020)

#### New data:

- 168,170,172,174,176Yb (4 isotope pairs)
- Frequencies with  $10^{-15}$  relative precision (Yeh, Mehlstäubler @PTB Braunschweig)
- Mass-ratios with  $10^{-12}$  relative precision (Door, Blaum @MPIK Heidelberg)

#### Nonlinearity observed with high significance! Is this new physics?

Door, Yeh, **MH**, et al., PRL 134 (2025)



Door, Yeh, **MH**, et al., PRL 134 (2025)





### Ab initio nuclear structure theory

### Ab initio nuclear structure N neutrons Z protons A nucleons $H|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle$



## Ab initio nuclear structure



Z protons



A nucleons







### **Chiral EFT for nuclear forces** effective field theory

2

0

?



Hebeler, Phys. Rep. 890 (2021)

- Nuclear forces are uncertain
- Chiral EFT: Low-energy expansion of QCD
- Free couplings to fit to data
- Systematically improvable
- Uncertainty quantifiable





### Many-body expansion methods



More complete at greater computational cost







#### The IMSRG in-medium similarity renormalization group



 $1s_{1/2}$ .....

excitations

# state reference

#### Tsukiyama et al., PRL **106** (2011) Hergert et al., Phys. Rep. 621 (2016)



 $|\Phi\rangle$ 

 $\langle \Phi^a_i |$ 

 $\langle \Phi^{abc}_{ijk} \mid \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} \mid$ 

#### initial H







 $1p_{1/2}$  $1p_{3/2}$ 



 $1s_{1/2}$ .....

 $|\Phi\rangle$  $\langle \Phi^a_i |$  $\langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} |$  $\langle \Phi^{abc}_{ijk} |$ 

state rence refer

excitations

#### Tsukiyama et al., PRL **106** (2011) Hergert et al., Phys. Rep. 621 (2016)



#### initial H











excitations

state ence. refe



 $|\Phi\rangle$ 

 $\langle \Phi^a_i |$ 

 $\langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij}|$ 

 $\langle \Phi^{abc}_{ijk}|$ 



initial H

transformed H

Hergert et al., Phys. Rep. **621** (2016)

#### **IMSRG**: Unitary transformation $U = e^{\Omega}$ to decouple reference state from excitations





excitations



state ence refer



 $|\Phi\rangle$ 

 $\langle \Phi^a_i |$ 

 $\langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij}|$ 

 $\langle \Phi^{abc}_{ijk}|$ 



Hergert et al., Phys. Rep. 621 (2016)

**IMSRG**: Unitary transformation  $U = e^{\Omega}$ to decouple reference state from excitations

Expansion and truncation in many-body operators

 $U = e^{\Omega} = e^{\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_3 + \dots}$ MH et al., PRC 103 (2021)

**IMSRG(3)** for precision and uncertainty quantification





Understanding the nonlinearity with ab initio nuclear structure

## Analyzing the nonlinearity



Door, Yeh, **MH**, et al., PRL 134 (2025)

Door, Yeh, **MH**, et al., PRL 134 (2025)

- Describe 4 data points as vector:  $\tilde{x}$
- Decompose in basis of 4 vectors:  $\mathbf{1}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_{\tau},$  $Λ_+, Λ$ linear part nonlinear part  $\tilde{x} = K1 + F\tilde{\nu}_{\tau} + \lambda_{+}\Lambda_{+} + \lambda_{-}\Lambda_{-}$
- Nonlinear contribution described by coefficients  $\lambda_{\perp}$ ,  $\lambda_{\perp}$
- Assuming 1 dominant nonlinearity, slope  $\lambda_{-}/\lambda_{+}$  is same for all transitions

 $\rightarrow$  same underlying nuclear-structure effect responsible for nonlinearity







Door, Yeh, **MH**, et al., PRL 134 (2025)

Door, Yeh, **MH**, et al., PRL 134 (2025)

### Impact of nuclear structure effects

- Nonlinearity analysis suggests single dominant higher-order term
- $\langle r^2 \rangle^2$  and new boson incompatible with observed nonlinearity
- Theory predictions for  $\langle r^4 \rangle$  required!



### Impact of nuclear structure effects



Door, Yeh, **MH**, et al., PRL 134 (2025)

- Nonlinearity analysis suggests single dominant higher-order term
- $\langle r^2 \rangle^2$  and new boson incompatible with observed nonlinearity
- Theory predictions for  $\langle r^4 \rangle$  required! **Our input:** VS-IMSRG calculations of Yb Two Hamiltonians, two valence spaces
- IMSRG(3) to probe many-body uncertainty









Door, Yeh, MH, et al., PRL 134 (2025)

### Impact of nuclear structure effects

- Nonlinearity analysis suggests single dominant higher-order term
- $\langle r^2 \rangle^2$  and new boson incompatible with observed nonlinearity
- Theory predictions for  $\langle r^4 \rangle$  required!
  - **Our input**: VS-IMSRG calculations of Yb
- Two Hamiltonians, two valence spaces

IMSRG(3) to probe many-body uncertainty

Nuclear theory:  $\langle r^4 \rangle$ , not new boson, is leading source of nonlinearity!







# New insights into nuclear structure

- Assume nonlinearity due to  $\langle r^4 \rangle$
- Extract information on  $\langle r^4 \rangle$  from experimental data
- Subtlety: Only sensitive to nonlinearity  $\rightarrow$  Extraction only sensitive to relative changes in  $\delta \langle r^4 \rangle^{A,A'}$
- New observable related to deformation

Trends more consistent with ab initio than DFT

Door, Yeh, MH, et al., PRL 134 (2025)



Door, Yeh, MH, et al., PRL 134 (2025)

14

Reed, MH, et al., arXiv:2505.00828

### Improving global mean-field models

• Ab initio calculations have global reach

Stroberg et al., PRL **126** (2021) Hu et al., PLB **855** (2024) Sun et al., PRX **15** (2025)

- Including description of heavy nuclei and nuclear matter
  Miyagi et al., PRC 105 (2022), Hu et al., Nat. Phys. 18 (2022) Hebeler et al., PRC 107 (2023) Arthuis et al., arXiv:2401.06675
- Idea: Fit mean-field models to microscopic pseudodata
- Discrepancies between ab initio input and mean-field predictions can guide improvement of functionals





Stroberg et al., PRL 126 (2021)



Reed, MH, et al., arXiv:2505.00828

### Improving global mean-field models

• Ab initio calculations have global reach

Stroberg et al., PRL **126** (2021) Hu et al., PLB **855** (2024) Sun et al., PRX **15** (2025)

- Including description of heavy nuclei and nuclear matter
  Miyagi et al., PRC 105 (2022), Hu et al., Nat. Phys. 18 (2022) Hebeler et al., PRC 107 (2023) Arthuis et al., arXiv:2401.06675
- Idea: Fit mean-field models to microscopic pseudodata
- Discrepancies between ab initio input and mean-field predictions can guide improvement of functionals



S



Reed, **MH**, et al., arXiv:2505.00828

### Improving global mean-field models

Ab initio calculations have global reach

Stroberg et al., PRL **126** (2021) Hu et al., PLB **855** (2024) Sun et al., PRX 15 (2025)

- Including description of heavy nuclei and nuclear matter Miyagi et al., PRC 105 (2022), Hu et al., Nat. Phys. 18 (2022) Hebeler et al., PRC **107** (2023) Arthuis et al., arXiv:2401.06675
- Idea: Fit mean-field models to microscopic pseudodata
- **Discrepancies** between ab initio input and mean-field predictions can guide improvement of functionals





## **Conclusion and outlook**

- Significant progress on reach, precision, and applications of ab initio nuclear structure calculations
- Nuclear structure input with quantified uncertainties essential to understand Yb King plot
- Leading signal due to nuclear structure, not new physics
- Remarkable reach to provide input for new physics searches in heavy nuclei



## Acknowledgments

#### **Coauthors**:

- **TU Darmstadt**: **Takayuki Miyagi**, Achim Schwenk
- MPIK: Menno Door, Chunhai Lyu, Klaus Blaum, Zoltán Harman
- **PTB Braunschweig**: Indy Yeh, Tanja Mehlstäubler
- Leibniz University Hannover: Fiona Kirk, Elina Fuchs
- **UNSW**: Julian Berengut
- University of Tsukuba: Noritaka Shimizu
- LANL: Brendan Reed, Ingo Tews
- **CNRS Orsay**: Pierre Arthuis











### Thank you for your attention!





