3D Imaging of the Pion on a Fine Lattice

Jinchen He



Based on arXiv:2504.04625

CIPANP 2025

2025/06





Contents

Introduction

- ◆ 3D Imaging & Transverse Momentum Dependent Distributions (TMDs)
- Phenomenological Extraction of TMDs
- Lattice QCD Calculations of TMDs

Methodology

2

3

- Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET)
- Coulomb Gauge (CG) Method

Numerical Results

- Collins-Soper kernel (CS kernel)
- ✦ Intrinsic soft function
- **+** TMD Wave Function & TMDPDF

Summarv









Visible Universe

- Only 5% of the universe is visible. 0
- 0





Many experiments have been designed to probe the internal structure of hadrons. 0



HERA







Spergel, David N. "The dark side of cosmology: Dark matter and dark energy." Science 347.6226 (2015): 1100-1102.

The visible universe is made up of protons and neutrons, the inner structure of hadrons are sophisticated if we step closer.



© 2012 Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc.

electrons

P.S. The list of experiments here is not complete.

EIC

Cr. BNL

3D Imaging of Hadron

x is the momentum fraction in the longitudinal (hadron momentum) direction.



Transverse-Momentum-Dependent distributions (TMDs) x = 0.01 Q = 10 GeV u-quark x = 0.1 Q = 10 GeV u-quark 60 \blacksquare ART25 \blacksquare MAP24 \blacksquare ART25 \blacksquare MAP24 50 ART23 📻 MAPNN 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5



TMDs in Experiments

- **TMDPDFs:** the distribution densities of finding a parton momentum k_{\perp} in a hadron;
- TMD processes are important processes in high energy co EIC;







$$q_T \ll Q$$

TMDPDFs: the distribution densities of finding a parton carrying a longitudinal momentum fraction x and transverse

TMD processes are important processes in high energy collisions, like Drell-Yan process on LHC and Semi-Inclusive DIS on



R. Boussarie, et al., 2304.03302 (2023)



Phenomenological Extraction of TMDs

- Significant progress has been made in the phenomenological parameterizations of TMDs 0
 - **Collins-Soper kernel (CS kernel): rapidity evolution kernel of TMDs** 0

A. Bacchetta, et al. (MAP) JHEP 08 (2024); V. Moos, et al., 2503.11201 ...

- **Nucleon TMDs** 0
 - M. Bury, et al., JHEP 10 (2022); A. Bacchetta, et al., Unpolarized 0 JHEP 10 (2022); V. Moos, et al., JHEP 05 (2024) ...
 - Sivers M. Bury, et al., PRL 126 (2021); I. P. Fernando, et al., Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023) ... 0
 - **Boer-Mulders** Z. Lu, et al., Phys.Rev.D 81 (2010); X. Liu, et al., Eur.Phys.J.C 81 (202 0

Pion TMDs: much less is known about the TMDs of the pion 0

A. Vladimirov, JHEP 10 (2019); M. Cerutti, et al. (MAP), Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023); Unpolarized Ο P. C. Barry, et al. (JAM) Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023)

As the lightest pseudo Nambu-Goldstone boson, the 3D structure of pion will help us understand the strong interaction, such as the origin of chiral-symmetry breaking.

Tomographic scan of the nucleon



M. Bury, et al., JHEP 05 (2021)





Lattice QCD

• Path integral formalism

$$Z = \int \mathscr{D}A \ \mathscr{D}\psi \ \mathscr{D}\bar{\psi} \ e^{iS_{\rm QCD}[A,\psi,\bar{\psi}]}$$

Wilson link $U_{\mu}(x) = e^{igaA^{\mu}(x)}$

$$t \rightarrow -it_{\rm E}$$

Wick rotation

• Monte Carlo sampling

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{\rm E}} \int \mathcal{D} U \, \mathcal{D} \psi \, \mathcal{D} \bar{\psi} \, \hat{O} \, e^{-S_{\rm E}^{\rm QCD}[A,\psi,\bar{\psi}]} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} O[U^{(i)}]$$





$$Z_{\rm E} = \int \mathscr{D}U e^{-S_{\rm E}^g[U]} \int \mathscr{D}\psi \mathscr{D}\bar{\psi} e^{-\bar{\psi}M[U]\psi} = \int \mathscr{D}U e^{-S_{\rm E}^g[U]} \det M[U]$$

Sampling probability for configuration U



Cr. Olaf Kaczmarek



Lattice QCD Calculation of TMDs

As a first-principle non-perturbative method, Lattice QCD provides independent predictions of TMDs. 0

- **Mellin Moments** B. Yoon, et al., 1601.05717; B. Yoon, et al., Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017)... 0
- Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET) 0
 - M. H. Chu, et al. (LPC), JHEP 08 (2023); A. Avkhadiev et al., PRL 132 CS kernel 0 (2024); D. Bollweg, et al., Phys. Lett. B 852 (2024) ...
 - Q. A. Zhang, et al. (LPC), PRL 125 (2020); M. H. Chu, et al. (LPC), **Intrinsic soft function** 0 JHEP 08 (2023)
 - Unpolarized JH, et al. (LPC), Phys.Rev.D 109 (2024) 0
 - **Boer-Mulders** L. Walter, et al. (LPC), 2412.19988; L. Ma, et al. (LPC), 2502.11807 0



A. Avkhadiev et al., PRL 132 (2024)









Large-Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET)

TMDPDF is defined from a light-cone correlator in a hadron, which is Lorentz invariant. 0

$$f(x, b_{\perp}, ...) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{db^{-}}{2\pi} e^{-ib^{-}(xP^{-})} \left\langle P \middle| \bar{\psi}(b^{\mu}) W_{\Box}(b^{\mu}, 0) \frac{\gamma^{+}}{2} \psi(0) \middle| P \right\rangle \leftrightarrow \left\langle |\vec{P}| = \infty \middle| O(t = 0) \middle| |\vec{P}| = \infty \right\rangle$$
a quasi distribution with large-momentum states and time-independent operators.
$$\vec{f}_{\Gamma}^{0}(x, b_{\perp}, P^{c}, \mu) = P^{c} \int \frac{dz}{2\pi} e^{i(x|P^{c})} \frac{1}{2P^{r}} \langle P | \bar{\psi}_{0}(z, b_{\perp}) W_{\Box}(z, b_{\perp}; 0) \Gamma \psi_{0}(0) | P \rangle, \Lambda_{QCD} \bigotimes \vec{P} \mid \ll \frac{\pi}{a}$$
Different orders of limit, I
$$\vec{r}_{L} a d_{u} Resided Phys. B 1007 (2024)$$
Lorentz boost & Matching
$$\vec{r}_{u} u = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} u^{(x|P^{c};\mu)} - \frac{1}{\zeta} (x, b_{\perp}; \mu, \zeta) H_{f}(x, P^{c};\mu) \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(2xP^{c})^{2}}{\zeta} \gamma^{\overline{MS}}(b_{\perp};\mu) \right] + \text{Power corrections}$$

Define 0

$$Parton model$$

$$\dots) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{db^{-}}{2\pi} e^{-ib^{-}(xP^{+})} \left\langle P \mid \overline{\psi}\left(b^{\mu}\right) W_{\mathbb{T}}\left(b^{\mu},0\right) \frac{\gamma^{+}}{2} \psi\left(0\right) \mid P \right\rangle \longleftrightarrow \left\langle \mid \overline{P} \mid = \infty \mid O(t=0) \mid \mid \overline{P} \mid = \infty \right\rangle$$
distribution with large-momentum states and time-independent operators.

$$\tilde{f}_{1}^{0}(x, b_{\perp}, P^{z}, \mu) = P^{z} \int \frac{dz}{2\pi} e^{iz(xP^{z})} \frac{1}{2P^{i}} \left\langle P \mid \overline{\psi}_{0}\left(z, b_{\perp}\right) W_{\mathbb{T}}\left(z, b_{\perp}; 0\right) \Gamma \psi_{0}\left(0\right) \mid P \right\rangle, \Lambda_{QCD} \bigotimes \left[\overline{P} \mid \ll \frac{\pi}{a} \right]$$

$$Lorentz boost \& Matching$$

$$Duasi distribution:$$
be directly calculated on the lattice
$$H_{f}(x, P^{z}; \mu) = \int_{C_{DMD}(xP^{z}; \mu)|^{2}}^{2} is the TMD hard kernel for means the precision-controlled x-distribution of TMDs in $x \in [x_{\min}, x_{\max}].$

$$Collins-Soper scale: \zeta \sim 2(xP^{1})^{2}$$

$$+Power corrections$$$$



o LaME

$$f(x, b_{\perp}, ...) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{db^{-}}{2\pi} e^{-ib^{-}(xP^{-})} \left\langle P \left| \bar{\psi} \left(b^{\mu} \right) W_{\Box} \left(b^{\mu}, 0 \right) \frac{\gamma^{+}}{2} \psi \left(0 \right) \right| P \right\rangle \leftrightarrow \left\langle |\vec{P}| = \infty \left| O(t = 0) \right| |\vec{P}| = \infty \right\rangle$$
In quasi distribution with large-momentum states and time-independent operators.

$$\tilde{f}_{\Gamma}^{0}(x, b_{\perp}, P^{z}, \mu) = P^{z} \int \frac{dz}{2\pi} e^{iz(xP^{*})} \frac{1}{2P^{\mu}} \langle P | \bar{\psi}_{0} \left(z, b_{\perp} \right) W_{\Box} \left(z, b_{\perp}; 0 \right) \Gamma \psi_{0} \left(0 \right) |P \rangle, \Lambda_{QCD} \bigotimes \vec{P} | \ll \frac{\pi}{a}$$
Different orders of limit, I
 $X, B, Phys.Rest. dt. 110 (2013)$
 $X, B, i \, et al., Res:Mod.Phys. 9 (2021)$
 $X, B, Nocl. Phys. B 1007 (2024)$
Lorentz boost & Matching
 $\frac{Quasi distribution:}{Directly calculated on the lattice}$
Tenables us to obtain the precision-controlled x-distribution of TMDs in $x \in [x_{min}, x_{max}]$.
 $\sqrt{S_{\Gamma}(b_{\perp};\mu)} \cdot \tilde{f}_{\Gamma}(x, b_{\perp}, P^{z};\mu) = f(x, b_{\perp};\mu, \zeta) H_{f}(x, P^{z};\mu) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(2xP^{z})^{2}}{\zeta} \gamma^{MS}(b_{\perp};\mu) \right] + Power corrections$







Soft Function

$$\sqrt{S_I(b_{\perp};\mu)} \cdot \tilde{f}_{\Gamma}(x,b_{\perp},P^z;\mu) = f(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta) H_f(x,P^z;\mu) \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{(2xP^z)^2}{\zeta}\gamma^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(b_{\perp};\mu)\right] + \text{Power corrections}$$

collinear

full

- The soft gluon radiation will lead to the existence of soft functions; 0
- Due to the gluon radiation in the collinear mode, the soft function contains the 0 $\int_{q_T}^{Q} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{k} = \lim_{\tau \to 0} \left[\int_{0}^{Q} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{k} R_c(k,\tau) + \int_{q_T}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{k} R_s(k,\tau) \right] = \ln \frac{Q}{q_T}$ well-known rapidity divergence;
- The soft function can be separated into two parts: 0
 - Rapidity evolution kernel: CS kernel $\gamma^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(b_{\perp};\mu)$
 - **Rapidity independent part: intrinsic soft function** $S_I(b_{\perp};\mu)$ 0
- **CS** kernel can be extracted from the rapidity evolution of TMDs 0

$$\gamma^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(b_{\perp}, P_1, P_2; \mu) = \frac{1}{\ln\left(P_2/P_1\right)} \ln \frac{H_f(x, \bar{x}, P_1; \mu) \tilde{f}_{\gamma^t}(x, \bar{x}, P_1; \mu)}{H_f(x, \bar{x}, P_2; \mu) \tilde{f}_{\gamma^t}(x, \bar{x}, P_2; \mu)} \int_{\gamma^t} \tilde{f}_{\gamma^t}(x, \bar{x}, P_1; \mu) \int_{\gamma^t} \tilde{f}_{$$

After regularization, the rapidity evolution is controlled by Collins-Soper scale: $\zeta \sim 2(xP^+)^2$



M. Ebert, PhD Thesis (2017)

 $, b_{\perp}, P_2; \mu \big)$ $b_{\perp}, P_1; \mu$

hard kernel for matching.



12

Soft Function

- The intrinsic soft function cannot be directly calculated on lattice because of 0 two light-like Wilson lines in different directions
 - Fortunately, it can be extracted from the meson form factor 0

$$F\left(b_{\perp}, P_{1}, P_{2}, \Gamma, \Gamma'\right) \equiv -4N_{c} \frac{\left\langle P_{2} \right| \bar{q}\left(b_{\perp}\right) \Gamma q\left(b_{\perp}\right) \bar{q}(0) \Gamma' q(0) \left| P_{1} \right\rangle}{f_{\pi}^{2}(P_{1} \cdot P_{2})}$$

The form factor satisfies the factorization formula 0

$$F(b_{\perp}, P^{z}) = \int dx_{1} dx_{2} \ H_{F}(x_{1}, x_{2}, P^{z}; \mu) \ \phi^{\dagger}(x_{1}, b_{\perp}, y_{n}; \mu, \zeta_{1}, \bar{\zeta}_{1}) \ \phi(x_{2}, b_{\perp}, -y_{n}; \mu, \zeta_{2}, \bar{\zeta}_{2})$$

$$\phi(x, b_{\perp}, ...) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{db^{-}}{2\pi} e^{-ib^{-}(xP^{+})} \left\langle 0 \left| \bar{\psi}(b^{\mu}) W_{\Box}(b^{\mu}, 0) \gamma^{+} \gamma^{5} \psi(0) \right| P \right\rangle \text{ is TM}$$

 $H_F(x_1, x_2, P^z; \mu) = C_{\text{Sud}}(x_1, x_2, P^z; \mu) \cdot C_{\text{Sud}}(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, P^z; \mu)$, where C_{Sud} is the Sudakov kernel.

Therefore, the intrinsic soft function can be extracted via 0

$$S_{I}(b_{\perp};\mu) = \frac{F(b_{\perp},P^{z})}{\int dx_{1}dx_{2}H_{F}(x_{1},x_{2},P^{z};\mu)\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}(x_{1})\tilde{\Phi}(x_{2})} \text{ with } \tilde{\Phi}(x) \equiv \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}\left(x,b_{\perp},P^{z};\mu\right)}{H_{\phi}\left(x,\bar{x},P^{z};\mu\right)}$$

X. Ji, et al., Nucl.Phys.B 955 (2020)



X. Ji, et al., Nucl.Phys.B 955 (2020)

ID wave function.

 $\tilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(x, b_{\perp}, P^{z}; \mu)$ is quasi-TMD wave function.



Z. F. Deng, et al., JHEP 09 (2022)



Unpolarized TMD via LaMET

In recent years, a lot of improvements of renormalization and matching has been developed in LaMET; 0



- **Existing lattice calculations of the nucleon TMD still suffer from some systematics:** Ο
 - **Discretization effects;** 0
 - **Excited-state contamination;** 0
 - Hadron momentum is not large enough ... 0
- Due to the bad signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), it is hard to probe the large b_{\perp} region. 0

Unpolarized nucleon TMDPDF

Y. Su, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 991 (2023); R. Zhang, et al., Phys. Lett. B 844 (2023); X. Ji, et al., 2410.12910 [hep-ph]



- $\bullet P_{\text{max}}^z = 2.58 \text{ GeV}$
- Physical limit of m_{π}^{val}
- ♦ N3LL matching
- ♦ NLO soft function

JH, et al. (LPC), Phys.Rev.D 109 (2024)







Coulomb Gauge Method

• Define a quasi distribution in CG without Wilson line: X. Gao,

$$\tilde{f}_{CG}^{0}(x,b_{\perp},P^{z},\mu) = P^{z} \int \frac{dz}{2\pi} e^{iz(xP^{z})} \frac{1}{2P^{t}} \langle P | \bar{\psi}_{0}(z,b_{\perp}) \Gamma \psi_{0}(z,b_{\perp}) \langle P | \bar{\psi}_{0}(z,b_{\perp}) \rangle \langle P | \bar{\psi}_{0}(z,b_{\perp}) \rangle$$

- Why choose CG?
 - $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \cdot \overrightarrow{A} = 0$ becomes $A^+ = 0$ in the infinite boost, so the quasi distribution in CG belongs to the universality class in LaMET;
 - No linear divergence from the Wilson link, improving the SNR significantly;
 - o Simplified renormalization $\bar{\psi}_0(z, b_\perp) \Gamma \psi_0(0) = Z_{\psi}(a) \left[\bar{\psi}(z, b_\perp) \Gamma \psi(0) \right];$
 - Larger off-axis momenta (3D rotational symmetry).

The results in CG and GI are consistent with the same lattice setup; Compared with the GI method, CG method has much better SNR.



D. Bollweg, et al., Phys.Lett.B 852 (2024)



Numerical Results



Lattice Setup

- Ο



Collins-Soper Kernel







 $H_{\phi}(x, \bar{x}, P^{z}; \mu) = C_{\text{TMD}}(xP^{z}; \mu) \cdot C_{\text{TMD}}(\bar{x}P^{z}; \mu)$ is the TMD hard kernel for matching.

DWF24 is another lattice calculation using the CG method on chirally symmetric domain-wall fermion configurations;

The large uncertainty is mainly caused by the small Lorentz boost factor at such a heavy pion mass ($m_{\pi}^{\text{val}} = 670 \text{ MeV}$);



Pion Form Factor

The form factor is defined as 0

$$F\left(b_{\perp}, P^{z}, \Gamma\right) \equiv -4N_{c} \frac{\left\langle -P^{z} \right| \bar{q}\left(b_{\perp}\right) \Gamma q\left(b_{\perp}\right) \bar{q}(0) \Gamma q(0) \left| P^{z} \right\rangle}{f_{\pi}^{2} \left((P^{t})^{2} + (P^{z})^{2} \right)}$$

We choose $\Gamma \in \{\gamma^{\perp}, \gamma^{\perp}\gamma^{5}\}$ to get leading-twist contribution, then take the Fierz rearrangement.

It can be extracted from the ratio Ο

$$R_F(b_{\perp}, P^z, \Gamma) \equiv -4N_c \frac{\langle -P^z | \bar{q}(b_{\perp}) \Gamma q(b_{\perp}) \bar{q}(0) \Gamma q(0) |}{\langle 0 | \bar{q}(0) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 q(0) | P^z \rangle \langle -P^z | \bar{q}(0) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^5 q(0) | P^z \rangle}$$

The ratio in terms of correlators on lattice 0

$$R_F\left(t_{\text{sep}},\tau\right) = \frac{-4N_c}{1 + (P^t/P^z)^2} \frac{C_F\left(t_{\text{sep}},\tau\right)}{\left|C_{2\text{pt}}\left(t_{\text{sep}}/2\right)\right|^2}$$

The 2pt is calculated using the new interpolating operator.

Kinematically-enhanced interpolating operator

R. Zhang, et al., 2501.00729



 P^{z} $q(0) | 0 \rangle$

Intrinsic Soft Function



- Our lattice results are consistent with the perturbation theory in the small b_{\perp} regime; 0
- **Different momenta of quasi-TMDWF give consistent results;** 0
- 0

Thanks to the absence of linear divergence, our final results of the intrinsic soft function can go beyond $b_{\perp} \sim 1$ fm.



Pion quasi-TMD Wave Function



We did a discretized Fourier transform because of the good convergence in the λ -space; 0

0

The CG matrix elements decay to zero with the error bars remain almost constant, making the FT easy to be under control.



Pion TMD Wave Function



$$\sqrt{S_I(b_{\perp};\mu)} \cdot \tilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(x,b_{\perp},P^z;\mu) = \phi(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta,\bar{\zeta})H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta,\zeta,\bar{\zeta})H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta,\zeta,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta,\zeta,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta,\zeta,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu,\zeta)H_{\phi}(x,b_{\perp};\mu)$$

- 0 expansion in large P^{z} ;
- The combined systematics are estimated from two sources: 0
 - Momentum variation: spread of central values between three momenta / mean of central values of three momenta 0 • Vary the initial scale in the RG resummation of matching kernel by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$;
- The 30% combined systematics are used to quantify the moderate x region that LaMET can make reliable predictions; The convergence between three momenta near the endpoint regions can be improved with larger Lorentz boost factor.
- 0 0

The variation between different momenta remains mild in the moderate x region, demonstrating the validity of power



Pion quasi-TMD Beam Function





- 0
- 0

 $\tilde{h}^{ext} = w \cdot \tilde{h} + (1 - w) \cdot 0$, where the weight w(z) linearly decays from 1 to 0 within two red dashed lines below.

The CG matrix elements decay to zero with the error bars remain almost constant, making the FT easy to be under control.

To remove the non-physical oscillation, we apply the extrapolation to make error bars converge to zero smoothly.

Since quasi-TMD (in moderate x) is insensitive to the extrapolation strategies, the non-fit extrapolation is adopted here:



Pion TMDPDF in the x Space



$$\sqrt{S_I(b_\perp;\mu)} \cdot \tilde{f}_{\Gamma}(x,b_\perp,P^z;\mu) = f(x,b_\perp;\mu,\zeta) H_f(x,b_\perp;\mu,\zeta) H_f(x,b_\perp;\mu$$

- 0 expansion in large P^{z} ;
- The combined systematics are estimated from two sources: 0
 - 0
 - Vary the initial scale in the RG resummation of matching kernel by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$; 0
- 0

The variation between different momenta remains mild in the moderate x region, demonstrating the validity of power

Momentum variation: spread of central values between three momenta / mean of central values of three momenta

The 30% combined systematics are used to quantify the moderate x region that LaMET can make reliable predictions;



Pion TMDPDF in the b Space



A. Vladimirov, JHEP 10 (2019); M. Cerutti, et al. (MAP), Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023); P. C. Barry, et al. (JAM) Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023)

- Thanks to the absence of linear divergence, we can calculate pion TMDPDF up to $b_{\perp} > 1$ fm; 0
- 0 same;
- 0 suppression of power correction;
- 0 experimental data gives better constraints to the small x region;



When x gets larger, the amplitude of TMDPDF is decreasing, while the transverse correlation length stays roughly the

When x gets closer to x = 0.5, we can find that the variance across different momenta becomes smaller, indicating the

While when x gets closer to x = 0.5, the deviation from global analysis becomes larger, which may cause by the fact that the



Pion TMDPDF in the k Space

- We can give the k_1 -dependence thanks to the good SNR in CG; 0
- Extrapolate the large b_{\perp} using a simple Gaussian form: f(0
- Fourier transform to the k_{\perp} space: $\tilde{f}(k_{\perp}) = \int \frac{d^2 \vec{b}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{\vec{b}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp}} f(k_{\perp})$ 0



$$(b_{\perp}) = Ae^{-mb_{\perp}^{2}};$$

$$(b_{\perp}) = \int \frac{d|b_{\perp}|}{2\pi} |b_{\perp}| \cdot J_{0}(|b_{\perp}| \cdot |k_{\perp}|) \cdot f(b_{\perp}).$$

A. Vladimirov, JHEP 10 (2019); M. Cerutti, et al. (MAP), Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023); P. C. Barry, et al. (JAM) Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023)







Summary

- This is the first lattice calculation of the pion unpolarized TMDPDF within LaMET framework; 0
- The novel CG method is employed to remove the linear divergence, so that to have a good SNR up to $b_{\perp} > 1$ fm; 0
- 0 theory;
- 0 and the results show consistency with existing studies, including phenomenology and lattice calculations;
- 0 structure of hadrons;
- 0 effects and non-physical pion mass will be investigated in detail.

The soft function is extracted at NLL factorization using RG resummation, the results show consistency with perturbation

The TMDs, including CS kernel, intrinsic function, TMDWF and TMDPDF are calculated using the same lattice ensemble,

The outcome of this study highlights the efficacy of the CG quasi-TMD approach in probing the transverse momentum

In the future work, we will apply the CG quasi-TMD approach on nucleon, and the lattice systematics like discretization







CS Kernel





Gauge Fixing in Lattice QCD

Continuous Theory

$$F_{\text{CG}}[A,\Omega] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \int d^4 x A^a_{\Omega\mu}(x) A^{\mu a}_{\Omega}(x)$$

$$\begin{split} \delta F_{\text{CG}}[A,\Omega] &= -\sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \int d^{4}x (D^{\Omega}_{\mu ab}\theta_{b}) A^{\mu a}_{\Omega} \\ &= -\sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \int d^{4}x (\partial_{\mu}\theta_{a} - gf^{cab}A^{c}_{\Omega\mu}\theta_{b}) A^{\mu a}_{\Omega} \\ &= \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \int d^{4}x \theta_{a} (\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu a}_{\Omega}) \end{split}$$

*
$$A_{\Omega\mu}(x) \equiv \Omega^{\dagger}(x)A_{\mu}(x)\Omega(x) + \frac{i}{g}\Omega^{\dagger}(x)\partial_{\mu}\Omega(x)$$
 Gat



Lattice Theory

$$F_{\text{CG}}[U,\Omega] \equiv -\Re \left[\operatorname{Tr} \sum_{x} \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \Omega^{\dagger}(x+\hat{\mu}) U_{\mu}(x) \Omega(x) \right]$$

Find stationary points of the functional value.

uge fixing criterion in this work: variation of functional satisfies $\delta F/F < 10^{-8}$.





Gribov Copies

The gauge fixing condition may have many solutions in Lattice QCD.



Ph. D. Thesis of Diego Fiorentini



Criteria of Gauge Fixing

o Variation of the functional

• Residual gradient of the functional

$$\theta^{G} \equiv \frac{1}{V} \sum_{x} \theta^{G}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{V} \sum_{x} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Delta^{G}(x) \left(\Delta^{G} \right)^{\dagger}(x) \right], \Delta^{G}(x) \equiv \sum_{\mu} \left(A^{G}_{\mu}(x) - A^{G}_{\mu}(x - \hat{\mu}) \right)$$



 $\delta F/F < 10^{-8}$

