PRad-II and the Proton Radius Puzzle

June 10 – CIPANP 2025

Tyler J. Hague

Nathan Isgur Fellow Jefferson Lab On behalf of the PRad Collaboration









3

How big is the proton?

It depends on who you ask!

000 1011010010101



0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1

0100 0100101011000

001 01100001011 00100111 00011001

100101011101101000

10010101011001111000

How big is the proton?

It depends on who you ask!

101101001010

ChatGPT



0100:010010101100

001001100001011 0010011110001100

00101011101101000

Gemini 2.5 Flash





The accepted value for the proton's root mean square charge radius is approximately **0.84-0.87** femtometers (fm).

To give you a more precise figure, recent measurements and re-analyses of older data, particularly from 2019, have converged around **0.833 fm** with an uncertainty of ± 0.010 fm. The 2018 CODATA recommended value is **0.8414(19) fm**.

It's worth noting that there was a "proton radius puzzle" for several years, as different measurement techniques (electron-proton scattering, atomic hydrogen spectroscopy, and muonic hydrogen spectroscopy) initially yielded slightly different values. However, more recent experiments and analyses have largely resolved this discrepancy, with results now agreeing on the smaller radius. \mathscr{O}



中白る:

Microsoft Copilot

What is the radius of the proton

The proton's radius is most commonly defined by its charge distribution and is on the order of 0.84 to 0.87 femtometers (fm) (1 fm = 10^{15} meters). In particular, measurements using muonic hydrogen—where a muon (about 200 times heavier than an electron) orbits the proton—yield a value very near 0.84 fm. Traditional electron scattering experiments, on the other hand, often indicate a slightly larger value, around 0.88 fm. This discrepancy between different experimental approaches is known as the "proton radius puzzle" and has spurced extensive research in both experimentian ad theoretical physics.

It's important to note that these values refer to an effective "size" determined by how the proton's positive charge is distributed. Because a proton is a dynamic, quantum mechanical object composed of quarks and gluons, this effective radius isn't fixed in the classical sense but is derived from the way its electric field falls off with distance.

The ongoing research to resolve the proton radius puzzle not only refines our understanding of one of nature's most fundamental particles but also tests the limits of quantum electrodynamics (QED) and the standard model of particle physics. Advanced experiments and novel theoretical approaches continue to bridge the gap between the different measurement techniques.

Beyond just the number, you might be interested in how these measurements are performed in practice. For instance, the muonic hydrogen measurements involve detecting tiny shifts in energy levels (specifically the Lamb shift) as the muon orbits close to the proton—a process that is significantly more sensitive to the proton's charge distribution than traditional electron-based experiments. Additionally, clarifying the proton's size has broader implications, influencing the determination of fundamental constants and the precision of modern physics experiments.

If you're curious about diving deeper, we could explore how these measurement techniques work, the current experimental strategies to solve the proton radius puzzle, or even how similar challenges arise in determining the sizes of other subatomic particles.

🖒 🖓 🖻 🗊 📝 Edit this response

XAI Grok 3

What is the radius of the proton

The radius of a proton is approximately **0.84 to 0.87 femtometers (fm)**, or about **0.84 × 10¹⁵ to 0.87** × **10¹⁶ meters**. This value, often referred to as the proton's charge radius, is determined through experiments like electron-proton scattering and muonic hydrogen spectroscopy. The precise value can vary slightly depending on the measurement method, with recent studies favoring the lower end of this range.

この土凸 IP … 698ms



How big is the proton?

It depends on who you ask!

ChatGPT

The radius of a proton is approximate 0.84 to 0.88 femtometers (fi (1 femtometer = 10^{-15} meters) More specifically: Electron scattering experiments Muonic hydrogen measurement

This discrepancy is known as the prot physics. The precise value depends o つ (m か

Better ask a physicist!

's important to note that these values refer to an effective "size" determined by how the proton's positive charge is distributed. Because a proton is a dynamic, quantum nechanical object composed of quarks and gluons, this effective radius isn't fixed in the assical sense but is derived from the way its electric field falls off with distance

arch to resolve the proton radius puzzle not only refines our rstanding of one of nature's most fundamental particles but also tests the limits o amics (QED) and the standard model of particle physics. Advanced periments and novel theoretical approaches continue to bridge the gap between the

evond just the number, you might be interested in how these measurements are in practice. For instance, the muonic hydrogen measurements involve etecting tiny shifts in energy levels (specifically the Lamb shift) as the muon orbits close o the proton—a process that is significantly more sensitive to the proton's charge distribution than traditional electron-based experiments. Additionally, clarifying the roton's size has broader implications, influencing the determination of fundamental constants and the precision of modern physics experiment

k, the current experimental strategies to solve the proton radius puzzle, or nilar challenges arise in determining the sizes of other subatomic particles

In デタ Edit this response

The radius of a proton is approximately 0.84 to 0.87 femtometers (fm), or about 0.84 × 10⁻¹⁵ to 0.87 × 10¹⁵ meters. This value, often referred to as the proton's charge radius, is determined through experiments like electron-proton scattering and muonic hydrogen spectroscopy. The precise value can vary slightly depending on the measurement method, with recent studies favoring the lower end of this range.

ට 🔿 🛧 🖒 🖓 🚥 698ms

What is the radius of the proton

It's worth noting that there was a "proton radius puzzle" for several years, as different measurement techniques (electron-proton scattering, atomic hydrogen spectroscopy, and muonic hydrogen spectroscopy) initially yielded slightly different values. However, more recent experiments and analyses have largely resolved this discrepancy, with results now agreeing on the smaller radius. @ @

The accepted value for the proton's root mean square charge radius is approximately 0.84-0.87

To give you a more precise figure, recent measurements and re-analyses of older data, particularly from 2019, have converged around **0.833 fm** with an uncertainty of $\pm 0.010~{
m fm}$. The

0.84-0.87 fm (maybe 0.88 fm included?)

Gemini 2.5 Flash

2018 CODATA recommended value is 0.8414(19) fm.

What is the radius of the proton



Sources

femtometers (fm).





But really, what is the radius of the proton?

- More properly stated as the "root mean square charge radius"
- Related to the non-relativistic charge distribution*
- Most accurately, though perhaps less satisfactorily, it is a fundamental property of the proton proportional to the first derivative of the Sachs form factor at zero four-momentum transfer

$$r_p^2 = -6 \frac{dG_E(Q^2)}{dQ^2} \bigg|_{Q^2 = 0}$$

001001100001011 0001001110000110

00101011101101000





*See G. Miller *Phys.Rev.C* 99 (2019) 3, 035202 for a detailed discussion of what the radius is and is not



How do we *measure* the radius?

ℓ-p Scattering

- The elastic lepton-proton scattering cross section is related to the electric and magnetic form factors
- Measure data at very low Q^2 , fit it, then extrapolate to $Q^2 = 0$

00100101011000 001001100001011 001001110000100

00101011101101000

Hydrogen Lamb Shift

- The energy difference between excited S and P states is directly related to the slope of the Sachs form factor at $Q^2 = 0$
- Radiative effects that contribute are well known allowing for high precision measurements
- Muonic hydrogen (replacing the electron with a muon) is even more sensitive to the proton radius due to the larger muon mass



• The proton radius was always 0.88 fm



0100 0100101011000

11101001



The proton radius was always 0.88 fm
 Until it wasn't



0100 0100101011000



- The proton radius was always 0.88 fm
 Until it wasn't
- Muonic hydrogen results from R. Pohl *et al. Nature* 466 (2010) reported a radius of 0.84 fm

A discrepancy of $>5\sigma!$

 Many efforts since have aimed to explain the cause of this discrepancy as well as to determine the *true* radius value



Figure from J.J. Krauth et al. arXiv:1706.00696

001001100001011

00101011101101000



Possible Explanations

New Physics?

Could lepton universality be violated?

MUSE is working on this (See P. Reimer talk, Wed. @ 19:00)*

Improper e-p Extraction?

Extraction relies on extrapolating a fit

Choice of fit function can bias extraction

Explored in S. Barcus *et al. PRC 102* (2020)

Inconsistent Definitions?

Is the definition of r_p consistent between the measurement techniques?

G. Miller *PRC* 99 (2019) derives that definitions are consistent

Incorrect Rydberg Constant?

Could help explain atomic and muonic hydrogen differences

CODATA updated Rydberg constant in 2018

010101110

*Many other lepton flavor violation talks in the Precision Physics at High Intensities parallel sessions



Past Measurements



Atomic Hydrogen Spectroscopy

- Energy shifts in the hydrogen atom are sensitive to proton finite size effects (i.e. the proton is not point-like)
- In fact, they are directly related to the slope of the electric form factor at $Q^2 = 0$ by virtue of a Taylor expansion around $Q^2 = 0$ allowed by the vanishingly small four-momentum transfer in spectroscopy measurements
- Historically extracted a radius of ~0.88 fm (a few more recent measurements have gotten ~0.84 fm)

001 001 00000010110000 001 00110 0001011

00101011101101000

0010101011001111000

00011001(

 $\Delta E = -4\pi \alpha G'^{p}_{E}(0) |\psi_{n0}(0)|^{2} \delta_{l0}$ $= 4\pi \alpha \frac{r_p^2}{6} |\psi_{n0}(0)|^2 \delta_{l0}.$



Muonic Atomic Hydrogen Spectroscopy

- Energy shifts in the hydrogen atom are sensitive to proton finite size effects (i.e. the proton is not point-like)
- In fact, they are directly related to the slope of the electric form factor at $Q^2 = 0$ by virtue of a Taylor expansion around $Q^2 = 0$ allowed by the vanishingly small four-momentum transfer in spectroscopy measurements
- Historically extracted a radius of ~0.88 fm (a few more recent measurements have gotten ~0.84 fm)
- Typical Q^2 values are inversely related to the Bohr radius
- Muons are 200x heavier than electrons
 - \rightarrow 200x smaller Bohr radius
 - $\rightarrow \geq 10^2 x$ enhancement to proton finite size effect
 - \rightarrow Very high accuracy measurement of ~0.84 fm

$\Delta E = -4\pi\alpha G'_{E}^{p}(0)|\psi_{n0}(0)|^{2}\delta_{l0}$ $= 4\pi\alpha \frac{r_{p}^{2}}{6}|\psi_{n0}(0)|^{2}\delta_{l0}.$





A1 at Mainz

- Many overlapping data sets
- Small statistical uncertainty ≤0.2%
- Large Q^2 coverage (0.004 1.0 GeV²)
- $r_p = 0.879 \pm 0.008 \text{ fm}^*$



Three spectrometer facility of the A1 collaboration:



*J.C. Bernauer Ph.D. Thesis



PRad at JLab

- Large acceptance, far forward, magnet free spectrometer
- Windowless gas-flow target to minimize non-target background
- Ran in Jefferson Lab Hall B in 2016
- Used two beam energies, 1.1 GeV and 2.1 GeV, to cover a wide Q² range
- Simultaneously measured Møller scattering to normalize data
- Published test extractions on pseudodata to benchmark fit functions prior to extraction*
- Reported $r_p = 0.831 \pm 0.014 \text{ fm}^{**}$











17

Is the puzzle resolved?

It depends on who you ask!

000 101101001010



0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0

0100 0100101011000

001001100001011 00100111000011011

0010101110101000

10010101011001111000



Is the puzzle resolved?

It depends on who you ask!

Just kidding. No more chatbots.

0100001010101000

00101011101010001

Proton Charge Radius Puzzle





Current Status of the Puzzle

• Is it resolved? *Partially*

New data and reanalysis of past scattering data *seems* to support the small radius It is still unknown why past atomic hydrogen spectroscopy measurements are larger

What questions still need work? (disclaimer: list is non-exhaustive)

Do we now have the Rydberg constant correct?

Is lepton universality violated?

Why is there a form factor discrepancy between PRad and A1 results?

001001100001011 00100111 00011011



Current Status of the Puzzle

• Is it resolved? *Partially*

New data and reanalysis of past scattering data *seems* to support the small radius It is still unknown why past atomic hydrogen spectroscopy measurements are larger

What questions still need work? (disclaimer: list is non-exhaustive)

Do we now have the Rydberg constant correct?

Is lepton universality violated?

Why is there a form factor discrepancy between PRad and A1 results?

PRad-II can help with that last one!



Motivation for a New Proton Radius Measurement



PRad - A1 Form Factor Discrepancy

- The PRad and A1 Experiments have a >1% difference in G_E^p at the high end of their Q² ranges
- Both data sets have similar precision in the region of difference
- PRad-II will remeasure this region with greatly improved precision to rectify this
- Recent Mainz data with a jet target were unable to resolve the discrepancy





A More Precise Normalization

- Fits to data include a normalization parameter for each data set
- This allows both internal consistency to be enforced and for physically motivated fit constraints to be applied
- It is defined that $G_E^p(Q^2 = 0) \equiv 1$
- By going closer to this limit, we can better constrain the normalization of the data over the entire Q^2 range
- Recent Mainz gas jet target results show that data restricted to the discrepancy region are incapable of resolving the normalization tension







The PRad-II Experiment

0100011001011000

010110001000

0/00101101001100



- Two GEM planes
 - Improved non-target background rejection
 - Improved Q^2 resolution 1 0 0 1 0 1 0







- Two GEM planes
 - Improved non-target background rejection
 - Improved Q^2 resolution 10001010
- Full DAQ and readout system upgrade
 - Reduced deadtime
 - Real-time cluster finding



001 01100001011 000100111 00011001

0010101110101000

10010101011001111000







- Two GEM planes
 - Improved non-target background rejection
 - Improved Q^2 resolution
- Full DAQ and readout system upgrade
 - Reduced deadtime
 - Real-time cluster finding
- New scintillator detector
 - Better separation of Møller events \rightarrow Improved normalization







- Two GEM planes
 - Improved non-target background rejection
 - Improved Q^2 resolution
- Full DAQ and readout system upgrade
 - Reduced deadtime
 - Real-time cluster finding
- New scintillator detector
 - Better separation of Møller events \rightarrow Improved normalization
- All of this earned an "A" rating for the proposal by the JLab Program Advisory Committee

E12-20-004	A	В	PRad-II: A New Upgraded High Precision Measurement of the Proton Charge Radius	A. Gasparian* D. Dutta H. Gao D. Higinbotham N. Liyanage E. Pasyuk C. Peng	NCAT State U Mississippi State Duke U JLab U of Virginia JLab ANL	40	A	48	Proposal	

1000010101011000

001001100001011 000100111100011000

00101011101101000









Kinematics and Projected Data

- Three beam energies: 0.7, 2.1, and 3.5 GeV
- Unprecedentedly low $Q^2 \sim 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^2$
- Ultra-high precision for a more than 3x reduction in uncertainty on the extracted radius



Source PRad Δr_p (fm) PRad-II Δr_p (fm) Stat. uncertainty 0.0015 0.0075 Event selection 0.0030 0.0070 Radiative 0.0069 0.0004 correction 0.0025 Detector efficiency 0.0042 Beam background 0.0014 0.0039 HyCal response 0.0001 0.0029 Acceptance 0.0026 0.0001 Beam energy 0.0001 0.0022 0.0001 Inelastic ep 0.0009 G_{M}^{p} model 0.0005 0.0006 Total syst. 0.0043 0.0115 **Total uncertainty** 0.0046 0.0137



Projected Future Lepton Scattering Results



Jefferson Lab

Summary

- Progress has been made on the proton radius puzzle
- Tensions between data sets require further measurements and studies
- Some pieces remain untested (e.g. lepton universality)
- PRad-II improves upon the successful PRad experiment
- The experiment aims to be the most precise lepton scattering result for the proton radius with • a projected uncertainty of $\delta_r \sim 0.0046$ fm

Jefferson Lab

Summary

- Progress has been made on the proton radius puzzle
- Tensions between data sets require further measurements and studies
- Some pieces remain untested (e.g. lepton universality)
- PRad-II improves upon the successful PRad experiment
- The experiment aims to be the most precise lepton scattering result for the proton radius with o a projected uncertainty of $\delta_r \sim 0.0046$ fm

PRad-II had a successful Experimental Readiness Review a month ago Anticipate to begin data taking in early 2026!

...............



QUESTIONS?

