



### Recent RHIC measurements on quarkonium production and suppression

Kaifeng Shen

University of Science and Technology of China

(skfwyl@ustc.edu.cn)

15th Conference on the Intersections of Particle and Nuclear Physics

11 June 2025



Office of Science

### **D** Experimental Probes for Deconfinement

### **D** Quarkonia Physics at RHIC

### **D** Summary and Outlooks

### Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC)

□ To explore some of Nature's most basic -- and intriguing -- ingredients and phenomena



Credit: the webpage of the physics of RHIC

#### Current experiments: STAR and sPHENIX

Enters 25<sup>th</sup> and final run: RHIC
 science program will be completed
 and Transition to the Electron-Ion
 Collider

### **Experimental Probes for Deconfinement**

Heavy quarks mainly produced from initial hard partonic scattering,  $m_{c,b} > \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ 

Heavy-flavour as probes of \_ the QGP

Experience the entire evolution of the QPG, loss energy through Gluon radiation or Collisional energy loss

The  $Q\overline{Q}$  pair bound state can be dissociated or regenerated in the QGP



Credit: Boris Hippolyte & Qian Yang

## Quarkonia production at RHIC

### Collision energy dependence of QGP property



<sup>(</sup>STAR) Phys. Lett. B 771 (2017) 13-20

■ Measurement J/ψ suppression at different collision energies → understand collision energy dependence of QGP property

Beam Energy Scan II at STAR: Unique opportunity to study the collision energy dependence, 10-20 times higher statistics than BES- I

### $J/\psi$ suppression measured at different energies



X. Zhao and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C 82 (2010) 064905 (private communication)

■ No significant energy dependence of nuclear modification factor within uncertainties at  $\sqrt{S_{NN}} \le 200 \text{ GeV}$ 

(NA50) Phys. Lett. B 477 (2000) 28 (ALICE) Phys. Lett. B 734 (2014) 314 (STAR) Phys. Lett. B 771 (2017) 13-20 (STAR) Phys. Lett. B 797 (2019) 134917 (ALICE) PLB 849 (2024) 138451

### J/ $\psi$ suppression measured at different systems

▶ The size of hot and dense medium → the corresponding J/ $\psi$  suppression



□ In isobaric collisions, highest precision measurement at RHIC to date

**D** No significant collision system dependence of J/ $\psi$  suppression at similar  $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$  range

### ${\rm J}/\psi~v_2$ at RHIC top energy



□ Smaller regeneration effect at RHIC compared to that at LHC ?

### J/ $\psi$ yield vs event multiplicity in p+p

Sensitive to underlying event activities, MPI



□ After subtracting the J/ $\psi$  daughter-muon, the yields shift to lower  $N_{ch}/\langle N_{ch}\rangle$ 

 The measurements are consistent with the PYTHIA 8 Detroit tuned with MPI calculations (within 1σ)

### Charmonium sequential suppression

> The suppression level related to the binding energy of charmonium



 $\Box$  First observation of charmonium sequential suppression in heavy ion collisions at RHIC (3.5 $\sigma$ )

### $\psi(2s)$ over J/ $\psi$ ratio vs centrality and $p_{\mathrm{T}}$



Centrality dependence trend at RHIC seems more similar to that at SPS than at LHC

□ Significantly lower than that in p+p and p+A collisions at  $p_T$  <2 GeV/c

### $\psi(2s)$ over J/ $\psi$ ratio in p+A collisions at RHIC



□ Final stat effect is significant, and larger in the backward rapidity where multiplicity is higher

□ Similar suppression pattern, weak energy dependence

### $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$ suppression at different systems

Smaller regeneration effect compared to charmonia



Consistent suppression is observed
between isobar and Au+Au collisions in
similar  $\langle N_{part} \rangle$  range

Hint of sequential suppression in isobaric collisions

<sup>(</sup>STAR) Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 112301

# Polarization and global spin alignment at RHIC

### J/ $\psi$ polarization in isobaric collisions

- > J/ $\psi$  polarization → the production mechanism
- Possible difference between heavy ion collisions and p+p collisions
- > The J/ $\psi$  decayed leptons: w(cos $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ )  $\propto 1 + \lambda_{\theta} cos^2 \theta + \lambda_{\phi} sin^2 \theta cos 2\phi + \lambda_{\theta\phi} sin 2\theta cos\phi$



 $\square \ \lambda_{\theta} \text{ and } \lambda_{\phi} \text{ are consistent with zero within}$ uncertainties, indicate that no polarization is observed within current uncertainties

 No significant centrality dependence is observed

### $J/\psi$ global spin alignment in isobaric collisions

Respect to the Event Plane: axis orthogonal to reaction plane



**D** The  $\rho_{00}$  at RHIC is lower than 1/3 (3.5 $\sigma$ ), and comparable to LHC results

# Energy correlator with Quarkonia tagged at RHIC

### J/ $\psi$ energy correlator



$$\Sigma(\cos\chi) = \int d\sigma \sum_{i} \frac{E_{i}}{M} \delta(\cos\chi - \cos\theta_{i}),$$

Phys. Rev. L 133, 191901 (2024)

□ J/ $\psi$  as a tagged meson, sensitive to hadronization of  $c\bar{c} \rightarrow J/\psi + X$ 

- $\Box$   $\chi$  is measured in the J/ $\psi$  rest frame:
  - Perturbative processes contribution
     dominate at cos(χ) < 0</li>
  - ➢ Non-perturbative processes contribution dominate at  $cos(\chi) ≥ 0$

### $J/\psi$ energy correlator measured at RHIC-STAR



■ The J/ $\psi$  energy correlator has been measured firstly at STAR in p+p collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 500$  GeV

■ No significant  $cos(\chi)$  dependence of the J/ $\psi$ energy correlator at  $cos(\chi) > 0$ , while the measurement is different compared to that in Pythia8 (~7 $\sigma$ )

### Summary

### **D** Quarkonia at RHIC:

- Collision energy and system dependence: no significant dependence has been observed; first measured charmonium sequential suppression in heavy ion collisions at RHIC
- > Polarization and spin alignment in heavy-ion collisions:  $J/\psi$  polarization around zero,  $\rho_{00}$  at RHIC is lower than 1/3 (3.5 $\sigma$ )
- > Hadronization process: first measured J/ $\psi$  energy correlator in p+p collisions
- > Photo-nuclear production: coherent J/ $\psi$  strongly suppressed; evidence of decay anisotropy

### Outlook: STAR experiment

□ Run23-25: large samples of p+p, (p+Au), and Au+Au collisions

□ STAR forward upgrade(2.5< $|\eta|$ <4): Forward Tracking System & Forward Calorimeter System STAR BUR Run25 2024



 $2.5 < \eta < 4$ 

Zhen Wang@QM2023



$\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$	Species	Number Events/	Year
(GeV)		Sampled Luminosity	
200	Au+Au	$8B+5B / 1.2 \text{ nb}^{-1}+20.8 \text{ nb}^{-1}$	2023+2024+2025 (20 cryo-weeks)
200	Au+Au	$8B+9B / 1.2 \text{ nb}^{-1}+28.6 \text{ nb}^{-1}$	2023+2024+2025 (28 cryo-weeks)

### Outlook: sPHENIX experiment



 During Run24, 107 pb<sup>-1</sup> photon/Jet calorimeter data, 13.28 pb<sup>-1</sup> photon/Jet full detector data, as well as 2.9 pb<sup>-1</sup> of streaming tracker data in p+p collisions

The full physics Run25 are ongoing (7 nb<sup>-1</sup> in Au+Au)

#### Alex Patton @ RHIC&AGS 2025

### Outlook: Quarkonia at sPHENIX

**D** Quarkonia physics at sPHENIX:

- Probing transport coefficients
- Heavy-quark potential
- ➤ (p)NRQCD formalism







JaeBeom Park @ RHIC&AGS 2025



### Back up

 $b/c \rightarrow e$ : energy loss in QGP

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The b/c-decay electron  $R_{AA}$  are suppressed at high- $p_T$  in Au+Au collisions at 200 GeV
- □ The b-decay electron  $R_{AA}$  are systematically larger than c-decay  $R_{AA}$ , consistent with mass hierarchy of parton energy loss
- Consistent with model calculations including mass-dependent energy loss mechanisms

(STAR) EPJC 82 (2022) 1150 Duke: Phys. Rev. C 92, 024907 (2015) PHSD: Phys. Rev. C 78, 034919 (2008), Nucl. Phys. A 831, 215 (2009)

### $b/c \rightarrow e$ : collectivity in QGP

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The  $e^{\text{HF}}$  have non-zero and comparable  $v_2$  in Au+Au collisions at 54.4 and 200 GeV → indicates that charm quarks interact strongly with the QGP medium
- □ The  $e^{\text{HF}} v_2$  at 27 GeV Au+Au collisions are consistent with zero
- The e<sup>HF</sup> v<sub>2</sub> at 54.4 GeV Au+Au collisions are Consistent with model calculations, which assume that elastic collision scattering dominated

(STAR) Phys. Lett. B 844 (2023) 138071 TAMU: Phys. Rev. C 91,024904 (2015). PHSD: Phys. Rev.C 92, 014910 (2015), Phys. Rev. C 96,014905 (2017)