Gluon saturation and its role in heavy ion collisons

Shaswat Tiwari

North Carolina State University





Gluon saturation

At high energies proton/nucleus becomes densely packed with gluons





Proton/Nucleus

When gluon densities become large enough to "saturate" the proton/nucleus, we call it gluon saturation

Gluon saturation

At high energies proton/nucleus becomes densely packed with gluons





Proton/Nucleus

Saturation can help us understand high energy processes, heavy ion collisions, spin puzzle etc...

Structure of proton



One-dimensional structure of proton



x is between 0 and 1

Three dimensional structure of proton



b is the transverse size (in X-Y plane)

Structure at high energies



Structure at high energies



Gluon radiation



L.McClerran (2008), A.Kovner(2005)

Gluon radiation





- Boosting a color charge gives rise to new gluons
- "Virtual" gluons survive longer due to time dilation

Gluon radiation



- New gluons (or quarks) have smaller momentum fraction **x**
- New gluons have the same transverse size **b**

What is gluon saturation?

- Size of the proton varies very slowly with energy
- Number of gluons increases much faster





Gluons with the same b start overlapping

What is gluon saturation?

Competing process: Recombination



Gradification: splitting late = recombination late

Saturation momentum



 $\mathbf{b_1}$ gluons saturated

 $\mathbf{b_1} \text{ and } \mathbf{b_2} \text{ gluons saturated}$

Gluons with larger b or smaller momenta saturate first

Saturation momentum



All gluons with typical momenta less that $Q_s(P)$ are saturated

In nucleus

- Area of nucleus $\propto A^{2/3}$
- Number of gluons $\propto A$

$$(Q_s(P))^2 \propto \frac{\text{Number of gluons}}{\text{Area of nucleus}} \propto A^{1/3}$$



Nuclear modes saturate faster than proton modes

How to understand saturation?

Saturation implies multiple interactions

- Interaction with multiple quarks/gluons
- Each interaction is weak but large in number



Probes a dense but perturbative regime of QCD. Dense implies classical !

Multiple interactions are summed!

Color ≡ Degrees of freedom Glass ≡ Freezing of gluons Condensate ≡ High density



CGC formalism uses multiple scattering and saturation to study physical processes

Need to compare with experiments at HERA, RHIC, LHC and EIC in future!

Three directions

- Understand applicability of CGC formalism
- Compute processes at high accuracy using CGC formalism
- Compare robust observables with data to see signals of saturation

Applicablity of CGC formalism

Schemes

- Large-*x*/collinear methods Get Large-x evolution
- Small-*x*/CGC methods Get Small-x evolution



How do you connect the two regimes/evolutions?

New scheme with validity for all x is needed

TMD handbook A.Kovner(2005) S.Mukheree, V.Skokov, A.Tarasov, S.T (2024,2025) H.Duan, A.Kovner, M.Lublinsky(2024)

MSTT scheme

MSTT factorization is based on the background field method

gluon transverse distribution function(x, b) operator

Quantum corrections

Transverse distribution function (x, b, Λ) valid for all x

Small-x limit

Small-x methods/CGC



Large-x methods/CSS

S.Mukheree, V.Skokov, A.Tarasov, S.T (2024,2025)

Full result

The full result contains parts of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Large-x}}$ and parts of Small-x

$$\begin{split} f_{ij}(x,b_{\perp},\mu_{\rm UV}^2,\zeta) &= f_{ij}(x,b_{\perp},\mu_{\rm IR}^2,\rho) - 4\alpha_s N_c \int d^2 p_{\perp} e^{ip_{\perp}b_{\perp}} \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{z(1-z)} \int d^2 k_{\perp} \Big[\mathcal{R}_{ij;lm}^a(z,p_{\perp},k_{\perp}) \\ &+ \mathcal{R}_{ij;lm}^b(z,p_{\perp},k_{\perp}) \Big] \int d^2 z_{\perp} e^{-i(p_{\perp}-k_{\perp})z_{\perp}} f_{lm}(\frac{x}{z},z_{\perp},\mu_{\rm IR}^2,\rho) + \boxed{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \Big(-\frac{1}{2} (L_b^{\mu\rm UV})^2 + L_b^{\mu\rm UV} \ln \frac{\mu_{\rm UV}^2}{\zeta^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \Big) \\ &\times f_{ij}(x,b_{\perp},\mu_{\rm IR}^2,\rho) - \boxed{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} L_b^{\mu\rm IR} \int_0^1 dz \Big[\frac{1}{(1-z)_{+}} + \frac{1}{z} \Big]}_{p_{\perp}^2} f_{ij}(\frac{x}{z},b_{\perp},\mu_{\rm IR}^2,\rho) - \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int d^2 z_{\perp} \int d^2 p_{\perp} e^{ip_{\perp}(b-z)_{\perp}} \\ &\times \Big(\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{\mu_{\rm IR}^2}{p_{\perp}^2} + \boxed{\ln \frac{\mu_{\rm IR}^2}{p_{\perp}^2} \ln \frac{\rho}{\zeta}} - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \Big) \frac{g_{il} p_j p_m + p_i p_l g_{mj}}{p_{\perp}^2} f_{lm}(x,z_{\perp},\mu_{\rm IR}^2,\rho) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int d^2 z_{\perp} \int d^2 p_{\perp} e^{ip_{\perp}(b-z)_{\perp}} \Big(\frac{\beta_0}{2N_c} \ln \frac{\mu_{\rm UV}^2}{p_{\perp}^2} + \frac{67}{18} - \frac{5N_f}{9N_c} \Big) f_{ij}(x,z_{\perp},\mu_{\rm IR}^2,\rho) + O(\alpha_s^2) \,. \end{split}$$

S.Mukheree, V.Skokov, A.Tarasov, S.T (2024,2025)

Small-x evolution or JIMWLK

Given an initial condition evolve by implementing a random walk (langevin equation)



Y.Kovchegov, H.Weigert(2013), I.Balitsky, G.Chirilli (2013) Y.Hatta, E.Iancu (2016)

Evolution at high energies

Small-x evolution or JIMWLK

- Not all observables can be evolved
- Computationally expensive
- Method does not work at higher orders



Y.Kovchegov, H.Weigert(2013), I.Balitsky, G.Chirilli (2013) Y.Hatta, E.Iancu (2016)

Evolution at high energies

JIMWLK using quantum computers

- Using open quantum system methods
- Using lattice gauge theory methods



Quantum mechanical system with a few points. Early stages!

A.Agrawal, E.Budd, A. Kemper, V.Skokov, A.Tarasov, S.T (to appear)

Electron - proton scattering

- Quantum corrections to **photon**
- Quantum corrections to **proton/nucleus**



Balitsky, Chirilli(2008,2015) Beuf, Lappi, Paatelainen(2021,2022) Kovchegov, Weigert(2007)

Process I

Electron - proton scattering

- Measure outgoing hadron
- Proton survives
- Ultraperipheral collisons ...



Beuf, Lappi, Mantysaari, Paatalainen, Penttala (2024) Boussarie, Grabovsky, Ivanov, Szymanowski, Wallon (2016) Mantysaari, Penttala (2021, 2022) Roy, Venugopalan (2019) Caucal, Salazar, Schenke, Stebel, Venugopalan (2024) Process II

Proton- Nucleus collisons

- Proton: Not saturated
- Nulceus: Saturated



Chirilli, Xiao, Yuan (2012) Mantysaari, Tawabutr (2023) Liu, Xie, Kang, Liu (2022)

Taels (2023)

Fits to Deep inelastic scattering

Geometric scaling at high energy: Function of τ = Q^2/Q_s^2



Fits to Deep inelastic scattering



Beuf, Lappi, Hanninen, Mantysaari (2020)

At EIC

- Fits with nucleus
- Better luminosity
- Polarized scattering



Beuf, Lappi, Hanninen, Mantysaari (2020)

Proton-nucleus scattering

Suppression is a good signal for **saturation**

Higher order effects need to be included



Dihadron correlations

Evidence for Nonlinear Gluon Effects in QCD and their A Dependence at STAR(2022)

Other observables

- Exclusive vector meson production
 - Mantysaari, Schenke (2016.2018)
 - Mantysaari, Salazar, Schenke (2022,2024)
 - Penttala, Royon (2024)
 - Kesler, Ikbal Sheikh, Ma, Tu, Ullrich, Xu (2025)
- Energy energy correlators
 - Liu,Pan,Yuan, Zhu (2023)
 - Kang, Penttala, Zhao, Zhu (2024)
- Spin-dependent observables
 - Kovchegov, Sievert, Pitonyak (2012 present)



Busza, Rajagopal, Schee(2018), Mclerran (2008), Schenke (2021)

Heavy ion collisons



Initial condition for QGP: Saturated nucleus

Busza, Rajagopal, Schee(2018), Mclerran (2008), Schenke (2021)

Heavy ion collisons



Saturation based models make predictions for future experiments!

Giacalone, Schenke, Shen (2020)

• Search for gluon saturation is an ongoing theoretical and experimental (EIC/foCAL) effort

- Theoretical calculations need to be upgraded to higher orders
- Understand/construct observables which can provide clear signals of saturation
- Make robust predictions for high energy observables, spin and heavy ion collisons

For more information please look at the recently concluded CFNS-INT workshop on small-x