# Measuring the Weak Charge of the Electron: The MOLLER Experiment

June 12, 2025

# Kent Paschke

UNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA



#### **INTERSECTIONS - CIPANP 2025**





# **New Physics with Precision at Low Energies**

## Low Q<sup>2</sup> offers complementary probes of new physics at multi-TeV scales

EDM,  $g_{\mu}$ -2, weak decays,  $\beta$  decay,  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay, DM, CLFV...

**Parity-Violating Electron Scattering:** Low energy weak neutral current couplings (SLAC, Jefferson Lab, Mainz)



Heavy mediators = contact interactions



For any **fermion** and **handedness** combination, reach characterized by mass scale  $\Lambda$ , coupling g



Kent Paschke

New physics search "mass scale": quoted with  $g^2 = 4\pi$ 

Intersections - CIPANP 2025





# **Electron Scattering and Parity-violation**







**Scattering cross-section**  $|\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}|$  $\sigma =$ 

$$A_{PV} = -\frac{mE \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}}}{4\sin^2 \Theta} \frac{4\sin^2 \Theta}{(3+\cos^2 \Theta)^2} Q_W^e} \qquad Q_W^e$$



Kent Paschke



 Incident beam is longitudinally polarized •Change sign of longitudinal polarization •Measure fractional rate difference

Intersections - CIPANP 2025

Madison, Wisconsin

 $\sim 0.0435$ 







# Comparing at the weak mixing angle





Kent Paschke





Intersections - CIPANP 2025

3



# **MOLLER:** Ultra-high precision measure of $Q_W^e$

#### A<sub>PV</sub> ~ 32 ppb $\delta(A_{PV}) \sim 0.8 \text{ ppb}$

 $\delta(Q^{e_{W}}) = \pm 2.1 \% (stat.) \pm 1.1 \% (syst.)$ 

Search for new flavor diagonal neutral currents

Unique (purely leptonic) new physics reach

Best contact interaction reach for leptons at low OR high energy To do better for a 4-lepton contact interaction would require the Giga-Z factory, linear collider, neutrino factory or muon collider

## **Examples of model sensitivity:**





Kent Paschke

JNIVERSITY

/IRGINIA

**Best Collider**  $\delta(\sin^2\theta_W)$ :

A<sub>I</sub>(SLD): 0.00026 A<sub>fb</sub>(LEP): 0.00029 **CMS(prelim): 0.00031** 

### **MOLLER projected:**

 $\delta(sin^2\theta_W) = \pm 0.00024 \ (stat.) \pm 0.00013 \ (syst.)$ 

 $\rightarrow \sim 0.1\%$  Matches best collider (Z-pole) measurement





Erler et al., Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. (2014)

Intersections - CIPANP 2025









# Measuring A<sub>PV</sub>

# Elastic signal focused on detector -800 -4000 -2000 16000 18000 20000

Rapid (1kHz) measurement over helicity reversals





Kent Paschke



Intersections - CIPANP 2025

Madison, Wisconsin



## A<sub>PV</sub> ~ 32 ppb with goal of 2% statistical, 1% systematic uncertainty

### High luminosity and acceptance <u>Møller Rate ~ 130 GHz</u>

- 125cm, 4.5kW LH<sub>2</sub> target
- 65 µA beam current at 11 GeV
- 85% polarization
- "large" acceptance (~100% of high FOM kinematics)

### Control Noise <u>91 ppm at 960 Hz</u>

- Low noise detectors and readout electronics
- Rapid beam helicity flip
- Beam and target stability
- Precision monitoring and calibration

JLab: precision instrument with 25 years of high precision PVES experiments

**MOLLER** collaboration: 40+years of experience including E158, Qweak, PREX/CREX





# MOLLER

### Integrate time

- <u>344 beam days (~3-4 calendar years)</u>
- Radiation resistance for materials and electronics

### Controlling Systematic Uncertainty

- background monitoring
- optics / acceptance calibration
- polarimetry
- Beam control, monitoring and calibration
- "spin reversal" tools

### Intersections - CIPANP 2025

#### Madison, Wisconsin

Pion Detectors SAMs

# **High Precision Measurement**

### **Contributions to \sigma\_{pair} - "Pair width**"

Parameter	Random Noise (65 $\mu$ A)
Statistical width (0.5 ms)	$\sim$ 82 ppm
Target Density Fluctuation	30 ppm
<b>Beam Intensity Resolution</b>	10 ppm
Beam Position Noise	7 ppm
Detector Resolution (25%)	21 ppm (3.1%)
Electronics noise	10 ppm
Measured Width ( $\sigma_{pair}$ )	<b>91 ppm</b>

$$\sigma_{A_{expt}} = \frac{\sigma_{pair}}{\sqrt{N_{pair}}}$$

**Experimental design driven by these goals Statistical Uncertainty:** Measure  $A_{expt}$  with precision ~2% **Systematic Uncertainty:** Measure and/or minimize all systematic error sources so their individual contributions are <1%, resulting in statistics limited experiment

JNIVERSITY VIRGINIA

### **Uncertainty budget for APV**

Error Source	Fractional Error (%)
Statistical	2.1
Absolute Norm. of the Kinematic Factor	0.5
Beam (second moment)	0.4
Beam polarization	0.4
$e + p(+\gamma) \rightarrow e + X(+\gamma)$	0.4
Beam (position, angle, energy)	0.4
Beam (intensity)	0.3
$e + p(+\gamma) \rightarrow e + p(+\gamma)$	0.3
$\gamma^{(*)} + p \rightarrow (\pi, \mu, K) + X$	0.3
$e + Al(+\gamma) \rightarrow e + Al(+\gamma)$	0.15
Transverse polarization	0.2
Neutral background (soft photons, neutrons)	0.1
Linearity	0.1
Total systematic	1.1

Combined 
$$\frac{\delta A_{PV}}{A_{PV}} = 2.4 \%$$

#### Intersections - CIPANP 2025

Madison, Wisconsin



# **MOLLER Spectrometer Cutaway**





Kent Paschke

Intersections - CIPANP 2025

Madison, Wisconsin

# **MOLLER Spectrometer Concept**

### FOM optimized for COM 90° ± 30°



Acceptance defining collimator

- selects scattering angle
- blocks 50% of azimuth
- collimates exhaust beam

Azimuthal field from toroidal magnet separates ee, ep, and line of sight ( $\gamma$ ) at detector plane





Kent Paschke

Isolate E-θ correlation for ee scattering onto detector



### radial flux distribution

#### Intersections - CIPANP 2025





4.5kW LH<sub>2</sub> cryotarget high power, high stability

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

Kent Paschke

Intersections - CIPANP 2025

Madison, Wisconsin

# Spectrometer Detail

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

Kent Paschke

#### Intersections - CIPANP 2025

Madison, Wisconsin

# Flux Distribution at Main Detectors

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Radial / Azimuthal binning - measures backgrounds under the Møller peak

## "Irreducible" Backgrounds

radiative background processes from target

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_9.jpeg)

Madison, Wisconsin

Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_12_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_13.jpeg)

# **Detector Package**

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

Integrating mode: for asymmetry measurement **Counting mode:** very low beam current, counting and/or tracking for calibration

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

JNIVERSITY VIRGINIA

# **Integrating Detectors:**

For asymmetry measurement

# - Thin Quartz "Main Detectors"

optimized for resolution, segmented for extracting background contributions

## - Shower Max detectors

less resolution, different weighting and sensitivity than main detectors

## - Pion Detectors

After heavy absorber, sample pion background to provide pion asymmetry subtraction

## **GEM Tracking Detectors:**

low rate calibration runs

Auxiliary detectors- LAM, SAM, Scanner for calibrations and cross-checks

Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_13_Picture_18.jpeg)

Primary  $A_{PV}$  measurement uses an array of thin quartz detectors

- 6 radial "rings"
- 224 tiles total, over 7 septants

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

PMT + base, electrons operate in integrating mode and counting mode (for calibration)

lifetime

Rates / PMT: 4 MHz - 4 GHz Total rate (Møller + ep): ~220 GHz

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

Kent Paschke

# Main Detector

![](_page_14_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_13.jpeg)

Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_14_Picture_16.jpeg)

# **Tracking Detectors**

### GEM rotating mount

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

GEM chambers prototyped, in production Testing via x-ray source and cosmic ray

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

Kent Paschke

JNIVERSITY VIRGINIA

At very low currents (few nA) tracking calibration runs will be used to

- study response function of integrating detectors
- benchmark spectrometer optics and acceptance
- test for background sources

![](_page_15_Picture_10.jpeg)

Blocker collimator to study backgrounds from scattering of exhaust beam

Sieve collimator for known "point source" to benchmark spectrometer optics

#### Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_15_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_30.jpeg)

# LH2 Target

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

Kent Paschke

### Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_16_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **Helicity Correlated Beam Properties**

### **MOLLER** requirements

# **Keeping beam asymmetries small**

Beam	Required cumulative	Systematic	
Property	helicity-correlation	contribution	• Spe
Intensity	< 10 ppb	$\sim 0.1~{ m ppb}$	• Bea
Energy	< 1.4  ppb	$\sim 0.05~{ m ppb}$	• "slo
Position	< 0.6 nm	$\sim 0.05~{ m ppb}$	• feed
Angle	< 0.12 nrad	$\sim 0.05~{ m ppb}$	
Spot Size	< 10  ppm	$\sim 0.1~{ m ppb}$	

- m transport optimization
- dback

![](_page_17_Figure_8.jpeg)

## **Polarized Source**

JNIVERSITY VIRGINIA

- High intensity, high polarization through photoemission from GaAs photocathode
- Rapid-flip of beam helicity by laser polarization flip
- Pockels cell to flip laser polarization
- Beam must look the same for the two polarization states
- Photocathode: analyzing power for linear light

cial techniques with the polarized source laser optics

w reversals" that flip the sign of beam asymmetries

### **Beam correction analysis**

- Two calibration techniques
  - beam modulation for calibration
- linear regression Demonstrated precision and accuracy in the PREX-2 analysis

![](_page_17_Picture_22.jpeg)

### **RTP Pockels cell**

**Goal:** 2kHz flipping, ~10 µs transition

- •Optimized RTP cell developed, in use since 2019
- •Using E-field gradients to control non-uniformities
- •New versions improve performance and reliability

![](_page_17_Picture_28.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_29.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_30.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_31.jpeg)

### Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_17_Figure_34.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_35.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_36.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_37.jpeg)

# Polarimetry Goal: 0.4% with two, independent measurements which can be cross-checked

# Møller Polarimeter

- "high field" iron target well-known magnetization at saturation
- Coincidence of identical particles low background
- QQQQD spectrometer optimized to suppress Levchuk correction uncertainty

## **Compton Polarimeter**

- Detection of backscattered photons and recoil electrons from laser light
- Independent photon and electron analyses are possible
- New publication: dP/P = 0.36% https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.109.024323

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_11.jpeg)

Both systems have important upgrades underway (detectors, target, DAQ, analysis, and simulation studies). The Møller polarimeter is closer to ready for high precision at 11 GeV, with smaller and less crucial upgrades.

![](_page_18_Picture_13.jpeg)

Kent Paschke

![](_page_18_Figure_16.jpeg)

Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_18_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_21.jpeg)

# **MOLLER Schedule and Outlook**

First exploration of the current concept in 2007, first proposal in 2009. It's been a long road

Expected schedule:

- Fall 2025 Dec 2026: Assembly in Hall A
- Jan 2027: commissioning
- Late 2027: First physics publication expected
  - -A<sub>T</sub> transverse single spin asymmetry
  - A<sub>PV</sub> result, matching E158 precision

Project (fabrication/assembly) fully funded, mostly allocated IRA funding for DOE managed project, + NSF + CFI / Research Manitoba / NSERC

Remaining (political) risks:

JNIVERSITY VIRGINIA

- approximately 4 calendar years required to complete running, with usual operations at JLab - Collaboration relies on DOE-NP and NSF research funds (also NSERC, but that isn't in question)

Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_19_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_19.jpeg)

# **GEM tracking chambers**

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

## UVa and SBU GEMs in production, qualification

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

Kent Paschke

### UVA

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_11.jpeg)

SBU

![](_page_20_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Cosmic test stand at JLab

#### Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_20_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

## thin quartz assembly modules in cosmic test stand

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Detectors / electronics**

### Main detector assembly in Mainz test beam

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

Kent Paschke

### shower-max detector

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

## low-noise electronics (Manitoba)

![](_page_21_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_14.jpeg)

### Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_21_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_18.jpeg)

# **Spectrometer Components**

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

TM1 Complete

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_10.jpeg)

Kent Paschke

![](_page_22_Picture_12.jpeg)

### Lead Pion Donut

![](_page_22_Picture_14.jpeg)

**Detector beampipe** Frame Weldment

Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_22_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_23.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_24.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_26.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_27.jpeg)

# Beam pipes elements

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

Bellows 1 and 2

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

Bellows 3

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

Detector Pipe with Neckdown window

![](_page_23_Picture_9.jpeg)

Kent Paschke

![](_page_23_Picture_11.jpeg)

Bellows 4

Bellows 5

Bellows 7

![](_page_23_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_17.jpeg)

Drift Pipe

SAM Pipe

#### Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_23_Picture_22.jpeg)

# Summary

# Electroweak physics studies with PVES are a powerful tool in the search for new physics

of TeV, with reach into new physics phase space that cannot otherwise be accessed.

MOLLER is starting fabrication, to start assembly this fall and begin running early 2027

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

Kent Paschke

- MOLLER, designed for ultra-high precision, will search for new interactions from 100 MeV to 10s

Intersections - CIPANP 2025

![](_page_24_Picture_13.jpeg)