Deconfinement effects in net-proton fluctuations



Oleh Savchuk, June 10th 2025, CIPANP2025



Introduction: Thermodynamic properties



The most basic knowledge we have about water is when it boils or when it freezes

> There is also a second order phase transition when the jump happens in second derivative:

Thermodynamics describes relation between the pressure, bulk volume, density/chemical potential and temperature.

$$\Omega(\mu, T, V) = -VP(\mu, T)$$

$$F(T, V, N) = U - TS$$

Transition from liquid to gaseous phase happens with a jump in density.

This is a case of the first order phase transition with density being first derivative of pressure:

$$n = \frac{\partial P(\mu, T)}{\partial \mu}$$

$$\frac{\langle n^2 \rangle - \langle n \rangle^2}{\langle n \rangle} = \frac{\mu}{T} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial \mu^2}$$









Introduction: Thermodynamic properties



Above critical point the transition between liquid and gas is smooth/continious. At the critical point interesting physics occurs.

Large fluctuations and infinite correlation length!

One observes critical fluctuations through critical opalescence:



[https://www.doitpoms.ac.uk/]

Scattering of light on density fluctuations of the size of the wavelength of light.

Introduction: Thermodynamic properties



Above critical point the transition between liquid and gas is smooth/continious. At the critical point interesting physics occurs.

Large fluctuations and infinite correlation length!

One observes critical fluctuations through critical opalescence:



[https://www.doitpoms.ac.uk/]

Scattering of light on density fluctuations of the size of the wavelength of light.

Introduction: QCD Critical Point



Strongly interacting matter is poorly understood. We know that it has deconfined and confined phases. But we know little of when and how they occur. Finding Critical Point would imply existence of the first order phase transition

At the same time it is possible that critical point is easier to find by looking at signatures of criticality in a system.

Such signals might include fluctuations and correlations of conserved charges.

Introduction: QCD Critical Point

Extrapolations from lattice simulations at zero density:





Search for CP with fluctuations

• Fluctuations of baryon charge are connected to the equation of state as cumulants:

$$C_n[B] = \frac{\partial^n \ln Z(\mu_B, T, V)}{\partial \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right)^n} = VT^{n-1} \frac{\partial^n P(\mu_B)}{\partial \mu_B^n}$$

Temperature



 $C_4[B]$ $C_2[B]$

Chemical potential

[M. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 032301] [Adam Bzdak, ShinIchi Esumi, Volker Koch, Jinfeng Liao, Mikhail Stephanov, Nu Xu, Physics Reports 853 (2020) pp. 1-87]



 s_{NN}

In order to add background effects one compares against baselines:



7



Challenges in comparing theory and experiment

Theory:

- Coordinate space
- Energy-momentum and baryon, electric, strange charges are conserved on average
- Matter at stationary equilibrium
- Ensemble is well controlled

Experiment:

- Momentum distribution of charged particles
- Energy-momentum and baryon, electric, strange charges are conserved exactly
- Dynamic evolution
- Mixture of different event classes over various initial conditions

Stages of Heavy-Ion Collisions

Profiles of conserved charges: Energy-momentum, baryon, electric, strange

Collective expansion and fluctuations of thermal medium. Out-of-equilibrium/memory effects.

Modeling of each stage requires finesse.

Every stage of collision is important. Multi-messenger study.

Quarks form hadrons. Correlations and fluctuations of charges.

[BRAHMS Collaboration]

Baryon Stopping

Local charge conservation

- pairs can be produced dynamically in a collision.

Cumulant Ratios

Non-interacting baselines fail in 20 GeV range.

- This difference is seen only in kurtosis.
- Hard to include interactions.
- Not $E_{lab} = 6 14 \text{ AGeV}.$

History of each event is important!

Initial stage is ignored in baseline.

> Non-trivial behaviour

Local conservation is not accounted for!

Onset of QGP formation. String melting / recombination.

Running from problems back to lower energies

14

Azimuthal flow as an observable

15

Connections with Neutron Stars

And connection with neutron star EoS

Same density, different fraction of protons. Obtain symmetry energy from experiment.

Development and tuning of microscopic transport simulations is required to compare theory with the data.

...brings more nuclei into reach, which is important for the r-process during neutron-star mergers and for neutron star crust processes; compresses asymmetric nuclear matter to densities required for experiments relevant to multi-messenger astronomy; enables fast-beam reactions to be done in the optimal energy regime for their interpretation... Long Range Plan 2023

[Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **134**, 104080 (2024)]

Initial state effects in fluctuations: Onset of deconfinement

[Marek Gazdzicki, Mark Gorenstein, Peter Seyboth, Acta Phys.Polon.B42:307-351,2011] 17

Before collision

Scenario 1

Scenario 2

Quark stopping

Case 1: Baryon stopping

Huge suppresion of fluctuations if the transition from baryons to quarks occurs [OS, Physical Review C 111 (2), 024913]

Case 2: Quark stopping

[R. J. Fries, S. A. Bass, B. Muller, C. Nonaka, Phys.Rev.Lett.90:202303,2003]

- picture.

Recombination

• Quark coalescence into hadrons.

• Number of constituent quarks scalling supports this

• Alternatively at high transverse momentum one expects fragmentation of partons.

 Net-proton fluctuations originate from fluctuations of stopped quarks/baryons.

 Coalescence does not modify fluctuations.

Anti-quarks from vacuum Drastically change baryon charge distribution

Hadronic stage

[OS, Physical Review C 111 (2), 024913]

- After recombination baryons undergo diffusion/scattering/momentum smearing
- Because quarks now move in a group of three fluctuations should recalibrate towards hadron resonance gas values
 global conservation baseline

Model vs Data

Global conservation baseline fails to capture trends seen in the data.

Local conservation and fluctuations at stopping stage improve the description.

 Recombination stage and subsequent hadron phase are crucial for modeling fluctuations following initial stage and hydrodynamic expansion.

Memory about initial state dissipates faster for low orders of cumulants.

Signal should survive in kurtosis and higher

At high energies stopping happens on a quark level. Challenge to baryon junction picture?

Highlights t of questions!

- New data from BESII rises a lot of questions!
- Significant difference with global conservation baseline.
- Critical point from lattice is at STAR-FXT energies.
- New facilities are developed at energie Rare Isotope Beams.
- Great potential for discovery, connection with astrophysics and multi-messenger astronomy.
- Non-trivial behavior seen in the BESII can be caused by ignoring memory about initial-state and local charge conservation.
- Transition between baryon and quark charge carriers conveniently matches with energy and captures qualitative (and quantitive) trends seen in the data.

es
$$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$$
 < 7.7 GeV, including Facility for

BACKUP

Model: Final stage

[OS, Physical Review C 111 (2), 024913]

- The equilibration happens faster in lower orders of fluctuations.
- As a consequence scaled variance and skewness can approach baseline values.
- Signal survives in higher cumulants such as kurtosis C_4/C_2 .

Impact of final evolution stage

Final evolution reduces differences between stopping variants

Fluctuations: Global conservation baseline

- (3+1)D viscous hydrodynamics
- Cooper-Frye freeze-out
- Exact global baryon number conservation (Baryon charge sampled over freeze-out hypersurface is fixed)

Lacks: local conservation, baryon stopping fluctuations

[V. Vovchenko, V. Koch, C. Shen, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014904 (2022)]

Fluctuations: Stages of Heavy-Ion Collisions

Low energy - Hadrons from initial to final state

Initial stage

Protons and neutrons

MADAI.us

Fluctuations: Local charge conservation

- UrQMD simulations of pp reactions.
- One observes that x for antiparticles is generally higher than for particles.
- Which means that most of them are more localized compared to particles.

31 [OS, RV Poberezhnyuk, V Vovchenko, MI Gorenstein, Physical Review C 101 (2), 024917]

Fluctuations: Resonances

- At lower energies incoming nucleons can undergo inelastic $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering forming baryon resonances.
- This leads to baryon charge distribution peaked around mid-rapidity.
- Baryon charge is carried by hadrons with integer charge.
- Neutral particles decaying into two charged has the effect similar to pair production.
- Cannot describe high multiplicity events at high energies that requires $2 \rightarrow N$ reactions

Fluctuations: Strings

- At higher energies scattering can be described with strings stretched between quarks inside nucleons.
- This leads to baryon charge distribution being significantly wider.
- Baryon charge is carried by hadrons with integer baryon number?
- At very high energies one can consider baryon junction.

Aside: Baryon junctions vs. String melting

[S.Pratt, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 044910]

- Junctions transfer baryon charge in units of a single baryon.
- Are AuAu collisions different from pp? Are quarks still move as a baryon at very high energy?
- Does string melting introduce individual quarks as charge carriers?

[D. Kharzeev, Phys. Lett. B 378, 238 (1996)]

Model: Final stage

350 Baryons or 1050 quarks		
Everything else	Mid-rapidity	
$1 - \alpha/0.4$ probability for particle to be here	$\alpha/0.4$ probability for particle to be here	
<i>B</i> ₂	B_1	

Joint probability distribution: $P^0(B_1, B_2)$

Characteristic function of the distribution: $F^{0}(k_{1},k_{2}) = \langle e^{i(k_{1}B_{1}+k_{2}B_{2})} \rangle$

Cumulants of joint distribution:

$$\kappa_{1_{1}...1_{n}2_{1}...2_{m}}^{0} = \frac{1}{(n+m)!} \partial_{ik_{1}}^{n} \partial_{ik_{2}}^{m} \ln F^{0}(k_{1},k_{2})|_{k_{1}=0,k_{2}=0}$$

Hadron stage further evolves cumulants!

$$C_{1} = \kappa_{j}^{0} \alpha_{j1}$$

$$C_{2} = \kappa_{j}^{0} \alpha_{j1} (1 - \alpha_{j1}) + \kappa_{jk}^{0} \alpha_{j1} \alpha_{k1}$$

$$C_{3} = \kappa_{j}^{0} \alpha_{j1} (1 - \alpha_{j1}) (1 - 2\alpha_{j1}) + 3\kappa_{jk}^{0} \alpha_{j1} (1 - \alpha_{j1}) \alpha_{k1} + \kappa_{jkl}^{0} \alpha_{k1}$$
...

[OS, Physical Review C 111 (2), 024913]

350 Baryons or 1050 quarks		
Everything else	Mid-rapidity	
B_2	B_1	
	Ĩ	

Effective mapping between cumulants at recombination $\kappa_{1_1...1_n2_1...2_m}^0$ and after hadronic stage C_1, C_2, \cdots

- α_{11} probability for a baryon to be formed inside acceptance and finish there.
- α_{12} probability for a baryon to be formed inside acceptance but exit at the end.
- α_{22} probability for a baryon to be formed outside acceptance and don't be detected.
- α_{21} probability for a baryon to be formed outside acceptance and be detected.

350 Baryons	
Everything else	Mid-rapidity
Baryons coming from or to mid-rapidity δB_2	Baryons coming from outside acceptance or leaving it δB_1

 $\alpha_{j1}\alpha_{k1}\alpha_{l1}$,

36

Models vs Experiment

Acta Phys.Polon.B42:307-351,2011]

