

THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL



Overview of current and proposed searches for double-beta decay*

Reyco Henning U. of North Carolina and Triangle Universities National Laboratory



Overview

- Theoretical Motivation
- US/Canadian-based efforts:
 - MAJORANA
 - EXO
 - SNO+
 - CUORE/NEMO

Flavor Mixing

- Mass eigenstates different than flavor eigenstates.
- ⇒ Propagating neutrinos undergo flavor oscillations.
- Mass to flavor relationship described by neutrino mixing matrix with 5 parameters.

$$\begin{array}{l} \theta_{12} \approx 30^{\circ} \quad \delta = ?\\ \theta_{23} \approx 45^{\circ} \quad \alpha_i = ?\\ \theta_{13} < 10^{\circ} \end{array}$$



Neutrino Masses

Absolute masses weakly constrained, < 1eV.

Relative mass-squared differences known.

Three possible scenarios: Quasi-degenerate, also:



Majorana vs. Dirac

Majorana particles are their own antiparticles.

Dirac particles are not.

No fermions are known to be Majorana.

Experimental evidence consistent with both Majorana or Dirac neutrinos.

Verification difficult due to small neutrino masses and handedness of weak interaction. Ettore Majorana



Paul Dirac



Neutrinoless double-beta decay is the only practical process that can resolve this mystery.

9/1/2009

What is neutrinoless doublebeta decay $(0\nu\beta\beta)$?

$${}^{Z}A \Rightarrow {}^{Z+2}A + 2e^{-}$$
Energetically allowed in
many nuclei.
Prefer nuclei stable against
 β -decay (about 30)

$${}^{Z}\nu\beta\beta$$
: Observed 2nd order weak
process.

$${}^{Z}A \Rightarrow {}^{Z+2}A + 2e^{-} + 2\overline{\nu}_{e}$$

⁷⁶₃₄Se

<u>0+</u>

History

- 1935: Double beta decay postulated by Maria Goeppert-Mayer (assist by Wigner) Phys. Rev. 48 (1935) 512
- **1937**: Ettore Majorana formulates theory with no distinction between v and anti-v. Nuovo Cimento 14 (1937) 171
- **1937**: Giulio Racah suggests zeroneutrino double-beta decay as test for Majorana's theory. *Nuovo Cimento 14 (1937)* 322









Motivation for $0v\beta\beta$ Search

- Implications of discovery:
 - Neutrino is Majorana* (own antiparticle)
 - Total lepton number is not conserved
 - Neutrino has mass* (known)
 - Absolute neutrino mass.
- 0υββ nuclear decay may occur via several processes (SUSY, RH currents,...)
- Canonical example: Exchange^{*} of virtual neutrino

Majorana



* Schechter et al, Phys. Rev. D25, 2951 (1982)

$0\nu\beta\beta$ Rate and Neutrino Mass

$$\left[T_{1/2}^{0\nu}\right]^{-1} = G^{0\nu}(E_0, Z) \left| \left\langle m_{\beta\beta} \right\rangle \right|^2 \left| M^{0\nu} \right|^2$$

 $T^{0
u}_{1/2}$: Half-life

 G^{0v} : Phase Space (Known)

 $M^{0\nu}$: Nuclear Matrix Element (large uncertainty)

$$|\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle| = \left| \sum_{i} |U_{ei}|^2 m_{v_i} e^{i\alpha_i} \right|$$
 Effective Majorana electron neutrino mass*

- \circ 0 $v\beta\beta$ decay can probe **absolute** neutrino mass scale and mixing.
- Current neutrino experiments measure mass squared differences: Δm^2 .

* Assumes $v_{\rm m}$ exchange

9/1/2009

Nuclear Matrix Elements



Nuclear Physics and Background Uncertainties Require Multiple Isotope Program

Combined Mass Limits



Two Comments

Direct search for neutrinos mass via Tritiumdecay experiments (KATRIN) are complementary to neutrinoless double-beta decay searches.

Neutrinos and Cosmology:



Experimental Considerations

- Measure *extremely* rare decay rates : $T_{1/2} \sim 10^{26} - 10^{27}$ years
- Large, highly efficient source mass.
- Extremely low (near-zero) backgrounds in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ peak region-of-interest (ROI): 1 count/t-y after analysis cuts.
- 1. High Q value
- 2. Best possible energy resolution
 - Minimize 0vββ peak ROI to maximize S/B
 - Separate $2\nu\beta\beta/0\nu\beta\beta$



9/1/2009

A Recent Claim

(2004). KKDC used five ⁷⁶Ge crystals, with a total of 10.96 kg of mass, and 71 kg-years of data.

 $T_{1/2} = 1.2 \times 10^{25} \text{ y}$ 0.24 < m_v < 0.58 eV (3 sigma)

Background level depends on intensity fit to other peaks.

A More Recent Claim 6.8 sigma

Neural Net Analysis





9/1/2009

R. Henning, NDM 09, Madison WI



Fotografia di Ettore Majorana tratta dalla tessera universitaria datata 3 novembre 1923.

THE MAJORANA EXPERIMENT

THE MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR, R. JOHNSON THE GERDA EXPERIMENT, A SEARCH FOR NEUTRINOLESS DOUBLE BETA DECAY, D. LENZ

9/1/2009

The MAJORANA Collaboration (Feb. 2009)

Note: Red text indicates students

Oueens



• Los Alamos OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY THE UNIVERSITY THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO UNL of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL

SOUTH CAROLINA.

TENNESSE

WASHINGTON

Osaka University, Osaka, Japan Hiroyasu Ejiri, Ryuta Hazama, Masaharu Nomachi, Shima Tatsuji

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington

Craig Aalseth, James Ely, Tom Farmer, Jim Fast, Eric Hoppe, Brian Hyronimus, Marty Keillor, Jeremy Kephart, Richard T. Kouzes, Harry Miley, John Orrell, Jim Reeves, Bob Thompson, Ray Warner

> Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario Art McDonald

University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta Aksel Hallin

University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois Phil Barbeau, Juan Collar, Nicole Fields, Charles Greenberg,

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina and TUNL Melissa Boswell, Padraic Finnerty, Graham Giovanetti, Reyco Henning, Mark Howe, Michael Akashi-Ronguest, Sean MacMullin, Jacquie Strain, John F. Wilkerson

University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina Frank Avignone, Richard Creswick, Horatio A. Farach, Todd Hossbach

> University of South Dakolta, Vermillion, South Dakota Tina Keller, Dongming Mei, Chao Zhang

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee William Bugg, Yuri Efremenko

University of Washington, Seattle, Washington John Amsbaugh, Tom Burritt, Peter J. Doe Robert Johnson, Michael Marino, Mike Miller, Allan Myers, R. G. Hamish Robertson, Alexis Schubert, Tim Van Wechel

Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, and TUNL James Esterline, Mary Kidd, Werner Tornow

Black Hills State University, Spearfish, SD

Kara Keeter

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

Alexander Barabash, Sergey Konovalov, Igor Vanushin, Vladimir Yumatov

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia Viktor Brudanin, Slava Egorov, K. Gusey, Oleg Kochetov, M. Shirchenko, V. Timkin, E. Yakushev

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California and

the University of California - Berkeley Mark Amman, Marc Bergevin, Yuen-Dat Chan, Jason Detwiler, Brian Fujikawa, Kevin Lesko, James Loach, Paul Luke, Alan Poon, Craig Tull, Kai Vetter, Harold Yaver, Sergio Zimmerman

Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico Steven Elliott, Victor M. Gehman, Vincente Guiseppe, Andrew Hime, Kieth Rielage, Larry Rodriguez, Jan Wouters

North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina and TUNL Henning Back, Lance Leviner, Albert Young

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee Jim Beene, Fred Bertrand, Thomas V. Cianciolo, Ren Cooper, David Radford, Krzysztof Rykaczewski, Robert Varner, Chang-Hong Yu

9/1/2009

Ge Detection Principle

- Fiorini et al, Il Nuovo Cimento 13 (1974) 747
- enrGe is semiconductor -- Diode.
- Ionizing radiation creates electronhole pairs.
- Signal generated by collecting electrons and holes.
- Gamma-ray spectroscopy

Mature Technology

Gammasphere







R. Henning, NDM 09, Madison WI

CI

Ionizing radiation

interaction site

Commercial

electrons

holes



Crystal Production

Enrichment (86% ⁷⁶Ge)



Polycrystalline bars





E.E Haller Crystal growth



An ultra-pure Germanium single crystal is being "pulled" from a melt contained in a silica crucible at 936°C. The atmosphere is pure Hydrogen. Heat is supplied by the water cooled nadiofrequency (RF) coil surrounding the silica envelope. This bulk crystal growth technique carries the name of it's inventor, "Jan Czochralski."

Zone refinement



R. Henning, NDM 09, Madison WI

9/1/2009

MAJORANA Collaboration Goals

Actively pursuing the development of R&D aimed at a ~I tonne scale ⁷⁶Ge $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay experiment.

- -Technical goal: Demonstrate background low enough to justify building a tonne scale Ge experiment.
- -Science goal: build a prototype module to test the recent claim of an observation of $0\nu\beta\beta$. This goal is a litmus test of any proposed technology.
- -Work cooperatively with GERDA Collaboration to prepare for a single international tonne-scale Ge experiment that combines the best technical features of MAJORANA and GERDA.
- -Pursue longer term R&D to minimize costs and optimize the schedule for a 1-tonne experiment.

Intermediate Step: The MAJORANA Demonstrator Module

⁷⁶Ge offers an excellent combination of capabilities & sensitivities.

(Excellent energy resolution, intrinsically clean detectors, commercial technologies, best $0_{\nu\beta\beta}$ sensitivity to date)

- 60-kg of Ge detectors
 - 30-kg of 86% enriched ⁷⁶Ge crystals required for science goal; 30-kg ^{nat}Ge for background sensitivity
 - Examine detector technology options
 p- and n-type, segmentation, point-contact.

Low-background Cryostats & Shield

- ultra-clean, electroformed Cu
- naturally scalable
- Compact low-background passive Cu and Pb shield with active muon veto
- 'CD-1 like' review in 10/2009.
- Background Goal in the 0vββ peak region of interest (4 keV at 2039 keV) ~ 1 count/ROI/t-y (after analysis cuts)





Direct Search for Light WIMPs



- CoGeNT Collaboration Demonstrated Very low Threshold HPGe Detectors
- Sensitivity to light WIMPS
 - MAJORANA can probe this region further

Phys.Rev.Lett.101: 251301, 2008

MAJORANA R&D for 1-t $\beta\beta$

Materials and Assay



Infrastructure



Prototypes



Simulation and Analysis



9/1/2009

MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR Module Sensitivity

Expected Sensitivity to $0\nu\beta\beta$ (30 kg enriched material, running 3 years, or 0.09 t-y of ⁷⁶Ge exposure)

 $T_{1/2} \ge 10^{26}$ y (90% CL).Sensitivity to $< m_v > < 140$ meV (90% CL) [Rod05,err.]



1-tonne Ge - Projected Sensitivity vs. Background

Goal is to achieve ultra-low backgrounds <1 count per ton of material per year in the Region of Interest (ROI)



24

Sanford Lab

Intermediate phase of DUSEL





EXO: ENRICHED XENON OBSERVATORY

EXO Collaboration

K.Barry, E.Niner, A.Piepke Physics Dept., U. of Alabama, Tuscaloosa AL, USA P.Vogel Physics Dept., Caltech, Pasadena CA, USA A.Bellerive, M.Bowcock, M.Dixit, K.Graham, C.Hargrove, E.Rollin, D.Sinclair, V.Strickland Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada C.Benitez-Medina, S.Cook, W.Fairbank Jr., K.Hall, **B.Mong** Colorado State U., Fort Collins CO, USA M.Moe Physics Dept., UC Irvine, Irvine CA, USA D.Akimov, I.Alexandrov, A.Burenkov, M.Danilov, A.Dolgolenko, A.Karelin, A.Kovalenko, A.Kuchenkov, V.Stekhanov, O.Zeldovich **ITEP Moscow**, Russia B.Aharmin, K.Donato, J.Farine, D.Hallman, U.Wichoski Laurentian U., Canada

H.Breuer, C.Hall, L.Kaufman, D.Leonard, S.Slutsky, YR.Yen U. of Maryland, College Park MD, USA K.Kumar. A.Pocar U. of Massachusetts, Amherst, Amherst MA, USA M.Auger, G.Giroux, R.Gornea, F.Juget, G.Lutter, JL. Vuilleumier Laboratory for High Energy Physics, Bern, Switzerland N.Ackerman, M.Breidenbach, R.Conley, W.Craddock, S.Herrin, J.Hodgson, D.Mackay, A.Odian, C.Prescott, P.Rowson, K.Skarpaas, J.Wodin, L.Yang, S.Zalog SLAC, Menlo Park CA, USA L.Bartoszek, R.Cooper, R.DeVoe, M.Dolinski, B.Flatt, G.Gratta, M.Green, F.LePort, M.Montero-Diez, R.Neilson, A.Reimer-Muller, A.Rivas, K.O'Sullivan, K.Twelker Physics Dept., Stanford University, Stanford CA USA

EXO Overview

- Detect ionization and • scintillation from liquid Xe
- Have 200kg Xe • enriched to 80% ¹³⁶Xe
- **Building 200kg** prototype
- Install at WIPP
- Start with natural Xe • in 2010
- Achieve 6x10²⁵ yr. •
- Scale to ~10 ton •



EXO-200 LXe TPC





APD Plane and Grid

9/1/2009

Ultra-low activity Cu vessel



EXO APD Plane

- Extensive R&D to reduce component backgrounds
- APD clean & lightweight
- Sensitive to VUV



EXO 200 Detector



Waste Isolation Pilot Plant



- Carlsbad, NM
- 1500 mwe
- Salt, low radioactivity

9/1/2009

Ba tagging R&D

- M. Moe PRC44 (1991)
 931
- ¹³⁶Ba⁺ final state can be identified using optical spectroscopy.
- Provides almost background free confirmation.





SNO+



SNO+ Collaboration

University of Alberta: A. Bialek, P. Gorel, A. Hallin, M. Hedayatipoor, C. Krauss Brookhaven National Laboratory: R. Hahn, Y. Williamson, M. Yeh Dresden University of Technology: K. Zuber Idaho State University: J. Heise, K. Keeter, J. Popp, E. Tatar, C. Taylor Laurentian University: O. Chkvorets, E.D. Hallman, S. Korte, M. Schumaker, C. Virtue University of Leeds: S. Bradbury, J. Rose LIP Lisbon: S. Andringa, N. Barros, J. Maneira University of North Carolina: M. Howe, J. Wikerson University of Oxford: S. Biller, N. Jelley, P. Jones, A. Reichold, J. Wilson-Hawke University of Pennsylvania: E. Beier, R. Bonaventure, W.J. Heintzelman, J. Klein, G. Orebi Gann, J. Secrest, T. Shokair Queen's University: M. Boulay, M. Chen, X. Dai, E. Guillian, P.J. Harvey, C. Kraus, X. Liu, A. McDonald, H.O'Keeffe, E. O'Sullivan, P. Skensved, A. Wright SNOLAB: B. Cleveland, F. Duncan, R. Ford, C.J. Jillings, I. Lawson, E. Vazquez Jauregui University of Sussex: A. Baxter, E. Falk-Harris, S. Fernandes, J. Hartnell, S. Peeters University of Washington: J. Kaspar, J. Nance, N. Tolich, H. Wan Chan Tseung



SNO+

- Use existing SNO PMTs and Acrylic Vessel
- 6000 mwe
- Physics Goals
 - Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay
 - Solar Neutrinos
 - Reactor Anti-neutrinos
 - Geo Neutrinos
 - Supernova Neutrinos

9/1/2009

¹⁵⁰Nd SNO+ Summary

- Stable Nd-loaded liquid scintillator
- Developed acceptable purification techniques to remove Th and Ra from Nd
- Physics sensitivity
 - below 100 meV (using natural Nd)
 - below to 30 meV (using enriched Nd)
- SNO+ plans to deploy 0.1% natural Nd-loaded liquid scintillator for the first phase



56 kg of 150 Nd and <m_v> = 100 meV



- 6.4% FWHM at Qvalue
- 3 years livetime
- 5σ sensitivity
- Dominant background is ⁸B solar neutrinos!

SNO+ Rope Hold Down Net



Inspecting the SNO Cavity



9/1/2009

SNO to SNO+ Transition Activities

- >\$10M proposal submitted to CFI LEF/NIF competition: October 2008
- Approved in June 2009.
- Commissioning and data taking in 2011
- Inspections inside the acrylic vessel



R. Henning, NDM 09, Madison WI

SNO+ ββ **Sensitivity**





Conclusion

North America has three competitive DBD experiments utilizing a rich variety of experimental approaches.





Refinements to the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR

-Concentrate on P-PC Detectors.

- Advantages of cost and simplicity, with no loss of physics reach.
- Will continue N-SC R&D.
- -Additional physics opportunities with low-energy P-PC detectors.
 - Exploits low-energy thresholds (~100 eV threshold) of P-PC detectors
- -Several Prototypes in hand. 18 Additional ^{nat}Ge detectors ordered (LANL).





• First module of DEMONSTRATOR

9/1/2009