Project 8: a radiofrequency approach to the neutrino mass

Ben Monreal, UC Santa Barbara

Project 8 collaboration

- UCSB: Ben Monreal, Michelle Leber, Matthew Bahr
- MIT: Joe Formaggio, Noah Oblath
- University of Washington: Hamish Robertson, Peter Doe, Leslie Rosenberg, Michael Miller, Gray Rybka, Brent Vandevender, Laura Bodine
- NRAO: Rich Bradley

BM & JF, Phys. Rev. D 80, 051301(R) (2009)







Cyclotron radiation

- accelerating charge = EM radiation
- Coherent, narrowband
- High power per electron

$$P_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2q^2\omega_c^2}{3c} \frac{\beta_1^2}{1-\beta^2}$$

- Electron energy contributes to velocity ν, power P, frequency ω
 - Can we detect this radiation, measure v, P, ω, and determine E ± I eV?

$$\omega = \frac{qB}{\gamma mc^2}$$



Complications

- Frequency resolution? long mean free path
- Doppler shift? no conceptual problem, just bandwidth
- Magnetic field uniformity? OK, just analysis problem
- Background from low-E betas? surprisingly little effect so far
- Available power fine in theory, but prototype will tell



Frequency

- Schawlow: "Never measure anything but frequency"
- $f \cdot \Delta E/E \sim \Delta f = 1/\Delta t$
- I eV energy resolution
 - $\Delta f / f = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ (easy!)
 - $\Delta t = 20 \mu s$ (hard!)
 - $\beta c \cdot \Delta t = 1400$ meters





















B-field nonuniformity

- Radiation is coherent but not monochromatic
- Fourier transform template matching
- How many templates?
 - one per ROI energy bin
 - one per pitch angle (?)
 - one per starting position in B field N = $(\Delta B/B)/(\Delta E/m)$
- Template size ~ I-10 kb?





Scaling laws

 $R = 10^{10} (\frac{L}{10m})^2 (\frac{r}{1m})^2 (\frac{B}{1T})^2 (\frac{\Delta E}{1eV})^2 \text{ decays/second}$

$$bg = 10^{12} \left(\frac{Lr^2}{10m^3}\right) \left(\frac{B}{1T}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta E}{1eV}\right) \text{ decays/second}$$

I) set pressure to allow mean free path consistent with dE

2) length of tube determines what pitch angles have a long enough path to be seen

3) volume determines total rate

Still hard to translate this into an experiment sensitivity.

Resolution function shape, shape systematics, background are all very important.

- A I-eV-resolution experiment will see this power in a 50kHz band
- noise power = $6 \times 10^{-19} W/K$
- noise RMS = 6×10^{-21} W/K
- 4K experiment seems possible

Systematics

- Magnet inhomogeneity and drift: should respond well to source calibrations
- e-T and <u>e-wall</u> scattering
 - a) Run at low density
 - b) Each scattering event shifts/broadens the cyclotron frequency
 - c) fiducialize?

- Full differential spectrum; no first-order correction for source strength
- No electrostatics; source is grounded
- <u>T₂ molecular final state</u> = irreducible 0.3 eV (+/-0.01 eV?) blurring of endpoint

UW prototype

Conclusions

- UCSB: Ben Monreal
- MIT: Joe Formaggio, Asher Kaboth
- University of Washington: Hamish Robertson, Peter Doe, Leslie Rosenberg, Michael Miller, Gray Rybka, Brent Vandevender, Adam Cox, Michelle Leber, Laura Bodine
- NRAO: Rich Bradley

BM & JF, arxiv:0904.2860, shortly in PRD