Probing dark matter with AGN jets

Lorenzo Ubaldi

SCIPP & Department of Physics University of California, Santa Cruz

May 10, 2010

Pheno 2010

Work in collaboration with Mikhail Gorshteyn¹ and Stefano Profumo²

¹Indiana University, Bloomington

²SCIPP and Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Cruz

AGN candidates

The idea

- Original idea by Bloom & Wells (98).
- Active Galactic Nuclei live in the densest regions of the largest dark matter halos and are sources of powerful and collimated jets containing ultra relativistic electrons and protons.
- Study the scattering of those high energy particles off of the dark matter present in the AGN halo with photons in the final state.
- If dark matter is heavy, the photons will be isotropically distributed, and if they have a distinct spectral feature we can hope to detect a signal.
- Fermi has potential for such a detection.

個人 くほん くほん 一足

AGN candidates

AGN candidates

Requisites for the AGN (if we hope to detect a signal)

- Close by
- Jets perpendicular to the line of sight

Centaurus A and **M87** seem to be the best candidates.



DM distribution Electrons in the jet Differential cross section

Photon flux

We are interested in the flux of photons

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}} = \int dE_{e[p]} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{M} \frac{d^2 \sigma_{e[p]+\chi \to \gamma+\dots}}{d\Omega dE_{\gamma}}\right)_{\cos \theta_{0}}}_{1} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{d_{AGN}^2} \frac{d\Phi_{e[p]}^{AGN}}{dE_{e[p]}}\right)}_{2} \underbrace{\delta_{DM}}_{3}.$$

Three factors in the integrand

- Differential cross section (depends on the DM particle model);
- 2 Electron (proton) energy distribution in the jet;
- **3** $\delta_{\rm DM} = \int_{r_{min}}^{r_0} \rho_{\rm DM}(r) dr$, which involves the DM profile.

<ロ> <同> <同> <同> <同> <同> <同> <同> <

> 10 10

1016

DM distribution

r [pc] 10^{-2} 10

Dark matter profile

We use an adiabatically contracted profile [Gondolo & Silk 99]



^e 2d 10¹⁴ 2d 10¹² ^{MI} 10¹² ^{MI} 10¹⁰ $\langle \sigma v \rangle_0 = 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}, \ t_{_{\rm BH}} = 10^8 \text{ yr}$ $\langle \sigma v \rangle_0 = 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}, t_{BH} = 10^{10} \text{ yr}$ $\langle \sigma v \rangle_n = 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}, t_{BH} = 10^8 \text{ yr}$ 10 10¹² 1012 $\begin{smallmatrix} 10^1 \\ 9^{\text{DM}} \begin{bmatrix} W^{\text{sm}} \\ M^{\text{sm}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 10^1 \\ 0^1 \end{bmatrix}$ 10 10¹⁰ δ_{nw} [M 108 10 10 0.5 100 1000 r_{min} / R_s γ

10

Centaurus A

 $\langle \sigma v \rangle_0 = 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}, t_{BH} = 10^{10} \text{ yr}$

3

where

$$ho_{
m core} \simeq M_{\chi}/(\langle \sigma v \rangle_0 t_{BH})$$

DM distribution Electrons in the jet Differential cross section

Electron energy distribution

Blob geometry: the electrons move isotropically in the blob frame with a power law energy distribution, and the blob itself moves with respect to the central black hole with a moderate bulk Lorentz factor.

Broken power law in the blob frame

$$\frac{d\Phi_e^{\mathrm{AGN}}}{d\gamma'}(\gamma') = \frac{1}{2}k_e\gamma'^{-s_1}\left[1 + \left(\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'_{\mathrm{br}}}\right)^{s_2-s_1}\right]^{-1} \quad \mathrm{for} \quad \gamma'_{\mathrm{min}} \leq \gamma' \leq \gamma'_{\mathrm{max}},$$

where the normalization k_e is obtained from the kinetic power of the jet, L_e .

Values for CenA: $s_1 = 1.8, s_2 = 3.5, \gamma'_{min} = 8 \times 10^2, \gamma'_{br} = 4 \times 10^5, \gamma'_{max} = 10^8, L_e = 3 \times 10^{43}$ erg/s.

DM distribution Electrons in the jet Differential cross section

Differential cross section

Assume MSSM \rightarrow neutralino is the dark matter particle candidate



Two enhancements for the cross section

- Resonance when the exchanged selectron goes on shell;
- Log enhancement when the photon is collinear with the final electron.

< 🗇

DM distribution Electrons in the jet Differential cross section

Differential cross section

$$\left(\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{dE_{\gamma}d\Omega}\right)_{\cos\theta_{0}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{5}}\frac{1}{32E_{N}'}2e^{2}(a_{L}^{4}+a_{R}^{4})\underbrace{\frac{1}{(s-M_{\tilde{e}}^{2})^{2}+s\Gamma^{2}}}_{I}\left[E_{\gamma}\left(M_{\chi}+E(1-\cos\theta_{0})\right)-|\Pi_{s'}|^{2}\left(s'-M_{\tilde{e}}^{2}+\frac{\sqrt{s'}\Gamma^{2}}{\sqrt{s}+\sqrt{s'}}\right)\right] \\
4EM_{\chi}\left(EM_{\chi}-E_{\gamma}\left(M_{\chi}+E(1-\cos\theta_{0})\right)\right] \\
\underbrace{\pi\ln\left(\frac{4E'^{2}}{m_{e}^{2}}\right)}_{\text{log enhancement}}$$

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Results



Lorenzo Ubaldi

Probing dark matter with AGN jets



With a reasonable choice of the parameters we get a curve that fits quite nicely the data collected by Fermi



э

3

Conclusions

- Given the very characteristic spectral feature shown in the plots, the detection of this effect is possible in principle.
- It is crucial to collect more data in the "gap": higher energy for Fermi, lower energy for atmospheric cherenkov telescopes.
- In 1998 Bloom and Wells concluded that there was no hope to detect such a signal. After twelve years we have a different conclusion: there is hope!
- There are still large astrophysical uncertainties associated with jet geometry and composition, particle spectrum and dark matter distribution.
- This method can be used as a cross check if discovery of dark matter is claimed by other experiments.
- Preprint of the paper to appear soon, stay tuned.