MEASUREMENT OF THE PROMPT DI-PHOTON PRODUCTION CROSS SECTION AT CDF



Costas Vellidis (*Fermilab*) on behalf of the CDF Collaboration

PHENO 2010 Madison WI, May 11, 2010



# Introduction

- $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  is main low mass discovery channel at the LHC, can also be examined at the Tevatron
- SM γγ production is irreducible background in Higgs search (and in exotics searches such as extra dimensions, SUSY, ...)
- CDF is a great place to measure the γγ cross section and check recent theoretical predictions (backgrounds relatively low, detector well understood)
- Last such measurement performed with a small sample of only ~200/pb (D. Acosta et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 022003 (2005)), now ~5.4/fb available

# **Experimental environment**

p p collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV

#### FERMILAB'S ACCELERATOR CHAIN



#### Tracking:

- Drift chamber  $|\eta| < 1$ measures charged particle  $P_{\tau}$
- Silicon tracker allows precision vertex detection  $|\eta| < 2$

- Calorimeter split in EM and HAD devices  $|\eta| < 3.6$
- Shower maximum

#### detector in EM cal



Muon chambers outside calorimeter • coverage  $|\eta| < 1.5$ 3

### SM yy production in diagrams



(a – e): Direct (a): LO (b,e) also modeled in LO parton showering programs

(f – g): Single –  $\gamma$  fragmentations important at high  $P_T$  where hard brems most likely occurs Missing double –  $\gamma$  fragmentations

(h – l): gg scattering (i – l) suppressed by higher  $O(\alpha_s)$ but can become important for high gluon luminosity (e.g. at the LHC)

#### Event selection: kinematic and isolation cuts

Variable	Cut
Leading photon $p_{T1}$	≥ 17 GeV/c
Subleading photon $p_{T2}$	≥ 15 GeV/c
Photon rapidity $ y_{1,2} $	≤ 1
Calorimeter isolation $E_T$	≤ 2 GeV
Radius of isolation cone R	0.4

# Signal (prompt) and background photons





Estimated signal fraction (or purity) in the inclusive photon data using the track isolation:

$$trkISO = \sum_{tracks}^{r < 0.4} P_T < 1 \text{ GeV/c}$$

- Immune to multiple interactions & calorimeter leakage
- Better resolution at low photon  $E_T$
- Therefore, smaller systematic uncertainty

7

#### **Background subtraction method**

Define a photon purity in the single photon sample using the track isolation:

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\gamma}} \frac{\varepsilon_{i} - \varepsilon_{b}}{\varepsilon_{s} - \varepsilon_{b}} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{i} = 1 & \text{if} \quad trkISO < 1 \text{ GeV/c} \\ \varepsilon_{i} = 0 & \text{if} \quad trkISO > 1 \text{ GeV/c} \\ \varepsilon_{s} = \text{ signal efficiency for } trkISO < 1 \text{ GeV/c} \\ \varepsilon_{b} = \text{ background efficiency for } trkISO < 1 \text{ GeV/c} \end{cases}$$

and extend the definition to diphoton events, fully accounting for  $\gamma\gamma$  correlations, by solving a system of 4 equations for the numbers of events with signal ( $\gamma$ ) or background (*b*) photons passing (*p*) or failing (*f*) the track isolation cut:

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_{ff} \\ N_{fp} \\ N_{pf} \\ N_{pf} \\ N_{pp} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (1-\epsilon_{b1})(1-\epsilon_{b2}) & (1-\epsilon_{b1})(1-\epsilon_{\gamma 2}) & (1-\epsilon_{\gamma 1})(1-\epsilon_{b2}) & (1-\epsilon_{\gamma 1})(1-\epsilon_{\gamma 2}) \\ (1-\epsilon_{b1})\epsilon_{b2} & (1-\epsilon_{b1})\epsilon_{\gamma 2} & (1-\epsilon_{\gamma 1})\epsilon_{b2} & (1-\epsilon_{\gamma 1})\epsilon_{\gamma 2} \\ \epsilon_{b1}(1-\epsilon_{b2}) & \epsilon_{b1}(1-\epsilon_{\gamma 2}) & \epsilon_{\gamma 1}(1-\epsilon_{b2}) & \epsilon_{\gamma 1}(1-\epsilon_{\gamma 2}) \\ \epsilon_{b1}\epsilon_{b2} & \epsilon_{b1}\epsilon_{\gamma 2} & \epsilon_{\gamma 1}\epsilon_{b2} & \epsilon_{\gamma 1}\epsilon_{\gamma 2} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} N_{bb} \\ N_{b\gamma} \\ N_{\gamma b} \\ N_{\gamma \gamma} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Choice of variables for cross section plotting

The fully differential diphoton cross section

 $d\sigma$  $\frac{d \varphi}{dMdP_{T} dYd \cos \theta_{*} d\varphi_{*}}$ 

points to natural selection of kinematic variables:

 $\checkmark$  Diphoton mass M, transverse momentum  $P_{\tau}$  and rapidity Y

 $\checkmark$  Leading photon spherical polar angles (cos $\theta_*, \varphi_*$ ) in the Collins-Soper frame or, alternatively, rapidity  $\Delta y$  & azimuth  $\Delta \phi$  differences between the 2 photons

Spectra of those variables are examined under 3 different conditions:

□ No cut

 $\Box$  For  $M < P_{\tau}$  (enhances fragmentation effects)

 $\Box$  For  $M > P_{\tau}$  (resembles conditions of heavy particle decay, e.g. Higgs $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ )

The measured cross section  $\frac{d\sigma}{dX} = \frac{N_{bin}^{signal}}{\varepsilon \cdot L \cdot \Delta X_{bin}}$  is plotted against a single

variable X with the other four variables integrated out

# **Kinematics**

$\left y_{1,2}\right  \leq 1$	$\Rightarrow \left  \Delta_{y} \le 2 \right $	,		
$0 \le \Delta \varphi \le$	$\leq \pi$			
$\Delta_R = \sqrt{(}$	$(\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta q)$	$\overline{p_{j}}^{2} \geq R_{iso} = 0.4 \implies (\vec{p}_{1}, \vec{p}_{2}) \geq$	20 <sup>°</sup>	
$M^{2} = 2$	$p_{T1}p_{T2}(\cos l)$	$\Delta_y - \cos \Delta \varphi$ $\Rightarrow M \ge R_{iso}$	$\sqrt{p_{T1}^{\min} p_{T2}^{\min}} \cong 6 \text{ GeV/c}^{-2}$	
$P_T^2 = p_T^2$	$p_{11}^2 + p_{12}^2 + 2$	$2 p_{T1} p_{T2} \cos \Delta \varphi$		
$\cos \theta_* \approx$	$\tanh \frac{\Delta_y}{2}$	for $P_T \to 0 \& \Delta_y \to 0$	$\theta_*$ is the leading photon pola angle in the Collins-Soper fraction $f_{\rm coll}$	ar ame
		$\Delta_y = 0$	$\Delta_y = \pm 2$	
	$\Delta \varphi = 0$	$M = 0  (m > 6 \text{ GeV/c}^{-2})$ $P_T = p_{T1} + p_{T2}$	$M \approx 2\sqrt{p_{T1}p_{T2}}$ $P_T = p_{T1} + p_{T2}$	
	$\Delta \varphi = \pi$	$M = 2\sqrt{p_{T1}p_{T2}} P_{T} =  p_{T1} - p_{T2} $	$M \approx 3\sqrt{p_{T1}p_{T2}}$ $P_T = \left  p_{T1} - p_{T2} \right $	

### Theoretical models compared with the data

> Pythia 6.2.16 LO model including parton showering and realistic underlying event

T. Sjostrand, P. Eden, C. Friberg, L. Lombard, G. Miu, S. Mrenna, E. Norrbin, Comp. Phys. Comm. **15**, 28 (2001)

Diphox 1.2 fixed-order NLO model including 1- and 2-single photon fragmentations

T. Binoth, J. P. Guillet, E. Pilon, M. Werlen, Eur. Phys. J. C16, 11 (2000);
T. Binoth, J. P. Guillet, E. Pilon, M. Werlen, Phys. Rev. D63, 114016 (2001);
L. Bourhis, M. Fontannaz, J. P. Guillet, Eur. Phys. J. C2, 529 (1998) (fragmentations)

> ResBos  $P_{\tau}$ -resummed NNLL matched to NLO model

C. Balazs, E. L. Berger, P. Nadolsky, C.-P. Yuan, Phys. Lett. **D637**, 235 (2006);
C. Balazs, E. L. Berger, P. Nadolsky, C.-P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 013008 (2007);
C. Balazs, E. L. Berger, P. Nadolsky, C.-P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 013009 (2007);



The cross section vs. the diphoton mass



(Data - theory)/theory vs. the diphoton mass



(Data - theory)/theory vs. the diphoton mass for Higgs - like kinematics



The cross section vs. the diphoton transverse momentum



(Data - theory)/theory vs. the diphoton transverse momentum



(Data – theory)/theory vs. the diphoton transverse momentum for Higgs – like kinematics



The cross section vs. the diphoton azimuthal distance



(Data - theory)/theory vs. the diphoton azimuthal distance



(Data – theory)/theory vs. the diphoton azimuthal distance for Higgs – like kinematics

# Summary & conclusions

- The γγ production cross section is measured using 5.4 fb<sup>-1</sup> of CDF data using a new background subtraction method, based on the track isolation, which minimizes systematics
- Spectra of several variables examined for various kinematic conditions
- Comparison with LO theory (+initial/final state radiation) shows disagreement with the data
- Comparison with NLO theory (fixed-order or resummed) shows that it does not describe adequately all aspects of the data
- Theory developments could possibly include NNLO terms and double-photon fragmentations (to improve small-angle diphoton spectrum predictions)
- These developments will be important for advanced searches of new physics in the γγ channel using all of the event information (e.g. NN, BDT, ...) at the Tevatron and the LHC