

PHENO SYMPOSIUM, Madison, WI, May, 2

Measuring a Light (Dark Ma Neutralino Mass at the IL

Herbi Dreiner

Universität Bonn

&

SCIPP, University of California, Santa

MSSM Neutralino Mixir

• MSSM Neutralino Mass Mixing Matrix in $(\tilde{B}, \tilde{W}^3, \tilde{W}^3)$

$$\mathcal{M}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{1} & 0 & -M_{z} \cos \beta \sin \theta_{u} \\ 0 & M_{2} & M_{z} \cos \beta \cos \theta_{w} \\ -M_{z} \cos \beta \sin \theta_{w} & M_{z} \cos \beta \cos \theta_{w} & 0 \\ M_{z} \sin \beta \sin \theta_{w} & -M_{z} \sin \beta \cos \theta_{w} & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

• What do we know about the Mass of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$?

Experimental Search at L

- Chargino Search: $M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} > 94 \, {
 m GeV} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\mu|, \, M_2 \stackrel{>}{\sim} 1$
- Higgs search: $\tan \beta \stackrel{>}{\sim} 1.5$
- Assume: $M_1 = \frac{5}{3} \tan^2 \theta_w M_2 \Rightarrow M_1 \stackrel{>}{\sim} 50 \, \text{GeV}$
- Insert into Neutralino Mass Matrix: $\Rightarrow M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \gtrsim 4$

• Now drop above assumption on M_1, M_2

Massless Neutralino

• Set det(\mathcal{M}_0)=0 \Rightarrow $M_1 = \frac{M_2 M_Z^2 \sin(2\beta) s_W^2}{\mu M_2 - M_Z^2 \sin(2\beta) c_W^2}$

• Choose: $\{M_2, \mu, \tan\beta\} \Rightarrow \exists M_1 : M_{\chi_1^0} = 0$

• Some fine-tuning required

$$M_1 \approx \frac{M_Z^2 \sin(2\beta) s_W^2}{\mu} \approx 2.5 \text{ GeV}\left(\frac{10}{\tan\beta}\right) \left(\frac{150 \text{ G}}{\mu}\right)$$

 $\bullet \Longrightarrow M_{\chi^0_1} = 0$ consistent in MSSM

$M_{\chi_1^0} = 0$ consistent with all la

• Invisible Z^0 —width

- $e^+e^- \longrightarrow \chi_1^0 \chi_1^0 \gamma$
- $e^+e^- \longrightarrow \chi_2^0\chi_1^0; \qquad \chi_2^0 \to Z^0\chi_1^0; \qquad Z^0 \to q\bar{q}$
- Precision Observables ($\delta \Gamma_{inv}, \ \delta \Gamma_Z, \ M_W, \ \delta a_\mu, \ EDM$
- Monojets
- Rare Meson Decays
- Supernova Cooling
- Dark Matter: Lee–Weinberg bound: $M_{\chi_1^0} \stackrel{>}{\sim} 6 G$ Cowsik–McClellan bound: $M_{\chi_1^0} \stackrel{>}{\sim} 0.7$

Publications

• A Supersymmetric solution to the KARMEN time anomaly

D. Choudhury, HD, P. Richardson, Subir Sarkar Phys. Rev. D61:095009,2000; e-Print: hep-ph/9911365

- Supernovae and light neutralinos: SN1987A bounds on supernovae
 HD, C. Hanhart, U. Langenfeld, D.R. Phillips
 Phys. Rev. D68:055004,2003; e-Print: hep-ph/0304289
- Discovery potential of radiative neutralino production at the HD, O. Kittel, U. Langenfeld Phys. Rev. D74:115010,2006; e-Print: hep-ph/0610020

• Mass Bounds on a Very Light Neutralino

HD, S. Heinemeyer, O. Kittel, U. Langenfeld, A.M. Weber, G Eur.Phys.J.C62,2009; e-Print: arXiv:0901.3485

• Rare Meson Decays to a Light neutralino

HD, S. Grab, D. Koschade, M. Krämer, U. Langenfeld, B. O'L Phys. Rev. D80:035018,2009

Measuring a Light Neutralino Mass

Work in progress with Bonn group: John Conley, HD, Peter W



Electron Energy Distribut



Simple Kinematics

• θ_0 : angle between $\vec{p}(e)$ in slepton rest-frame and $\vec{p}(e)$

$$E_e = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{4} \left(1 - \frac{M_{\chi_1^0}^2}{M_{\tilde{e}}^2} \right) \left(1 + \beta_{\tilde{e}} \cos \theta_0 \right) \,, \qquad \beta_{\tilde{e}}$$

• Max/Min Electron energy: E_{\pm} for $\cos \theta_0 = \pm 1$

Solve for the SUSY Masses

• Measure E_+ and E_- : thus determine $M_{\chi^0_1}$

Neutralino Mass Sensitiv

$$E_{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{4} \left(1 - \frac{M_{1}^{2}}{M_{\tilde{e}}^{2}} \right) \left(1 \pm \beta_{\tilde{e}} \right), \qquad \beta_{\tilde{e}} = \sqrt{1}$$

• Detailed ILC study by Uli Martyn for heavy neutra

$$\frac{\Delta M_{\chi^0_1}}{M_{\chi^0_1}} < 0.2\%$$

•
$$E_{\pm}$$
 depends on $rac{M_{\chi_1^0}^2}{M_{\tilde{e}}^2} \implies$ Expect less sensitivity
 $M_{\chi_1^0} \ll M_{\tilde{e}} \quad \text{ or as } \quad M_{\chi_1^0} \longrightarrow 0$



Work in Progress: Simple Sin

- Do not consider full detector simulation. Instead s
- Consider $\tilde{e}_R^- \tilde{e}_R^+$ and $\tilde{\mu}_R^- \tilde{\mu}_R^+$ -Production
- $\tilde{e}_R^- \tilde{e}_R^+$ dominant
- $\sqrt{s} = 500 \, \mathrm{GeV}$
- Beam polarisations $(\mathcal{P}_{e^-}, \mathcal{P}_{e^+}) = (+80\%, -60\%)$

• Include Beam Strahlung: $\sqrt{s} \longrightarrow \sqrt{s'} < \sqrt{s}$

This smears out the E_{\pm} -edges

Further Details of Simula

Approximate detector resolutions

$$\Delta \left(\frac{1}{p_T}\right) = 1 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \qquad \text{(tra}$$
$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} = \frac{0.166}{\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}}} \oplus 0.011 \qquad \text{(EC}$$

• Smear electron energy according to minimum of t

- For muons always choose momentum resolution
- This further smoothes out the edges
- We then fit the edges using basically the convolution and an upward or downward step function.

Fit Functions

$$f_{-}(E) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{1}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E < \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - E}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - E}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - E}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - E}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - E}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - E}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) + 1 \right] & : E > \frac{1}{2} \left[erf(\frac{E - E}{\sqrt{2$$

$$f_{+}(E) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{erfc}(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{1}^{-}}) \right] & : \quad E < \hat{E}_{-} \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{erfc}(\frac{E - \hat{E}_{-}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}^{-}}) \right] & : \quad E > \hat{E}_{-} \end{cases}$$

$$\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$$
$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = 1 - \operatorname{erf}(x)$$

• $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$ because of asymmetric beam strahlung

Results

- Reproduced detailed detector study by Uli Martyn and $\sqrt{s} = 400 \,\text{GeV}$ to within $\pm 30\%$
- Used this as a systematic error for our analysis of



• $\sqrt{s} = 500 \, \mathrm{GeV}$

• Integrated Luminosity: $\int \mathcal{L}dt = 250 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$

Summary & Conclusion

- A massless neutralino is consistent with all data
- \bullet For $M_{\tilde{e}_R}=100\,{\rm GeV}$ can measure $M_{\chi^0_1}$ down to les
- For $M_{\tilde{e}_R} = 200 \, {\rm GeV}$ can measure $M_{\chi^0_1}$ down to about
- Implications for Dark Matter



