Measurement of the Top Pair Production Cross Section in the Dilepton Decay Channel at CDF

> Chang-Seong Moon (Seoul National University)

> > on behalf of The CDF Collaboration



PHENO 2010 SYMPOSIUM (May 10-12, 2010)





Table of Contents



- Motivation
- Dilepton Event Selection
- Z boson cross section check
- Signal & Background Estimation
- Calculation of Cross Section
- Results





Motivation



Top pair decay in dilepton channel.

- Dilepton(ee+eμ+μμ) channel has about 5% branching ratio in top pair decay.
- The cleanest final state : Small background
 - Signal to Background ratio
 - Pre-tag ~ 2:1, b-tag ~ 15:1
- Important dilepton background for New Physics searches

Test of the Standard Model. 7.4

A deviation from the Standard Model prediction would indicate something new, e.g. SUSY or Higgs particles.



172.5 GeV



Dilepton Event Selection



Signal Selection

- 2 leptons : At least one tight lepton
- At least 2 tight jets.
- Minimum missing transverse energy is required.

Background Rejection

- L-cut : Angle topology cut between missing transverse energy and any lepton or jet.
- Z-veto : Missing E_T significant cut for ee/ $\mu\mu$ events.
- Minimum total transverse energy(H_T) and dilepton invariant mass (M_{ll}) is required.
- Opposite charge leptons are only selected.



Z boson Cross Section Check



Z boson cross section measurement in the dielectron and dimuon events.

- To validate that all of efficiencies and scale factors between data and Monte Carlo.
- We observe good agreement with expected $\sigma_z = 249.5$ pb both for ee and $\mu\mu$ categories.



PHENO 2010 (5/11/2010)





Use both data and MC for background estimates

- Fully estimated from MC
 - Diboson : WW, WZ, ZZ
 - Drell-Yan/Z $\rightarrow \tau \tau$
 - *Wγ*
- Use both Data and MC
 - Drell-Yan/Z→ ee, μμ



- Fully estimated from Data
 - Fakes (Mostly coming from W+jets events)





For the pre-tagged events

For the b-tagged events

Source	Systematic Error (%)	Source	Systematic Error (%)
Lepton ID	2.2	Lepton ID	2.2
MC Generator	1.9	MC Generator	1.9
ISR/FSR	1.3	ISR/FSR	1.3
PDF's	0.6	PDF's	0.6
CR	1.2	CR	1.2
Jet corrections	3.3	Jet corrections	3.3
		b-tagging	4.1
Total	4.8	Total	6.3





CDF II preliminary (5.1 fb^{-1})

$t\bar{t}$ Signal Events per Dilepton Flavor Category							
Source	ee	$\mu\mu$	$\mathrm{e}\mu$	ll			
WW	$3.08 {\pm} 0.64$	$2.68{\pm}0.56$	$5.96{\pm}1.21$	11.72 ± 2.36			
WZ	$1.56 {\pm} 0.25$	$0.98 {\pm} 0.16$	$0.93 {\pm} 0.16$	$3.48 {\pm} 0.55$			
ZZ	$1.02{\pm}0.79$	$0.82{\pm}0.64$	$0.42{\pm}0.33$	2.25 ± 1.75			
$\mathrm{W}\gamma$	$0.42{\pm}0.44$	$0.00 {\pm} 0.00$	$0.00 {\pm} 0.00$	$0.42{\pm}0.44$			
$\mathrm{DY} \rightarrow \tau \tau$	$2.88 {\pm} 0.55$	$2.97{\pm}0.56$	$6.42{\pm}1.16$	12.26 ± 2.18			
$DY \rightarrow ee + \mu\mu$	$11.54{\pm}2.22$	$8.40{\pm}1.62$	$2.45{\pm}1.09$	22.40 ± 3.24			
Fakes	$7.23 {\pm} 2.29$	12.85 ± 4.22	$33.20{\pm}10.25$	53.27 ± 14.70			
Total background	27.73 ± 4.28	28.69 ± 5.04	$49.38{\pm}10.85$	$105.80{\pm}17.24$			
$tar{t}~(\sigma=7.4~{ m pb})$	$54.65 {\pm} 2.65$	$54.92{\pm}2.65$	$127.55 {\pm} 6.10$	237.13 ± 11.30			
Total SM expectation	$82.38 {\pm} 6.63$	83.61 ± 7.46	$176.93{\pm}16.80$	$342.92{\pm}28.30$			
Observed	74	96	173	343			

Before b-tagging events

CDF II preliminary (4.8 fb^{-1})

1 3 ()							
$t\bar{t}$ Signal Events with the tight SecVtx b-tag							
Source	ee	$\mu\mu$	$\mathrm{e}\mu$	ll			
WW	$0.08 {\pm} 0.03$	$0.09{\pm}0.04$	$0.21{\pm}0.06$	$0.37{\pm}0.10$			
WZ	$0.02{\pm}0.01$	$0.03{\pm}0.01$	$0.03 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.08 {\pm} 0.02$			
ZZ	$0.08 {\pm} 0.06$	$0.07 {\pm} 0.06$	$0.02{\pm}0.02$	0.17 ± 0.14			
$_{ m DY+LF}$	$0.51{\pm}0.05$	$0.60{\pm}0.05$	$0.28 {\pm} 0.03$	$1.39{\pm}0.12$			
DY+HF	$0.51{\pm}0.04$	$1.41{\pm}0.11$	$0.37 {\pm} 0.03$	$2.28{\pm}0.18$			
Fakes	$1.17{\pm}0.48$	$0.90 {\pm} 0.39$	$3.39{\pm}1.12$	$5.46{\pm}1.59$			
Total background	$2.36 {\pm} 0.51$	$3.10{\pm}0.46$	4.29 ± 1.13	$9.75 {\pm} 1.68$			
$t\bar{t}~(\sigma=7.4~{ m pb})$	$30.22{\pm}1.91$	$29.63{\pm}1.87$	$70.10{\pm}4.38$	129.96 ± 8.10			
Total SM expectation	32.59 ± 2.32	32.73 ± 2.25	74.39 ± 5.42	$139.71 {\pm} 9.66$			
Observed	22	44	71	137			

Signal b-tagged events

PHENO 2010 (5/11/2010)



Pre-tag Kinematic Plots of Candidates





PHENO 2010 (5/11/2010)



b-tag Kinematic Plots of Candidates











- The Top pair production Cross Section σ_{tt} $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = \frac{N_{Observed} - N_{Background}}{Acceptance \times Luminosity}$
- Cross Section Numerator
 $N_{Background} = Acc * \sigma * Lum * SF$
- Cross Section Denominator • Acceptance * Luminosity = $\sum_{i} A_i * L_i$, where $A_i = A_{l_1 l_2} * C_{l_1 l_2}$
 - Pre-tag : Raw ttbar MC acceptance : $A_{ttop25} = (0.756 \pm 0.004) \%$ For 5.1 fb⁻¹ denominator : $A^*L = 32.047 \pm 0.149 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
 - b-tag : Raw ttbar MC acceptance : $A_{ttop25} = (0.461 \pm 0.003)$ % For 4.8 fb⁻¹ denominator : $A^*L = 17.569 \pm 0.238 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

PHENO 2010 (5/11/2010)



tt Dilepton Cross Section





PHENO 2010 (5/11/2010)







- We have measured the top pair production cross section for M_{top}=172.5 GeV in dilepton channel using 5.1 fb⁻¹ of data.
 - The results are consistent with the standard model : no new physics is founded yet.

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 7.40 \pm 0.58(stat) \pm 0.63(syst) \pm 0.45(lumi)pb$ (For pro-

(For pre-tag)

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 7.25 \pm 0.66(stat) \pm 0.47(syst) \pm 0.44(lumi)pb$ (For b-tag)

- This is the first measurement statistical uncertainty turn to be smaller than systematic uncertainty in dilepton channel.
- Considering to use multivariate technique to improve signal to background ratio and reduce systematic uncertainty.