# Modifying Dark Matter Freeze Out at the Electroweak Phase Transition

Andrew Long

University of Wisconsin, Madison

with D.J.H. Chung, S. Tulin, L.T. Wang





### Suppose they don't match!

 $\Omega_{DM}^{\rm cosmo} \neq \Omega_{DM}^{\rm infer}$ 

What went wrong? -- We should not assume radiation dominates at freeze out

$$\Omega_{DM}^{\text{infer}} pprox rac{x_f T_0^3}{30 \, m_{DM}^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle \, 
ho_{cr}} H_{fo} \qquad \qquad H_{fo}^2 = rac{8\pi G}{3} \, 
ho_r$$

[Kolb & Wolfram, 1980]- Tune CC against SM Higgs vacuum energy[Barrow, 1982]- Anisotropic expansion boosts relic abundance[McDonald, 1990]- Decaying massive particles dominate ρ at freeze out[Kamionkowski & Turner, 1990]- "Thermal Relics: Do we know their abundance?"[Joyce, 1997]- Kination dominated scalar field modifies H at EWPT[Salati, 2003]- Quintessence modifies H at freeze out[Profumo & Ullio, 2003]- Kination dom. quintessence modifies  $\chi_0$  abundance[Megevand & Sanchez, 2008]- Entropy production at first order PT & dilutes relic[Wainwright & Profumo, 2009]- Entropy production saves SUSY models

### Suppose they don't match!

$$\Omega_{DM}^{\rm cosmo} \neq \Omega_{DM}^{\rm infer}$$

What went wrong? -- We should not assume radiation dominates at freeze out

$$\Omega_{DM}^{\text{infer}} \approx \frac{x_f T_0^3}{30 \, m_{DM}^2 \left\langle \sigma v \right\rangle \rho_{cr}} \, H_{fo} \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta H}{H} \right) \qquad H_{fo}^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} (\rho_r + \rho_{\phi} + \rho_{\Lambda})$$

Additional contribution to  $\rho$  from scalar & tuned cosmological constant



## Suppose they don't match!

Mismatch  $\Omega_{DM}^{\text{cosmo}} \neq \Omega_{DM}^{\text{infer}}$ signals new physics in Higgs sector & CC tuning

What went wrong? -- We should not assume radiation dominates at freeze out

$$\Omega_{DM}^{\text{infer}} pprox rac{x_f T_0^3}{30 \, m_{DM}^2 \left\langle \sigma v \right\rangle 
ho_{cr}} H_{fo} \left( 1 + rac{\Delta H}{H} 
ight) \qquad H_{fo}^2 = rac{8\pi G}{3} (
ho_r + 
ho_{\phi} + 
ho_{\Lambda})$$

Additional contribution to  $\rho$  from scalar & tuned cosmological constant



#### **Cosmological History**



#### H at EWPT & Tuning CC

The Calculation:

- Calculate thermal effective potential V<sub>T</sub>(φ)--free energy density of a gas at temperature T coupled to condensate φ
   Tune CC against scalar vacuum energy at zero temperature ρ<sub>Λ</sub> + V<sub>0</sub> (⟨φ⟩<sub>T=0</sub>) ≈ meV<sup>4</sup> ≈ 0
- 3) Calculate  $\Delta H/H$  as a function of temperature

$$\left(\frac{\Delta H}{H} \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho_{\phi}(T) + \rho_{\Lambda}}{\rho_{r}(T)} \approx \frac{15}{\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{g_{\star}(T)} \frac{V_{0}\left(\langle \phi \rangle_{T}\right) + \rho_{\Lambda}}{T^{4}}\right)$$

4) (Embed scalar sector into a model of DM with freeze out occuring before or during EWPT when  $\Delta$ H/H is maximal)

#### Second Order PT & SM

Standard Model phase transition

$$V_{T}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( c_{1}T^{2} - \lambda v^{2} \right) \phi^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^{4}$$

$$\rho_{\Lambda} = \lambda v^{4}/4 \quad \text{tuning CC}$$

$$T_{c}^{2} \approx \lambda v^{2}/c_{1} \quad c_{1}^{\sim} h_{t}^{2} + g^{2}$$

$$\left( \frac{\Delta H}{H} \approx \frac{15}{\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{g_{\star}} \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{4\lambda} \approx 0.002 \right)$$

$$V_{T} \quad T > \text{Tc} \quad T = \text{Tc} \quad T = \text{Tc} \quad T = 0$$

Why is  $\Delta H/H$  so small?

- Same parameters control vacuum energy & temperature:  $V(T)/T^4 \sim O(1)$
- Hard to beat  $g_* \sim 100$  suppression



#### First Order PT & xSM

- The Hubble parameter receives a larger correction when the universe is suspended in a metastable phase
- Then,  $\Delta H/H \sim V/T^4$  grows at T drops
- Real singlet extension admits a 1PT



Andrew Long - 8

tuning CC

 $\frac{\Delta\Omega}{\Omega}\sim \frac{\Delta H}{H}\approx \frac{15}{\pi^2}\frac{1}{g_\star(T)}\frac{V_0\left(\langle\phi\rangle_T\right)+\rho_\Lambda}{T^4}$ 









### Summary -- What to do if $\Omega_{DM}^{\text{cosmo}} \neq \Omega_{DM}^{\text{infer}}$

- 1) DM relic abundance can be enhanced by O(10%) if freeze out occurs during a first order electroweak phase transition
- 2) Favors:
  - a) Extended scalar sector
  - b) Low temperature phase transition & high temperature freeze out (10s GeV)
     heavy dark matter favored by PAMELA
  - c) Sufficient supercooling strongly first order phase transitions allow for interesting physics such as baryogenesis and gravity waves
- 3) Mismatch between cosmological and collider-inferred relic abundance may provide evidence for a link between dark matter, the Higgs sector, and tuning of the cosmological constant

