# Rapidity Gap Events in Squark Pair Production at the LHC

Sascha Bornhauser

Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of New Mexico

#### Parallel talk PHENO 2010 University of Wisconsin–Madison

in collaboration with Manuel Drees, Herbi K. Dreiner and Jong Soo Kim (arXiv: 0709.2544 & 0909.2595)

S. Bornhauser (PANDA UNM)

RapGaps

10.05.2010 1 / 16

#### Search for Supersymmetry

- no direct experimental evidence for SUSY until now
- expectation that some of the SUSY particles will be found at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN

#### Proton–proton collision at the LHC:



#### Squark Pair Production at the LHC

- (hopefully) a successful second LHC run
- TeV scale supersymmetry will be decisively tested at LHC
- even heavy squarks still have a reasonable cross section:
  - cross section is  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$
  - many final states are accessible from two valence quarks

Also EW corrections at leading order might be important since:

- the interference terms between QCD and EW can be quite sizable
- an increase up to 20% for mSUGRA scenarios and two SU(2) doublet squarks
- an increase up to 50% for scenarios without gaugino mass unification and two SU(2) doublet squarks

#### Color connection of the final state squarks I: CNS and CS exchange



## Color connection of the final state squarks II: accelerated color charge in the CMS





(c) CNS-exchange



- t-channel: small ⊖<sub>CMS</sub> is preferred
- CNS-exchange: color charge scattered over angle  $\pi \Theta_{CMS}$  $\implies$  QCD radiation between the two outgoing squarks
- CS-exchange: color charge scattered over angle  $\Theta_{CMS}$  $\implies$  QCD radiation between squarks and beam remnants

#### Rapidity gap events:

- squarks decay and hadronize  $\Rightarrow$  two high energetic jets
- not color connected (EW) events:
  - low particle activity/energy deposit between the two jets
  - rapidity region free of energy deposit (hadrons)



#### Numerical simulation:

- squark decay, hadronization, jet reconstruction & underlying event
- SPS1a ⇒ cross section is enhanced by about 13% (for LL)
- s-channel contributions are neglected
- integrated luminosity of 40 fb<sup>-1</sup>

#### Used cuts:

- two hardest jets:  $E_T > 100 \text{GeV}$ ;
- missing  $E_T > 100 \text{ GeV}$
- rap gap between the two main jets:  $\Delta \eta > 3.0$
- assume tau identification efficiency of 100%
- gap region defined as:  $\min[\eta(j_1), \eta(j_2)] + 0.7 \le \eta \le \max[\eta(j_1), \eta(j_2)] - 0.7$
- at least two charged leptons of same sign
   ⇒ single out SU(2) squark pairs

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

#### First observable:



- total transverse energy deposited in the gap region
- include photons and hadrons in the event (after hadronization and decay of unstable hadrons)
- does *not* include the leptons produced in  $\tilde{\chi}^0$  and  $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}$  decays.

#### Inclusion of EW contributions:

 $\implies$  should lead to increase of events with low  $E_{T,\text{particles}}^{\text{gap}}$ 

#### Herwig++: $E_T$ of all particles in the gap region



including EW contributions increases the # of events in all bins

 first bin: inclusion of CS contributions increases the number of events by a factor of 2.8 ± 1.1

S. Bornhauser (PANDA UNM)

RapGaps

#### PYTHIA: $E_T$ of all particles in the gap region



- EW contributions increases the # of events in nearly all bins
- first bin: inclusion of CS contributions increases the # of events by a factor of  $2.36\pm0.56$

S. Bornhauser (PANDA UNM)

RapGaps

#### Comparison PYTHIA and Herwig++:

CS exchange leads to "gap" events with low energy deposite, BUT:

- PYTHIA predicts many more gap events
- PYTHIA: distribution quite flat beyond 20GeV
- Herwig++: distribution flattens out only at about 40GeV

using different models for parton shower, hadronization & underlying event:

- $\Longrightarrow$  difference between the two generators is as large as the effect from the CS events
- $\implies$  after you get first real data:
  - use the higher bins to decide which generator describes the data better
  - tune the generators to the data

### PYTHIA without underlying event:



Low number of gap events  $\implies$  this is partly caused by UE:

- describes beam remnants
- with little or no phase space correlation with the primary jets
- $\implies$  deposit a significant amount of transverse momentum into the gap
  - 521 (278) entries in the first 5 GeV bin for QCD+EW (QCD) simulation, as compared to 59 (25)
  - UE thus leads to a gap "survival probability" of ~ 10% at the LHC
    S. Bornhauser (PANDA UNM)
     RapGaps
     10.05.2010
     12/16

#### Second main observable:

fraction of events where most energetic jet in the gap region has  $E_{T,jet}^{gap} \leq E_{T,jet,max}^{gap}$  (normalized to one)

#### $\implies$ reduce effect of underlying event:

- UE by itself generates few, if any, reconstructable jets
- consider only jets with  $E_T \ge 5 \text{ GeV} \Longrightarrow |\text{cut} \text{ against UE}|$
- reconstructed jets may also contain a few particles stemming from the underlying event

#### Minijet-veto against underlying event



Problem:

Herwig++ vs. PYTHIA: systematical differences larger than the physical ones

However:

PYTHIA and Herwig++ make similarly different predictions for standard QCD di-jet events

#### generated standard QCD di-jet events, where:

- $p_T$  of the jets > 500 GeV
  - $\implies$  kinematics & the relevant Bjorken-x values are comparable to squark pair events
- $\bullet~$  We include ALL standard QCD 2  $\rightarrow$  2 processes
- the large p<sub>T</sub> & required large rapidity distance between hardest jets, require quite large Bjorken-x values
  - $\implies$  enhances the contribution from  $qq \rightarrow qq$  scattering:

has same color structure as  $qq 
ightarrow ilde{q} ilde{q}$  in SUSY QCD

#### Minijet-veto for pure SM QCD 2 $\rightarrow$ 2 processes



- PYTHIA again predicts less radiation
- threshold energy of 20 GeV: ratio of about 1.3
- reduction of systematical differences after tuning with SM data should be possible

S. Bornhauser (PANDA UNM)

RapGaps