Improving the precision of light quark masses

Christian Sturm

Brookhaven National Laboratory Physics Department High Energy Theory Group Upton, NY

- I. Introduction & Motivation
- II. Concepts & Framework
- III. Results & Discussion
- IV. Summary & Conclusion

Based on arXiv:1004.4613 [hep-ph], Phys. Rev. D 80, 014501 (2009) in collaboration with: L. G. Almeida, Y. Aoki, N.H. Christ, T. Izubuchi, C.T. Sachrajda and A. Soni

C. Sturm, Improving the precision of light quark masses

Pheno 2010, Madison, May 10th, 2010 BROOKHAVEN 0/10

Introduction

- Light quark masses (up-, down- and strange-quark masses) can be determined non-perturbatively with lattice simulations in QCD
- Result from RBC/UKQCD Coll., domain-wall fermions:

 $m_{ud}^{MS}(2 \text{ GeV}) = 3.72(0.16)_{stat}(0.18)_{syst}(0.33)_{ren} \text{ MeV}$ $m_{s}^{\overline{MS}}(2 \text{ GeV}) = 107.3(4.4)_{stat}(4.9)_{syst}(9.7)_{ren} \text{ MeV}$

C. Allton et al.

- Error (11%) from renormalization dominates (>60% of tot.)
- Direct calculation of bare quantity with lattice spacing acting as ultra-violet cutoff in some particular discretization of QCD instead of space-time dimension d ≠ 4
- Minimal subtraction(MS) à la dim. reg. not directly possible

- Use for renormalization regularization invariant(RI) scheme, which removes ultraviolet divergences at a certain momentum point(subtraction point) ~> RI/MOM-scheme Martinelli et al. '93-'95
- Determine QCD parameters: $m_R = Z_m m_B$, $\Psi_R = Z_q^{1/2} \Psi_B$,... \rightarrow fix renormalization constants, define scheme in PT:

$$\lim_{m_R \to 0} \frac{1}{12m_R} \operatorname{Tr}[S_R^{-1}(p)] \Big|_{p^2 = -\mu^2} = 1 \qquad \lim_{m_R \to 0} \frac{1}{48} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\mu} \frac{\partial S_R^{-1}(p)}{\partial p^{\mu}} \right] \Big|_{p^2 = -\mu^2} = -1 \quad \text{RI/MOM}$$
$$\lim_{m_R \to 0} \frac{1}{12m_R} \operatorname{Tr}[S_R^{-1}(p)] \Big|_{p^2 = -\mu^2} = 1 \qquad \lim_{m_R \to 0} \frac{1}{12p^2} \operatorname{Tr}[S_R^{-1}(p) p] \Big|_{p^2 = -\mu^2} = -1 \quad \text{RI/MOM}$$

Introduction

Ward-Takahashi identities

Ward-Takahashi identities(WI)

 $q_{\mu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{V,B}(p_1,p_2) = S_B^{-1}(p_2) - S_B^{-1}(p_1)$

 $-iq_{\mu}\Lambda^{\mu}_{A,B}(p_{1},p_{2}) = 2m_{B}\Lambda_{P,B}(p_{1},p_{2}) - i\gamma_{5}S_{B}^{-1}(p_{1}) - S_{B}^{-1}(p_{2})i\gamma_{5}$

- WI valid for renorm. quantities: $O_R = Z_O O$, $\Lambda_{O,R} = \frac{Z_O}{Z_a} \Lambda_{O,B}$
- Renormalization condition on S \Leftrightarrow condition on Λ_O

$$\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda_{O,R}(p_1, p_2) P_0 \right] \bigg|_{mom.conf.} = 1$$

 \rightarrow study quark bilinear operators with vector(γ^{μ}), axialvector($\gamma_5\gamma^{\mu}$), pseudo-scalar(γ_5) and scalar(**1**) operators

Renormalization constants related: $Z_A = 1 = Z_V, Z_P = Z_S, Z_P = 1/Z_m$

Motivation

RI/MOM

$$\lim_{m_R \to 0} \left. \frac{1}{48} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda^{\mu}_{V,R}(p_1, p_2) \gamma_{\mu} \right] \right|_{\underset{asym}{asym}} = 1, \quad \lim_{m_R \to 0} \left. \frac{1}{48} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda^{\mu}_{A,R}(p_1, p_2) \gamma_5 \gamma_{\mu} \right] \right|_{\underset{asym}{asym}} = 1$$

$$\lim_{m_R \to 0} \left. \frac{1}{12} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda_{S,R}(p_1, p_2) \mathbf{1} \right] \right|_{\underset{asym}{\operatorname{ssym}}} = 1, \quad \lim_{m_R \to 0} \left. \frac{1}{12i} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda_{P,R}(p_1, p_2) \gamma_5 \right] \right|_{\underset{asym}{\operatorname{ssym}}} = 1$$

■ Asymmetric/exceptional momentum config.(MOM): $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = -\mu^2, \quad \mu^2 > 0, \quad p_1 = p_2, \quad q = 0$

Symmetric/nonexceptional momentum config(SMOM): $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = q^2 = -\mu^2, \quad \mu^2 > 0, \quad q = p_1 - p_2$

- Renormalization constants need to be determined through simulation
- Need to introduce renormalization scale μ typically μ ~ 2 GeV for this problem

q=p,-p,

Motivation

- Symmetric subtraction point implies a lattice simulation with suppressed contamination from infrared effects
- For asymmetric subtraction point effects of chiral symmetry breaking vanish slowly like 1/p² for large ext. momenta



■ For SMOM infrared effects better behaved, vanishing with larger powers of *p* N.H. Christ, et al.

Motivation RI-scheme \implies $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme

Conversion/Matching factor:

 $m_R^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = C_m^{\text{RI/MOM}} m_R^{\text{RI/MOM}}$ (*C_m* in general gauge dependent)

- RI/MOM scheme intermediate scheme before conversion to the MS scheme
- C_m can be computed in cont. PT, e.g. RI/MOM, RI'/MOM: known up to 3-loop G. Martinelli et al.; Franco, Lubicz; Chetyrkin, Retey; Gracey

 $C_m^{\text{RI/MOM}} = 1.0 - 0.1333 - 0.0759 - 0.0557$

 $lpha_{
m s}(2~{
m GeV})/\pi \sim 0.1$ $n_{
m f}=3$

 $C_m^{\text{RI/MOM}} = 1.0 - 0.1333 - 0.0816 - 0.0603$

 <u>Observation</u>: Size of NLO, N²LO, N³LO contr. amount ~ 13%, ~ 8%, ~ 6%
 → poor convergence ~→ big error in renormalization

■ Matching to pert. theo.: reduce truncation error: large μ \rightsquigarrow window problem

Concepts & Framework

- Task: Find a RI/MOM type scheme which is "close" to MS scheme, e.g. which has a matching factor with small corrections → Small expansion coefficients
- Idea: Use subtraction point with symmetric momenta

RI/SMOM conditions:

$$\lim_{m_R \to 0} \frac{1}{12} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda_{S,R}(p_1, p_2) \mathbf{1} \right] \bigg|_{sym} = 1, \quad \lim_{m_R \to 0} \frac{1}{12i} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda_{P,R}(p_1, p_2) \gamma_5 \right] \bigg|_{sym} = 1$$
$$\lim_{m_R \to 0} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[q_{\mu} \Lambda_{V,R}^{\mu}(p_1, p_2) q \right] \bigg|_{sym} = 1, \quad \lim_{m_R \to 0} \frac{1}{12q^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[q_{\mu} \Lambda_{A,R}^{\mu}(p_1, p_2) \gamma_5 q \right] \bigg|_{sym} = 1$$

Alternative scheme (RI/SMOM $_{\gamma_{\mu}}$) using different projectors

$$\lim_{m_R \to 0} \left. \frac{1}{48} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda^{\mu}_{V,R}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \gamma_{\mu} \right] \right|_{sym} = 1, \quad \lim_{m_R \to 0} \left. \frac{1}{48} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Lambda^{\mu}_{A,R}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \gamma_5 \gamma_{\mu} \right] \right|_{sym} = 1$$

The NLO, NNLO calculation (order α_s , α_s^2) RI/SMOM_($\gamma\mu$) scheme

- Need to compute the matching factor C_m in the new RI/SMOM schemes
- 1 diagram at LO, 1 at NLO and 11 at NNLO:



Results at NNLO

Results & Comparison of the conversion factors for $n_f = 3$, $\alpha_s/\pi \simeq 0.1$, scale of $\sim 2 \text{ GeV}$ **RI**/MOM \iff **RI/SMOM**:

 $\blacksquare RI/MOM \iff RI/SMOM_{\gamma_{\mu}}:$

 Matching factors for schemes with symmetric subtraction point show better convergence behavior
 significant reduction of syst. error on light quark masses
 Informative to have multiple schemes
 better assessment of syst. errors

Summary & Conclusion

- Framework + concepts of renorm. of quark bilinear operators in the RI/SMOM schemes has been discussed
- Results can be used to convert light quark masses in this scheme to the MS scheme
- The conversion factors in the RI/SMOM schemes are now available up to NNLO + show a better convergence behavior than in the traditional RI/MOM schemes
- The pert. truncation error is smaller than in RI/MOM
- RI/SMOM schemes are less sensitive to infrared effects in latt. simulation
 - The use of the RI/SMOM schemes will reduce the systematic error and improve precision of light quark mass determinations from lattice simulations obtained in this approach

10/10