LHC Phenomenology of the 3-site Higgsless Model

Elizabeth H. Simmons Michigan State University

- Deconstructed Higgsless Models
- The 3-Site Model
- LHC Phenomenology (W' and Z')
- Conclusions

<u>hep-ph Refs:</u> 0607124, 060719, 0708.2588 Collaborators:

Belyaev, Chivukula, Coleppa, Di Chiara, He, Kuang, Kurachi, Matsuzaki, Pukhov,Qi,Tanabashi, Zhang



General Principles :

Higgsless models are low-energy effective theories of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking including the following elements

- massive 4-d gauge bosons arise in the context of a 5-d gauge theory with appropriate boundary conditions
- WW scattering unitarized through exchange of KK modes (instead of Higgs exchange)
- language of Deconstruction allows a 4-d "Moose" representation of the model

Csaki/Murayama/Terning & Chivukula/He



 $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[M_n^2 (A_\mu^{an})^2 - 2M_n A_\mu^{an} \partial^\mu A_5^{an} + (\partial_\mu A_5^{an})^2 \right] \quad \text{i.e., } A_L^{an} \leftrightarrow A_5^{an}$

Deconstructed Higgsless Models $g_0 g_1 g_2 g_N g_{N+1} g_{N+1}$

- 5th dimension discretized
- SU(2)^N x U(1); general f_j and g_k encompass
 spatially-dependent couplings, warping
- for fixed v, $\frac{1}{v^2} = \sum_i \frac{1}{f_i^2}$ means $f_i \sim \sqrt{N}v$
- In simplest models, Localized fermions sit on "branes" [sites 0 and N+1]

Foadi, et. al. & Chivukula et. al.

Conflict of S & Unitarity

Heavy resonances must unitarize WW scattering (since there is no Higgs!) $4^{a_{L}}$ $4^{a_{L}}$ $4^{a_{L}}$ $4^{a_{L}}$ $4^{a_{L}}$ $4^{a_{L}}$ $4^{a_{L}}$ $4^{a_{L}}$ $4^{a_{L}}$

This bounds lightest KK mode mass: $m_{Z_1} < \sqrt{8\pi v}$... and yields a value of the S-parameter that is

$$\alpha\,S \geq \frac{4s_Z^2c_Z^2M_Z^2}{8\pi v^2} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

too large by a factor of a few!

Independent of warping or gauge couplings chosen...

Delocalized Fermions

Delocalized Fermions, .i.e., mixing of "brane" and "bulk" modes

$$\mathcal{L}_f = \vec{J}_L^{\mu} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^N \mathbf{X}_i \vec{A}_{\mu}^i\right) + J_Y^{\mu} A_{\mu}^{N+1}$$

Can Reduce Contribution to S!



Cacciapaglia, Csaki, Grojean, & Terning

Foadi, Gopalkrishna, & Schmidt

Ideal Fermion Delocalization

- Recall that the light W's wavefunction is orthogonal to wavefunctions of KK modes
- Choose fermion delocalization profile to match W wavefunction profile along the 5th dimension: $g_i x_i \propto v_i^W$
- No (tree-level) fermion couplings to KK modes!



$$\hat{S} = \hat{T} = W = 0$$
$$Y = M_W^2 (\Sigma_W - \Sigma_Z)$$

RSC, HJH, MK, MT, EHS hep-ph/0504114



3-Site Model: basic structure $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ $g_0, g_2 \ll g_1$ ψ_{R1} t_{R2} , b_{R2} R f₂ g₁ L ψ_{L1} ψ_{L0}

<u>Gauge boson spectrum</u>: photon, Z, Z', W, W' (as in BESS) <u>Fermion spectrum</u>: t, T, b, B (ψ is an SU(2) doublet) and also c, C, s, S, u, U, d, D plus the leptons <u>Chivuluula hop ph/060</u>

Chivukula hep-ph/0607124



3-Site Ideal Delocalization

General ideal delocalization condition $g_i(\psi_i^f)^2 = g_W v_i^w$ becomes $\frac{g_0(\psi_{L0}^f)^2}{g_1(\psi_{T1}^f)^2} = \frac{v_W^0}{v_W^1}$ in 3-site model

From W, fermion eigenvectors, solve for

$$\epsilon_L^2 \to (1 + \epsilon_{fR}^2)^2 \left[\frac{x^2}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{\epsilon_{fR}^2}{2} \right) x^4 + \cdots \right] \qquad x^2 \equiv \left(\frac{g_0}{g_1} \right)^2 \approx 4 \left(\frac{M_W}{M_W'} \right)^2$$
For all but top, $\epsilon_{fR} \ll 1$ and $\epsilon_L^2 = 2 \left(\frac{M_W^2}{M_{W'}^2} \right) + 6 \left(\frac{M_W^2}{M_{W'}^2} \right)^2 + \cdots$
insures W' and Z' are fermiophobic!



Use WW scattering to see W': Birkedal, Matchev, Perelstein hep-ph/0412278





LHC Phenomenology



Z' decay modes • dominant decay is to gauge boson pairs • BR to fermions not sensitive to deviation from ideal delocalization $Br(Z \rightarrow 2 X)$ (GeV) WW $M_{\chi} = 5 \text{ TeV}$ 10 10 10 10 uu 400 600 1000 800 ee 10 Mz (GeV) bb,dd 5=0.07 10 S=0.0 1000 400 800 600 S=-0.33 Mz (GeV) BrR 10 $e^2 M_{W'}$ $\Gamma(Z' \to W^+ W^-)$ $\Gamma(Z' \to e^+ e^-) =$ $=\frac{5e^2M_W(x)}{384\pi\epsilon}$ 10 800 1000 200 400 600 M₂ (GeV)

W' decay modes

- dominant decay is, again, to gauge boson pairs
- BR to fermions is small -- but sensitive to delocalization



Vector Boson Fusion (WZ → W') and W'Z Associated Production promise large rates and clear signatures



Example: CalcHEP

MADGRAPH, and HANLIB also used

computation of $pp ightarrow W^+Zjj$

- No effective WZ approximation.
- Complete set of signal and background diagrams including interference.

in contrast with Birkedal, Matchev & Perelstein 2005



A. Belyaev and N. Christensen

mc4bsm (2007)



Vector Boson Fusion (signal in WZjj channel) 500 GeV W' boson





forward jet tag removes WZ background $E_j > 300 \,\text{GeV}, \quad p_{Tj} > 30 \,\text{GeV}, \quad |\eta_j| < 4.5, \quad |\Delta \eta_{jj}| > 4,$ $p_{T\ell} > 10 \,\text{GeV}, \quad |\eta_\ell| < 2.5$

Integrated LHC Luminosity required to discover W' in each channel





Conclusions



The 3-site Higgsless model is a viable effective theory of EWSB up to $\sim 2 \text{ TeV}$ with interesting LHC signatures

- illustrates general principles of deconstructed Higgsless models and ideal delocalization
- accommodates flavor
- fermiophobic extra gauge bosons can be light
- W' and Z' have clean multi-lepton LHC signatures

NEXT: Heavy Fermions from Higgsless Models at the LHC