LHC/ILC Synergy



Heather Logan Carleton University

Pheno 2008 Symposium – LHC Turn On!

The LHC physics menu is very rich and exciting.

CMS Physics Technical Design Report, Volume II: Physics Performance, CERN-LHCC-2006-021 ATLAS detector and physics performance technical design report, Volume 2, CERN-LHCC-99-15 + many more recent updates

The ILC physics menu is also very rich and exciting.

A. Djouadi et al., "International Linear Collider Reference Design Report,Volume 2: Physics at the ILC," arXiv:0709.1893 [hep-ph]

Physics case well established for LHC and ILC separately in wide range of BSM scenarios.

This talk: LHC/ILC synergy.

Heather Logan

Synergy, complementarity, interplay, ...

Idea is not new.

LHC has high energy, high luminosity, large reach for direct discovery of new heavy particles.

ILC has high precision / low background, threshold scan capability, control over initial state quantum numbers, ...

First LHC/LC study group meeting St. Malo ECFA/DESY LC Workshop, April 2002 http://www.ippp.dur.ac.uk/~georg/lhcilc

Report finished fall 2004; published in Phys. Rept. 2006 G. Weiglein et al. [LHC/ILC Study Group], "Physics interplay of the LHC and the ILC," Phys. Rept. **426**, 47 (2006) [hep-ph/0410364]

LHC/ILC studies continue.

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

Synergy, complementarity, interplay, ... concurrency?

Obvious now that concurrency is not going to happen.

But early studies tried to make the case for it.

- Use ILC measurements in LHC analyses?
- ILC observation \rightarrow new search in LHC data?
- Change trigger menu of ATLAS/CMS as result of ILC discovery?

Talk by Sally Dawson at Victoria ALCPG Meeting July 2004: pointed out we had not made the case for concurrency.

- No excuse not to be smart about saving LHC data for later revisiting.
- No examples of trigger menu changes: anything new from the model-builders gets built in to the ATLAS/CMS triggers!

This talk:

What can be learned from LHC + ILC that cannot be learned from LHC alone or from ILC alone?

This implies some kind of combined analyses (or using input from one machine for analyses at the other).

Will give three examples:

- Z'
- Higgs
- SUSY

Z'



But what does that mean?

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

One example: Z_H in the Littlest Higgs model.



Azuelos et al, hep-ph/0402037: 5 σ discovery reach w/ 300 fb⁻¹

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

Comparable reach for 5σ signal at ILC:



Conley, Hewett & Le, hep-ph/0507198: 500 fb⁻¹ at 500 GeV

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

But these are very different types of signals.

LHC: direct discovery of Z' resonance in dileptons.

Can measure mass of Z' very accurately.

Hard time measuring couplings:

- get only a rate in dileptons
- Forward/backward asymmetry requires rapidity cuts
- Maybe $t\overline{t}$, $b\overline{b}$ final states

ILC: sensitivity to Z' through off-shell interference with Z, γ exchange in $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$.

No direct measurement of mass.

Some sensitivity if ILC runs at two different energies.

Sensitivity to left/right handed couplings to multiple fermion species, but coupling strengths are mixed up with Z' mass from the propagator.

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

ILC coupling measurements need $M_{Z'}$ input from LHC. It's obvious that this combination will be done.

Littlest Higgs Z_H example: with mass from LHC, can fit couplings and extract model parameters.



Conley, Hewett & Le, hep-ph/0507198: 500 fb⁻¹ at 500 GeV

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

Applies to general models: measure left/right fermion couplings. Studies assume mass of resonance as input from LHC.



Godfrey, Kalyniak & Tomkins, hep-ph/0511335: 1 ab⁻¹ at 500 GeV, $M_{Z'} = 1$, 2, 3 TeV.

- Extended gauge group
- TeV-size extra dimensions
- Compositeness (Technicolor, Randall-Sundrum)

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

Higgs

If the Higgs is sufficiently Standard-Model–like, its discovery is guaranteed at LHC.



CMS Physics TDR, via ILC RDR

Measure the mass...



LHC, 200 fb⁻¹ (except 300 fb⁻¹ for $ttH, H \rightarrow bb$, $WH, H \rightarrow bb$). Zeppenfeld, hep-ph/0203123

Given M_H , rates are completely determined in SM.

Check if rates are consistent with SM predictions!

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

Ratios of rates give ratios of partial widths. Adding mild theory assumptions allows to fit Higgs couplings.



[L] 200 fb⁻¹ (except 300 fb⁻¹ for $ttH(\rightarrow bb)$, $WH(\rightarrow bb)$). Zeppenfeld, hep-ph/0203123 [R] Dührssen, Heinemeyer, H.L., Rainwater, Weiglein & Zeppenfeld, hep-ph/0406323

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

ILC: High-precision measurements of Higgs production couplings, decay branching ratios.



ILC RDR, 500 fb⁻¹ at 350-500 GeV, for $m_H = 120$ GeV

Battaglia & Desch

Enables model-independent extraction of Higgs couplings, constraints on non-SM Higgs.

However:



ILC RDR, arXiv:0709.1893

ttH kinematically limited at 500 GeV ILC

Why is top so heavy? Special role in EW symmetry breaking?

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

Combined fit using LHC + ILC500: precision mostly dominated by ILC. No ILC $t\bar{t}H$ measurement included.

 $t\bar{t}H$ coupling better than LHC alone due to ILC input to LHC fit.



G. Weiglein, hep-ph/0508181 [Preliminary]

Heather Logan



HDECAY

 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ is a rare mode: statistics limited at ILC BR measurement \sim 20–35% precision at ILC

Does anything new run in the loop?

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy



Talk by S. Heinemeyer, LHC/ILC mtg, SLAC, March 2005

Sensitivity comes from LHC, but need ILC to nail down other couplings (especially at low M_H).

Note also ggH coupling measurement at higher Higgs masses.

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy





 $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$ is an extremely rare mode: BR $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$

Light Higgs production: ~ 60 fb from ZH, ~ 80 fb from WBF \Rightarrow ~ 20 $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events in 500 fb⁻¹ Best you could do is $4\sigma \leftrightarrow 25\%$ meas. before any cuts

Do second-generation fermions behave the same as third-generation?

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

 $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$ at LHC, from inclusive production:



Han & McElrath, hep-ph/0201023 LHC, 300 fb⁻¹ × 2 detectors; solid = 3σ , dashed = 5σ . Reach similar in WBF Cranmer & Plehn, hep-ph/0605268

 $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ as a benchmark measurement for Super LHC: 10× LHC luminosity, 3000 fb⁻¹ per experiment.

m_H (GeV)	S/\sqrt{B}	$\frac{\delta\sigma \times BR(H \rightarrow \mu\mu)}{\sigma \times BR}$
120	7.9	0.13
130	7.1	0.14
140	5.1	0.20
150	2.8	0.36

Gianotti, Mangano & Virdee, et al, SLHC report, hep-ph/0204087; numbers extrapolated from Han & McElrath, hep-ph/0201023

Again, fold together with ILC precision on production couplings and total width to isolate $H\mu\mu$ coupling.

The point:

- LHC has mass reach: ttH
- (S)LHC has high lumi: $H\gamma\gamma$, $H\mu\mu$?

- ILC has low background: precision measurements of decay BRs, including dominant, hadronic $b\overline{b}$

- ILC has clean, precisely-calculated production: decay-independent precision measurements of production cross sections

Combined: get more than either machine alone



Heather Logan

LHC:

- Kinematic access to heavy (colored) SUSY particles (squarks, gluinos)

- Mass differences from decay chains

ILC:

- Access to uncolored SUSY particles (within kinematic reach)
- Precision mass measurements, including LSP mass
- Precision measurements of couplings of light charginos, neutralinos \rightarrow gaugino/higgsino composition

Measuring the SUSY particle mass spectrum

q \tilde{N}_1 \tilde{q}_L \tilde{N}_2 \tilde{g}

Cascade decays

Use kinematic edges to get mass differences in decay chain



Paige, hep-ph/0211017

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

Because LHC sensitivity comes from mass differences, mass uncertainties are correlated.

ILC input: precision measurement of LSP mass collapses the degeneracy!



M. Chiorboli et al., in Physics Interplay of the LHC and ILC, hep-ph/0410364 SPS1a; dots are LHC, vertical bands are ILC measurement $\sigma = 0.2\%$

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

ILC can see lighter states: precision mass measurements, chargino/neutralino sector parameters.



Battaglia et al., hep-ph/0306219

Need LHC for the heavier states: complete the mass spectrum with ILC input for decay chain reconstruction.

Weak-scale SUSY parameters + RGEs \rightarrow run up to high scale. Probe grand unification, SUSY breaking pattern.



Blair, Porod & Zerwas, hep-ph/0210058 [mSUGRA]

Requires ILC precision meas. of lighter masses, couplings.

Requires LHC reach for heavier masses.

Heather Logan

Testing SUSY coupling relations

Gauge couplings ↔ gaugino Yukawa couplings: Gluon-quark-quark coupling ↔ gluino-squark-quark coupling.



Freitas & Skands, hep-ph/0606121

LHC analysis, but requires ILC input for squark decay BRs.

MSSM vs. NMSSM

NMSSM is the MSSM plus one extra singlet superfield: 5th neutralino, extra Higgs scalar and pseudoscalar.

Case study: choose parameters so that masses and cross sections of accessible neutralinos & charginos can look identical between MSSM and NMSSM at LHC and at ILC500.

How do we check?

 \rightarrow combined analysis.

ILC: Measure masses, cross sections, branching ratios of ligher charginos/neutralinos; assume MSSM and extract M_1 , M_2 , μ , tan β .

Assume MSSM and predict mass, gaugino content of neutralinos 3 and 4.

Compare LHC measurement: contradiction! Contradiction within MSSM



Moortgat-Pick et al., hep-ph/0508313

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy

Summary

LHC will open up the TeV-scale frontier.

- Electroweak symmetry breaking?
- Dark matter?
- New forces?
- Supersymmetry?
- Extra dimensions?
- Something we haven't thought of yet??

ILC will provide the precision measurements needed to understand the new physics.

ILC analyses will not be done in isolation: important inputs from LHC physics.

★ LHC analyses should not allow information to be "lost": be prepared to come back with ILC data and break correlated uncertainties.

Heather Logan

LHC/ILC Synergy