



Search for heavy particles decaying to top and bottom quarks with the CMS Detector

David Sperka (On Behalf of the CMS Collaboration) USLUO Meeting, November 8th 2013



Beyond the Higgs



 The CMS and ATLAS collaborations have observed a new boson with mass ~125 GeV, consistent with the type predicted by Brout, Englert, Higgs, Guralnik, Hagen, Kibble, et. al. Nobel Prize in Physics, 2013

• Fundamental scalar particles such as the Standard Model Higgs receive divergent corrections to their mass from other SM particles:



• Restricting fine tuning to the 10% level requires new physics which cuts off these divergent contributions [1]:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Lambda_{top} \lesssim 2 \ TeV & \Lambda_{gauge} \lesssim 5 \ TeV & \Lambda_{Higgs} \lesssim 10 \ TeV \\ \end{array} \\ \mbox{There is strong motivation for beyond the Standard Model} \\ \mbox{new physics at energy scales accessible by the LHC!} \end{array}$



Motivation for W' particles

• Many new physics models which explain the light Higgs mass introduce new particles which cancel the divergences of the top, gauge, and self-coupling loops

 Our search focuses on a heavy new charged gauge boson, referred to as a W', which is predicted by many theories, for example:

Little Higgs [1], Extra Dimensions [2,3], Extended Technicolor [4], Left-Right Symmetry [5] We perform a search for a W' boson which decays to a top+bottom quark pair



- Complimentary to searches in other channels such as W' \rightarrow $\ell v,$ W' \rightarrow WZ
- Small QCD background compared to light quark decays W' → qq' → The couplings to third generation fermions may also be enhanced [6]
- Extremely important in models with a right-handed neutrino

Method

 The most general, lowest-order Lagrangian which describes the W' coupling to fermions can be written as [7]:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{V_{f_i f_j}}{2\sqrt{2}} g_w \overline{f}_i \gamma_\mu \left(a_{f_i f_j}^R (1 + \gamma^5) + a_{f_i f_j}^L (1 - \gamma^5) \right)^\mu f_j + \text{H.c.}$$

- Both left- and right-handed couplings are allowed, and if the lefthanded coupling is non-zero, the W' will interfere with the SM W
- Interference effects significantly change the shape of the M(tb) distribution
 The full effect of interference surface can be taken into account by simulating three different signal samples with lefthanded, right-handed, or leftand right-handed fermionic couplings [8]







The top quark 4-momentum can be reconstructed by combining the lepton and MET (constrained to the W boson mass), and a jet which gives a mass closest to the nominal top quark mass. The W' 4-momentum is determined by combining the top quark candidate with the highest pt remaining jet

Standard Model Backgrounds

Top pair



W+jets



Top pair + single top: Normalized to ~NNLO cross section, shape taken from simulation and checked in control region

W+jets: Shape from MC and checked in a control region, normalization derived from the data

$b \qquad \qquad$	
Process	σ (pb)
tt	234 (~NNLO)
Single top t -channel (tqb)	$56.4 ~(\sim NNLO)$
Single top t -channel $(\overline{t}q\overline{b})$	$30.7 ~(\sim NNLO)$
Single top tW -channel	$11.1 ~(\sim NNLO)$
Single top $\overline{t}W$ -channel	$11.1 ~(\sim NNLO)$
$W(\rightarrow)\ell\nu + jets$	37509.0 (NNLO)
$Z/\gamma^* (\to \ell \ell) + \text{jets} (M_{\ell \ell} > 50)$	3503.71 (NNLO)
WW	54.838 (NLO)
Single top s -channel $(t\overline{b})$	$3.79 ~(\sim NNLO)$
Single top s -channel $(\overline{t}b)$	$1.76 \ (\sim NNLO)$

 l^+

M(tb) after all selection cuts







- To further increase the signal to background ratio, we apply cuts on three additional variables
- Optimized cut values to improve expected sensitivity

130 < m(top) < 210 GeV pt(top) > 85 GeV pt(j1,j2) > 140 GeV

The tb invariant mass spectrum is also well described by the background prediction \rightarrow no evidence for resonant tb production



Resonant tb production with a cross section greater than the solid black line is disfavored at 95% confidence level

Theoretical cross section is shown in red, for two different right handed neutrino mass hypotheses

Combined Limit





Aside: indirect theoretical limit from neutral kaon mass difference $ightarrow M_{W_R}\gtrsim 2.4\,{
m TeV}$ David Sperka: USLUO Meeting, Nov. 8th 2013



Generalized Couplings Analysis



• The cross section for pp \rightarrow W/W' \rightarrow tb in the presence of a W' boson with left and right handed couplings can be written as:

$$\sigma = \sigma_{SM} + a_{ud}^{L} a_{tb}^{L} (\sigma_{L} - \sigma_{R} - \sigma_{SM}) + \left(\left(a_{ud}^{L} a_{tb}^{L} \right)^{2} + \left(a_{ud}^{R} a_{tb}^{R} \right)^{2} \right) (\sigma_{R}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(a_{ud}^{L} a_{tb}^{R} \right)^{2} + \left(a_{ud}^{R} a_{tb}^{L} \right)^{2} \right) (\sigma_{LR} - \sigma_{L} - \sigma_{R})$$

Construct templates by weighting the signal distributions according this equation
We scan the parameter space and for each set of couplings determine the mass a which the expected / observed limit equals the theoretical cross section and build contours



Expected Limits on leftand right-handed coupling strengths

Generalized Couplings Limits





Limits on coupling strengths can be used to constrain more general models of W' production



Conclusions



- We have performed a search for W' \rightarrow tb analysis using the 8 TeV dataset
 - Several new physics models predict this type of resonance
 - For a W' with only right handed couplings, we exclude W' masses below 2.03 TeV, which is represents a significant improvement over the previous result and is the most stringent limit to date in this channel
 - Previous result: M(W') > 1.85 TeV
 - We have also set limits on the W' mass for an arbitrary combination of left- and right-handed coupling strengths
 → CMS is the only LHC experiment doing this analysis
 - Exciting times ahead when the LHC searches will start to be able to probe past the indirect theoretical bounds



References



M. Schmaltz, D. Tucker-Smith, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 55 (2005) 229
 T. Appelquist, H.-C. Cheng, B.A. Dobrescu, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 035002
 H.C. Cheng et al, Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 065007
 R.S. Chivukula, E.H. Simmons, J. Terning, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 5258
 R.N. Mohapatra and J.C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D11 (1975) 566
 E. Malkawi, T. Tait, and C.P. Yuan, Phys. Lett. B385 (1996) 304
 Z. Sullivan, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 075011
 E.E. Boos et. al, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 69 (2006) 1317

Backup Slides

[9] CMS Collaboration, CMS-PAS-TOP-12-027
[10] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2013-050
[11] CMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B (2013) 718
[12] A. Maiezza et al, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 055022
[13] M. Nemevsek et al, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 115014



Monte Carlo Validation



Тор

- It has been observed that the pt(top) is not well described by the simulation [9]
- Therefore, we reweight the tibar MC to match the top pt distribution observed in data

W+jets

W+jets heavy flavor fractions derived from data using pre b-tag and 0 b-tag samples
The W+jets shape in MC is also validated using the 0-tag sample from data after subtracting the other backgrounds



Uncertainties related to the determination of the background predictions from data are included in the limit setting procedure



Event Yields



Process	Number of Events									
	Electrons					Muons				
	b-tagged jets		Ad	Additional cuts		b-tagged jets		Additional cuts		
	= 1	$ \geq 1$			= 1	≥ 1				
Data	63050	72696		20238		62955	72820		20639	
Signal:										
s-channel	176	269	86			197	299	96		
$M(W'_{R} =) 1700 \text{ GeV}$	90	117	84			77	99	70		
$M(W'_{R} =) 1900 \text{ GeV}$	41	52	37			35	44		31	
$M(W'_R =) 2100 \text{ GeV}$	19	24	17			16	20	14		
Background:										
tī	36169	44575	14663			36989	45703		14923	
<i>t-</i> channel	2124	2484	823		2287	2662	866			
<i>t</i> W-channel	2571	2934	959		2659	3033	979			
$W(\rightarrow \ell \nu)$ +jets	19707	20263	3687		19438	20108		3717		
$Z/\gamma^* (\rightarrow \ell \ell)$ +jets	1492	1575	271		1505	1578		293		
WW	206	216	50		220	226		49		
Total Background	62269	72047	20452		63098	73310		20826		
MC / Data	0.988	0.991		1.011		1.002	1.007		1.009	

Observed number of events matches well with the background prediction



Limit Setting Procedure



We perform a binned likelihood analysis to calculate the 95% confidence level upper limits on the W' production cross section
Wide range of systematic uncertainties are incorporated into the limit setting procedure:

Systematic Uncertainties

Dominant sources for the signal: Jet Energy Scale, B-tagging efficiency Dominant sources for the background: W+jets heavy flavor fraction, top pt reweighting

Source	Rate Uncertainty	Shape
Luminosity	4.4%	No
Trigger Efficiency	$2\%/1\%~(e/\mu)$	No
Lepton efficiencies	1%	No
Jet Energy Scale	$\pm 1\sigma(p_T,\eta)$	Yes
Jet Energy Resolution	$\pm 1\sigma(p_T,\eta)$	Yes
b-tagging (CSVM)	$\pm 1\sigma(p_T,\eta)$	Yes
c-tagging (CSVM)	$\pm 1\sigma(p_T,\eta)$	Yes
light quark mis-tagging (CSVM)	$\pm 1\sigma(p_T,\eta)$	Yes
matching and Q^2 scale	$\pm 1\sigma$	Yes
Pileup	$\pm 1\sigma$	Yes
W+ jets Heavy Flavor	$\pm 1\sigma$	Yes
W+jets Shape (data/MC diff using 0-btags)	$\pm 1\sigma$	Yes
Top p_T reweighting	$\pm 1\sigma$	Yes

BOSTON UNIVERSITY

Previous Results



- Search by ATLAS with 14.3 fb-1 at sqrt(s)=8 TeV set a limit of 1.84 TeV [10]
- Best published result comes from the CMS experiment at 7 TeV, excluding right-handed W' bosons with masses below 1.85 TeV [11]





Other Search Channels for right-handed W'







Low Energy Constraints



There are several low energy observables which would be modified by the presence of a right-handed W' boson [12,13] In the minimal Left-Right model: A. Maiezza et al, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 055022

By restricting the new physics contribution to the neutral kaon $M_{W_R} \gtrsim 2.4 \,\mathrm{TeV}$ mass difference to be less than the measured difference \rightarrow

If neutrinoless double beta decay is observed, it would narrow greatly the allowed parameter space. Limits on lifetime can also set exclusions, along with LFV processes and cosmology, though these are more model dependent

M. Nemevsek et al. Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 115014





 $M_{W_{R}}$

[GeV]



Updated Exclusions





arxiv1206.0256

David Sperka: USLUO Meeting, Nov. 8th 2013

20